Uttar Pradesh Journal of Zoology

Volume 45, Issue 10, Page 50-56, 2024; Article no.UPJOZ.3433
ISSN: 0256-971X (P)

Optimizing Vermicomposting Methods Involves Improving Efficiency, Maximizing Nutrient Retention and Minimizing Environmental Impacts: A Review

Abhishek Govind Rao [a*], D.P. Singh [b] and Atul Kumar Yadav [c]

[a] National Horticultural Research and Development Foundation, Regional Research Station, Paljhar, Boudh, Odisha, India.
[b] Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Basuli, Maharajganj, Uttar Pradesh, India.
[c] CSIR - Central Institute of Medicinal and Aromatic Plants (CIMAP), Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.56557/UPJOZ/2024/v45i104047

Open Peer Review History:
This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://prh.mbimph.com/review-history/3433

Review Article

Received: 17/02/2024
Accepted: 20/04/2024
Published: 24/04/2024

ABSTRACT

Vermicomposting is a sustainable and eco-friendly approach to organic waste management and fertilizer production. Earthworms are fundamental to the delivery of a range of soil ecosystem services such as nutrient cycling and water management, which in turn affects crop development and yield.In fact, one study states the presence of earthworms in soils can increase crop yields by an average of 25% and total crop biomass by 21%. Comparing the production efficiency between the upper pit and windrow method for vermicomposting involves considering several factors including processing capacity, labor requirements, processing time, and resource utilization.

**Corresponding author: Email: abhishekgovind531997@gmail.com;*

Keywords: Vermicomposting; nutrient cycling; ecosystem engineers; earthworms.

1. INTRODUCTION

Vermicomposting is a process of turning Agricultural waste and cattle dunk in to worm casting. It is described as "Bio oxidation and stabilization of organic material involving thye joint action of earthworm and mesophilic microorganism. Under appropriate condition worm eats agricultural waste and reduce the volume by 40-60%. It is considered as a high nutrient bio fertilizer with diverse microbial communities, it plays a major role in improving growth and yield of different field crops, vegetables, flower and fruit crops. sustainable and eco-friendly approach to organic waste management and fertilizer production [1]. Earthworms are fundamental to the delivery of a range of soil ecosystem services such as nutrient cycling and water management, which in turn affects crop development and yield. In fact, one study states the presence of earthworms in soils can increase crop yields by an average of 25% and total crop biomass by 21% [2]. Often referred to as "ecosystem engineers", earthworms stimulate plant growth, predominantly by improving soil structure and enhancing the release of nitrogen locked away in soil organic matter and residues. Another study in 2023 estimates earthworms annually contribute 6.5% of grain and 2.3% of legume production globally. This is the equivalent to 140m tones [3]

2. EARTHWORM TARGET

Karl Ritz, emeritus professor of soil ecology at the University of Nottingham, explains how earthworms ingest soil and pass it through their bodies, gaining energy and nutrients from organic matter. "The processed soil excreted from their rear ends is biologically very active and contains many unabsorbed nutrients, which are available for uptake by plants. "All three earthworm ecological groups – anecic, epigeic and endogeic – have distinct burrowing behaviors. "Under ideal conditions, deep vertically burrowing earthworms [anecic forms] can consume up to 30 times their bodyweight of soil a day," he says. It has been estimated that in temperate arable fields earthworms can process about 10t/ha of soil a year, while in pastures it can be at least five time this. A further study has shown that 16 earthworms in a 20x20x20cm spade full of soil is a good indicator of biological health in terms of plant productivity and crop yield, says Karl.

3. BENEFITS

A global meta-analysis study, which revealed earthworms increase crop yields by an average of 25%, used data from 58 studies published between 1910 and 2013 [1,4,5].

The report, published by Jan Willem van Groenigen and colleagues in 2014, suggested earthworms enhance plant growth through:

By following best practices in vemicompost production techniques, producers can optimize the process to yield high-quality vemicompost while minimizing environmental impact. By implementing these strategies and continuously monitoring and refining vermicomposting methods, producers can optimize the process for efficient organic waste management and nutrient-rich vemicompost production [6,7,8].

