

International Journal of Environment and Climate Change

Volume 13, Issue 12, Page 89-100, 2023; Article no.IJECC.110530 ISSN: 2581-8627 (Past name: British Journal of Environment & Climate Change, Past ISSN: 2231–4784)

# Intercropping Options for Sustainable Minor Millet Production: A Review

# B. K. Dhaka <sup>a</sup>, Prakriti Dhaka <sup>b</sup>, Bhagat Singh <sup>c</sup>, Kamal <sup>c\*</sup>, Anisha <sup>a</sup> and A. K. Dhaka <sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Lovely Professional University, Phagwara, Punjab-144411, India. <sup>b</sup> University of Arkansas, USA. <sup>c</sup> Department of Agronomy, CCS Haryana Agricultural University, Hisar, Haryana, 125004, India.

#### Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJECC/2023/v13i123664

Open Peer Review History: This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <u>https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/110530</u>

**Review Article** 

Received: 04/10/2023 Accepted: 09/12/2023 Published: 15/12/2023

# ABSTRACT

High population pressure, climate change, stagnant crop productivity, changing food habit and declining natural resources compelled us to go for climate resilient resource conservation technologies to bring sustainability in our crop production. Crop diversification by replacing high input requiring cereals with "nutri- cereals" minor millets in our crop rotations and by following intercropping of minor millets with pulses or oilseeds may be economically profitable, environmentally safe and socially acceptable approach to ensure food and nutritional security of the burgeoning population. This is high time to include millets in our daily diets but millet availability in sufficient amount is big issue. Millets are crops of rainfed and dryland resource poor areas and moreover under the threat of global warming and changed climatic scenario sole planting of millets generally gives less economic returns. So, there is an urgent need for incorporation of the pulses/oilseeds as intercrop in millets production system to enhance the production to feed the increasing population on sustained basis besides restoring the soil nutritional status and to overcome the environmental stress. But performance of intercropping system depends on proper selections of suitable intercrop, appropriate row ratio combination and proper input management.

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author: E-mail: kamalkhroad@gmail.com;

Int. J. Environ. Clim. Change, vol. 13, no. 12, pp. 89-100, 2023

Very limited research work has been conducted on intercropping in millets so far but noteworthy information was recorded by the researchers, which has been reviewed through a sincere effort here to give critical view about intercropping in minor millets for agricultural sustainability to the farmers, researchers and consumers.

Keywords: Intercropping; minor millets; sustainability; crop equivalent yield; land equivalent ratio.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

"Presently, agriculture is facing tremendous problem due to climate change and global warming. The main effects of climate change are increase in temperature, uncertainties in rainfall and enhancement of greenhouse gasses emission (mainly carbon-dioxide). As C<sub>4</sub> plants. millets can use enhanced atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> and convert into biomass" [1]. "Thus, millets are considered climate smart and nature friendly crops because of high nutritive value and can withstand under warm and drought conditions with short life, low external inputs requirement. tolerance to water and temperatures stress" [2], [3], [4]. "Due to climate change, there is a decline in vield, which leads to food insecurity, more attacks of pests and diseases, soil degradation, change in crop schedules, and desertification. The Consultative Group on International Agriculture Research (CGIAR) has opined that millets may additionally play crucial roles in the developing countries like India where food and nutrient security are the key issues" [5]. "Considering, millets as an alternative crop is a better choice and we can say it is the future crop. There is an immediate need to promote the cultivation of millets to ensure food and nutritional security at national level. Due to presence of different anti-oxidants, detoxifying agents and immune modulators in millet grains, these coarse cereals are treated as nutri-cereals" [6], [7], [8]. "Further, these are rich in different minerals and dietary fibres" [9]. "These are also important as livestock feed both as grain and forage" [10], [11]. Considering the importance of millets in food and nutritional security, the year 2018 as 'National Year of Millets' at national level and the year 2023 as 'International Year of Millets' was celebrated at global level. Millets are currently grown in 131 countries in over 78 million ha [12] with sorghum and pearl millet accounting for over 90 per cent share at global level. "India is the largest grower (with 19% contribution) and producer (20% production) of millets in the world. Share of India in Asia stands at 85% in area and 80% in production of millets. In India, minor millets share an area of 0.44 million ha with a production of 0.35 million tones