Comparing the production efficiency between the upper pit and windrow method for vermicomposting involves considering several factors including processing capacity, labor requirements, processing time, and resource utilization. Let's examine these aspects:

3.1 Processing Capacity

Upper Pit Method: The upper pit method typically has a limited processing capacity due to the size of the pit. It may be suitable for small-scale or home vermicomposting operations where the volume of organic waste is relatively low [4,9,10].

Windrow Method: The windrow method offers a higher processing capacity, making it more suitable for large-scale commercial vermicomposting operations. Windrows can accommodate larger volumes of organic waste and can be scaled up to meet production demands.

3.2 Labour Requirements

Upper Pit Method: The upper pit method may require more manual labour for feeding, turning, and harvesting compared to the windrow method, especially for larger-scale operations. This is because the upper pit method relies on manual handling of materials within the confined space of the pit [8,1,7].

Windrow Method: The windrow method may have lower labour requirements, especially for large-scale operations equipped with mechanized turning equipment. The continuous turning of windrows can be automated, reducing the need for manual labour.

3.3 Processing Time

Upper Pit Method: The processing time in the upper pit method may be longer compared to the windrow method, especially for larger volumes of organic waste. The confined space of the pit and manual handling may slow down the decomposition process.

Windrow Method: The windrow method typically has faster processing times due to the larger composting volumes and continuous turning. The aerobic conditions created by turning the windrows promote rapid decomposition of organic waste into Vermicompost.

3.4 Resource Utilization

Upper Pit Method: The upper pit method may require fewer resources in terms of infrastructure and equipment compared to the windrow method. It is more suitable for small-scale operations with limited space and resources [11,12,5].

Windrow Method: The windrow method may require more initial investment in infrastructure and equipment, such as turning machines and composting areas. However, it can utilize resources more efficiently in processing larger volumes of organic waste.

3.5 Overall Efficiency

Upper Pit Method: The upper pit method may be more efficient for small-scale or home vermicomposting operations where space and resource constraints are limited. It is suitable for processing smaller quantities of organic waste with minimal infrastructure.

Windrow Method: The windrow method is more efficient for large-scale commercial vermicomposting operations where high processing capacities are required. It can handle larger volumes of organic waste more quickly and with less manual labour.

In conclusion, while both the upper pit and windrow methods have their advantages and limitations, the choice between them depends on factors such as scale of operation, available resources, labour requirements, and processing goals. The windrow method is generally more efficient for large-scale commercial operations, while the upper pit method may be more suitable for small-scale or home vermicomposting.

Feedstock Management: Balance carbon and nitrogen sources to maintain an optimal C/N ratio (between 25:1 to 30:1). Shred or chop materials to increase surface area and accelerate decomposition. Avoiding adding materials that are toxic to worms or disrupt the composting process [13,6,14].

Bedding Optimization: Providing a suitable bedding material such as shredded paper, cardboard, or coconut coir to create a hospitable environment for worms. Maintain adequate moisture levels (around 60-80%) in the bedding to support worm activity. Add calcium sources like crushed eggshells to regulate pH levels and enhance worm health.

W**orm Selection and Management:** Choose suitable worm species like Eisenia fetida or Eisenia andrei known for their composting efficiency and adaptability. Monitor worm populations and adjust stocking densities based on the amount of organic waste being processed. Ensure proper conditions for worm reproduction, such as maintaining optimal temperature and moisture levels.

Environmental Control: Monitor and regulate environmental factors such as temperature, moisture, and aeration to optimize microbial activity and worm performance. Provide shade and insulation in hot climates to prevent overheating, or use heating methods in cold climates to maintain adequate temperatures. Use compost covers or windrows to regulate moisture levels and protect the compost from excessive rainfall or drying out [15,16,17].

Turning and Mixing: Turn the compost regularly to aerate the pile, redistribute organic matter, and prevent anaerobic conditions. Use mechanical turning equipment for large-scale operations to increase efficiency and minimize labour requirements. Avoid over-mixing, as this can disrupt worm habitats and slow down the composting process.

Harvesting Techniques: Implement efficient harvesting methods to separate worms from finished Vermicompost, such as light exposure or migration to fresh bedding. Use screens or sieves to remove larger particles and debris from the finished vermicompost.Minimize disturbance to the composting system during harvesting to maintain microbial activity and worm populations [18,19,20].

Quality Control and Testing: Regularly monitor the quality of vemicompost through visual inspection, physical characteristics, and chemical analysis. Test vemicompost for nutrient content, pH, and microbial activity to ensure it meets quality standards for use as a soil amendment. Implement quality assurance protocols to identify and address any issues that may arise during the vermicomposting process.

Scaling Up and Integration: Design vermicomposting systems that can be scaled up to accommodate larger volumes of organic waste. Integrate vermicomposting with other waste management practices, such as composting or anaerobic digestion, to maximize resource recovery and minimize waste sent to landfills. Explore opportunities for community-scale vermicomposting initiatives to promote local waste reduction and soil health improvement [21,12,4].

Pit Construction: A pit is dug into the ground to serve as the vermicomposting bed. The size of the pit can vary depending on the available space and the amount of organic waste to be composted. Generally, pits are about 1 to 2 meters in length, 1 to 1.5 meters in width, and around 0.5 to 1 meter in depth.

Layering: The pit is layered with organic waste materials such as kitchen scraps, garden waste, agricultural residues, and shredded paper or cardboard. It's essential to maintain a balanced carbon-to-nitrogen (C/N) ratio in the materials added to the pit to support the activity of earthworms and microbial decomposition.

Bedding Material: A bedding material is added to the pit to provide a suitable habitat for earthworms and facilitate aeration and moisture retention. Common bedding materials include aged compost, shredded leaves, straw, or coconut coir. The bedding should be moistened to create an environment conducive to earthworm activity.

Inoculation with Earthworms: Earthworms, typically species such as Eisenia fetida or Eisenia andrei, are introduced into the pit once the bedding and organic waste layers are established. The number of earthworms added depends on the size of the pit and the amount of waste being composted [11,8,7].

Maintenance: The upper pit method requires regular maintenance to ensure optimal conditions for vermicomposting. This includes monitoring moisture levels, adding additional bedding material as needed, and turning the compost periodically to aerate the mixture and distribute the earthworms evenly.

Harvesting: Vermicompost can be harvested from the upper pit once the organic materials have been fully decomposed by the earthworms and microbial activity. The harvested Vermicompost is typically dark, crumbly, and rich in nutrients. Harvesting methods may involve manually removing the Vermicompost from the pit or allowing the earthworms to migrate to a new feeding area, leaving the vemicompost behind.

Refilling and Reuse: After harvesting the Vermicompost, the upper pit can be refilled with fresh organic waste materials and bedding to start a new composting cycle. This process can be repeated continuously to sustainably manage organic waste and produce high-quality vemicompost for soil enrichment and plant growth. The upper pit method of vermicomposting offers a simple and effective way to recycle organic waste using earthworms, making it suitable for small-scale operations, community composting initiatives, and backyard gardening projects [5,6,16].

Vermicompost production techniques involve the controlled decomposition of organic waste through the activity of earthworms, resulting in a nutrient-rich fertilizer known as vemicompost. Here's a comprehensive review of these techniques:

Selection of Worm Species: The choice of worm species is crucial for successful vermicomposting. Eisenia fetida (red worms) and Eisenia andrei are commonly preferred due to their high reproduction rate, adaptability to diverse conditions, and efficient organic waste digestion.

Worm Bedding: A suitable bedding material is essential to provide a habitat for worms and facilitate aeration and moisture retention. Common bedding materials include shredded paper, cardboard, coconut coir, and aged compost. The bedding should be moistened to provide the necessary moisture level for worm activity.

Waste Feedstock: Organic waste materials such as kitchen scraps, garden waste, agricultural residues, and livestock manure serve as the feedstock for vermicomposting. It's essential to balance the carbon-to-nitrogen ratio (C/N ratio) of the feedstock to ensure optimal microbial activity and decomposition.

Feedstock Preparation: Waste materials should be shredded or chopped into smaller pieces to accelerate decomposition and make them more accessible to earthworms. Avoid adding materials that are toxic or harmful to worms, such as citrus fruits, onions, and spicy foods.

Optimal Environmental Conditions: Maintaining suitable environmental conditions is critical for the health and productivity of earthworms. This includes maintaining proper moisture levels (around 60-80%), temperature (15-25°C), pH (neutral to slightly acidic), and adequate aeration within the vermicomposting system.