having productivity of 781 kg/ha and among the minor millets, finger millet occupies larger area under cultivation" [13]. At national level maximum area (89000 hactares) and production (76000 tonnes) of minor millets was reported from Madhaya Pradesh. Top seven countries in the world for pearl millet cultivation are India, Niger, Sudan, Nigeria, Mali, Burkina Faso and Chad. Thus, among the major millets India ranks first in the world with respect to pearl millet cultivation and third in sorghum cultivation. The major millets are Sorghum, Pearl Millet, and Finger Millet covering 95% of the total millet growing area in India and the rest 5% are Little Millet, Foxtail Millet, Barnyard Millet, Proso Millet, Kodo Millet, and Browntop Millet. The most important cultivation states for pearl millet are Rajasthan, Uttar Pradesh and Maharashtra having a total share of 78 per cent. Karnataka accounts for more than alone 2/3rd acreage of finger millet. Chhattisgarh and Madhya Pradesh grow more than 60 per cent of small millets.

"The demand for food is continuously increasing worldwide due to continuously increasing population. The increasing population of India is not only throwing challenges as higher food productivity but also it is high time that we must check the threats on nutritional security because drastically changing climatic conditions of environmental pollutions. In most of the parts of India, millets are grown as a sole crop during Kharif season followed by sequential Rabi crop. The area under millet was limited due to low productivity of millets compared to other cereal crops and more focus given to rice, wheat and maize but during recent period, millets have regained their importance because these of their nutritional benefits and ecological hardiness" [14], [15]. "One of the key strategies to obtain sustainable millet production is intercropping. Intercropping fulfills the objectives of ecological balance, effective utilization of nutrients and water, risk reduction, increasing the quantity and quality and reduce yield damage to pests, diseases and weeds" [16], [17]. "Growing of two or more crops not only creates crop diversity, but also makes favourable ecology for the predators"

[18]. "In other words, it may be stated better ecosystem service is created by intercropping leads agriculture svstem which towards sustainability" [15]. "Growing of a single crop in a year or cereals as sole crop is not so much remunerative in present scenario of agriculture to fulfil the diverse need of rapid growing population and malnutrition. There is an urgent requirement for incorporation of the pulses/oilseeds in millets production system to stabilize the production to feed the increasing population besides restoring the soil nutritional status and to overcome the environmental stress. Furthermore, small millets are compatible for polyculture as mixed and intercropping, thus offer sustainable usage of available resources, food, nutrition and livelihood security to small holders in drylands" [19], [20], [21]. "While selecting crops for intercropping system, complementarity among the species cultivated is very much important aspect to be considered for increasing crop yields" [22], [23]. "Verv limited research work has been carried out on intercropping in millets so far and noteworthy information on various intercropping indices to evaluate biological potential. relative land use and competitiveness, economic efficiency were drawn by the scientists" [24], which has been reviewed here for understanding the benefits of intercropping system in millets as follows.

#### 2. INTERCROPPING IN FINGER MILLET

Dass and Sudhishri [25] recorded the highest net returns (Rs. 9,665/ha) and benefit cost: ratio (1.00) obtained with finger millet + pigeonpea (6:2 ratio). Highest LER (1.34) was recorded from Finger millet + pigeonpea (5:2 and 6:2). which was significantly higher than Finger millet + black gram at all the row ratios except at 5:2. Combined yield of finger millet and legumes was more and both the combinations of finger millet + pigeon pea and finger millet + black gram produced more finger millet equivalent yield (FMEY) than sole finger millet" [26]. Kumar and Ray [27] found that "Finger millet + Black gram (4:2) combination recorded significantly higher finger millet grain equivalent yield (4233 kg/ha), highest net return of Rs. 68776/ha and benefit cost ratio of 2.82". "While maximum yield of finger millet (2010 kg/ha) was recorded when intercropped with black gram in 6:2 ratio. Finger millet + haricot bean improved yield stability and economic return in north-western Ethiopia" [28]. "In Ethiopia, the mixed cropping of lupine and finger millet at the 50:100 and 75:100 seeding proportion had a greater yield advantage