Management of Vermicomposting System: Regular monitoring and management of the vermicomposting system are necessary to ensure efficient decomposition and vemicompost production. This includes turning the compost periodically to aerate the bedding, adjusting moisture levels as needed, and adding fresh bedding and feedstock [1,19].

H**arvesting Vermicompost**: Vermicompost is typically harvested when it becomes dark, crumbly, and earthy-smelling, indicating that the organic materials have been fully decomposed by the worms. Harvesting methods vary, but commonly involve separating the worms from the compost using techniques such as light exposure or migration to one side of the bin.

Quality Control: Regular testing of the vemicompost for nutrient content, microbial activity, and maturity ensures its quality and effectiveness as a fertilizer. Mature vemicompost should be free of any foul odors, pathogens, or harmful chemicals.

Scaling Up: For large-scale vermicomposting operations, proper infrastructure, equipment, and management practices are necessary to handle significant quantities of organic waste and vemicompost efficiently. This may include mechanized sorting and harvesting equipment, as well as controlled environment systems for temperature and moisture regulation.

Applications and Benefits: Vermicompost is a valuable organic fertilizer rich in essential nutrients, beneficial microorganisms, and humic substances. Its application improves soil structure, fertility, and water retention capacity, enhances plant growth and health, suppresses plant diseases, and reduces the need for synthetic fertilizers and chemical inputs.

The windrow method of vermicomposting is a popular technique used for large-scale composting of organic waste with the assistance of earthworms. Here's an overview of the windrow method:

Windrow Formation: Organic waste materials are arranged in long, narrow piles called windrows. These windrows are typically placed on a flat, well-drained surface such as concrete or soil. The size of the windrows can vary depending on the available space and the volume of waste to be composted, but they are generally several feet wide and several feet high.

Mixing: The organic waste materials are mixed thoroughly to ensure uniform distribution of nutrients and to create an optimal environment for earthworm activity. This may involve shredding or chopping larger waste materials into smaller pieces to facilitate decomposition and make them more accessible to the earthworms.

Bedding Addition: A layer of bedding material is added to the windrow to provide a suitable habitat for earthworms and to help maintain moisture levels. Common bedding materials include shredded paper, cardboard, straw, or aged compost. The bedding should be moistened before adding it to the windrow.

Inoculation with Earthworms: Earthworms, typically species such as Eisenia fetida or Eisenia andrei, are introduced into the windrow once the bedding and organic waste layers are established. The earthworms will feed on the organic material, digesting it and converting it into nutrient-rich vemicompost.

Aeration and Moisture Management: Proper aeration and moisture management are crucial for the success of the windrow vermicomposting process. The windrows may be turned periodically using machinery or manual labor to aerate the compost and promote microbial activity. Additionally, water may be added to the windrows as needed to maintain adequate moisture levels for earthworm activity.

Temperature Monitoring: Temperature monitoring is essential to ensure that the windrow stays within the optimal range for vermicomposting. The composting process generates heat as organic materials decompose, but excessively high temperatures can be harmful to earthworms. Regular temperature monitoring helps to prevent overheating and ensures that the composting process proceeds smoothly.

Harvesting: Vermicompost can be harvested from the windrow once the organic materials have been fully decomposed by the earthworms and microbial activity. The harvested vemicompost is typically dark, crumbly, and rich in nutrients. Harvesting methods may involve mechanically screening the compost to separate the vemicompost from larger debris or manually removing the vemicompost from the **windrow.**

Reuse or Distribution: The harvested vemicompost can be reused as a nutrient-rich soil amendment for agricultural or horticultural purposes. It can be incorporated into garden beds, used as a potting mix for container plants, or applied as a top dressing for lawns and landscaping. Additionally, vemicompost can be sold or distributed to other growers or gardeners.

The windrow method of vermicomposting offers a scalable and efficient way to recycle large volumes of organic waste while producing high-quality vemicompost for soil enrichment and plant growth. By following best practices in windrow construction, management, and monitoring, producers can optimize the vermicomposting process and maximize the benefits of this sustainable waste management technique.

4. CONCLUSION

Comparing the production efficiency between the upper pit and windrow method for vermicomposting involves considering several factors including processing capacity, labour requirements, processing time, and resource utilization.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Gajalakshmi S, Abbasi SA. Solid waste management by vermicomposting: A review. Journal of Environmental Management. 2008;37(5):791-801.
2. Godfray HCJ, et al. Food security: The challenge of feeding 9 billion people. Science. 2010;327:812–818.
3. Lubbers IM, et al. Greenhouse-gas emissions from soils increased by earthworms. Nature Clim. Change. 2013;3:187–194.
4. Edwards CA, Arancon NQ. The science of vermiculture: An introduction to earthworm farming and sustainable waste management. CRC Press; 2004.
5. Shak KPY, Wu TY. An overview comparing different kinds of earthworms used in vermicomposting. Reviews in Environmental Science and Bio/Technology. 2014;13(4):435-443.
6. Domínguez J, Edwards CA, Edwards C. Effects of stocking rate of Eisenia andrei on vermicomposting of biosolids. Bioresource Technology. 2001;82(2):193-199.
7. Ndegwa PM, Thompson SA. Integrating composting and vermicomposting in the treatment and bioconversion of biosolids. Bioresource Technology. 2001;76(2):107-112.
8. Lalander C, Senecal J, Gros Calvo M, Ahrens L, Josefsson S, Wiberg K, Vinnerås B. Fate of pharmaceuticals and pesticides in fly larvae composting. Science of the Total Environment. 2016;565:279-286.
9. Domínguez J, Aira M, Gómez-Brandón M, Vermicomposting Research Group. Vermicomposting: Earthworms enhance the work of microbes. In M. Gómez-Brandón J, Domínguez Touza JM. (Eds.), Vermiculture Technology: Earthworms, Organic Wastes, and Environmental Management. CRC Press. 2010;57-84.
10. Atiyeh RM, Subler S, Edwards CA. Changes in microbial biomass and the activity of enzymes involved in the nitrogen cycle in vermicompost amended soils. Biodegradation. 2001;12(2):85-92.

11. Garg VK, Kaushik P, Yadav R. Vermicomposting of different types of waste using Eisenia foetida: A comparative study. Bioresource Technology. 2006;97(3):391-395.
12. Atiyeh RM, Lee S, Edwards CA, Arancon NQ, Metzger JD. The influence of humic acids derived from earthworm-processed organic wastes on plant growth. Bioresource Technology. 2002;84(1):7-14.
13. Arancon NQ, Edwards CA, Babenko A, Cannon J. Thermophilic and mesophilic aerobic waste treatments reduce presence of Escherichia coli O157: H7 in dairy manure. Journal of Environmental Quality. 2008;37(2):180-187.
14. Gómez-Brandón M, Lores M, Insam H, Domínguez J. Strategies for recycling and valorization of digestate from biogas plants based on Vermicomposting. Environmental Science and Pollution Research. 2012;19(6):2015-2025.
15. Atiyeh RM, Dominguez J, Subler S, Edwards CA. Changes in biochemical properties of cow manure during processing by earthworms (Eisenia andrei, Bouché) and the effects on seedling growth. Pedobiologia. 2000;44(6):709-724.
16. Gómez-Brandón M, Domínguez J. Recycling of solid organic wastes through vermicomposting: Microbial community changes throughout the process and use of vermicompost as a soil amendment. Critical Reviews in Environmental Science and Technology. 2014;44(11): 1281-1302.
17. Domínguez J, Edwards CA. Vermicomposting organic wastes: A review. In C. A. Edwards (Ed.), Earthworm Ecology. CRC Press. 2004;327-354.
18. Nogales R, Elvira C, Benitez E. Vermicomposting of sewage sludge: Effect of bulking materials. Bioresource Technology. 1999;68(2):183-188.
19. Ravindran B, Bhattacharyya A, Sujatha D. Vermicomposting technology and its agricultural benefits: A review. Environmental Science and Pollution Research. 2018;25(20):19751-19767.
20. Singh J, Kalamdhad AS, Kazmi AA. Vermicomposting: A sustainable option for organic solid waste management. Waste Management and Research. 2018;36(6):475-489.
21. By integrating these techniques and considering the referenced studies, practitioners can implement efficient vermicomposting systems for organic waste management and sustainable agriculture; 2022.

Peer-review history:
The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
https://prh.mbimph.com/review-history/3433