compared to sole cropping of either lupine or finger millet" [29]. "Regardless of the planting method and ratio, finger millet was more compatible with haricot bean than lupine" [30]. "The improved cropping systems including finger millet + pigeon pea in 8-10: 2 or finger millet + field bean in 8: 1 for Karnataka and Tamil Nadu and finger millet + field bean in 6: 2 row proportion for Bihar; finger millet + soybean (9:1 crop mixtures) for Garhwal reaion of Uttarakhand; finger millet + mothbean/blackgram (4:1) for Kolhapur are recommended" [31]. Gowda et al., [32] at Coimbatore showed that "intercropping determinate type of field bean with finger millet variety Co 11 in 8:1 row proportion was a remunerative choice (Rs. 13360 NMR ha 1)". Jakhar et al. [33] worked on "strip cropping of finger millet + groundnut and observed the above combination at 6:4 row ratio showed the highest value in terms of FMEY, net income and benefit: cost ratio. Finger millet + pigeon pea (8-10: 2) or finger millet + field bean (8: 1) is guite popular among the farmers of Karnataka and Tamil Nadu, but in Bihar, intercropping combination of finger millet and field bean (6: 2) was noted to perform well. For Gadhwal region of Uttarakhand finger millet + soybean (90:10 percent crop mixtures) and for Kolhapur region of Maharashtra finger millet + moth bean/black gram with row ratio of 4:1 were observed beneficial". "Among the intercropping systems, significantly higher available nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium and sulphur was recorded in 2:1 row ratio of soybean + finger millet and it was on par with 2:1 row ratio of soybean + foxtail millet" [34]. Maitra et al. [35] worked "in the red and lateritic belt of West Bengal and opined that intercropping of finger millet in combination with pigeon pea and groundnut (4:1) recorded more yield and resource use efficiency (as LER) when compared to pure stand of finger millet". Mitra et al., [36] also attributed that "finger millet produced more under intercropping with vield pigeonpea compared to grown as sole cropping". Manjunath and Salakinkop [37] noted "greater resource use efficiency in terms of LER when soybean intercropped with finger millet at 2:1 and 4:2 proportions and the LER values were 1.45 and 1.47 which were more than unity, showing advantages of intercropping". Murali et al. [38] reported that "intercropping of finger millet + pigeonpea (transplanted) gave maximum net returns Rs.26,218/ha with benefit: cost ratio of 2.49". Murali et al. [38] reported that "intercropping of finger millet + pigeonpea (transplanted) gave maximum net returns Rs.26,218/ha with benefit: cost ratio of 2.49".

Nigade et al. [39] revealed that "the black gram or moth bean as an intercrop in 8:2 or 4:1 row proportion in finger millet increased the grain yield to the tune of 42 to 57 per cent over sole cropping". Pavankumar et al [40] recommended "intercropping of Fingerl millet + Red/black/green gram 6 or 8:2 or soyabean 4:1 ratio". Padhi et al., [41] reported that "intercropping system of pigeon pea (UPAS-120) + finger millet (Bhairabi) at 2:4 row ratio recorded higher LER (1.42) and found most economical as compared to pigeon pea (UPAS-120) + finger millet (PR-202) in 2:4 row ratio". Patil [42] reported that "finger millet + pigeon pea intercropping at 4:1 ratio recorded the highest land equivalent ratio (1.48) indicating 48% more land use efficiency over the sole cropping of finger millet". Pradhan et al. [43] reported that "the economics of intercropping on finger millet with pigeon pea combination was found to be the best in obtaining highest net returns of Rs. 36444 and Rs. 21384 ha-1 in respective years (2005 and 2006) and also highest (20.57 and 17.64 q ha-1) yield was recorded in finger millet + pigeon pea intercropping, followed by horse gram and black gram and minimum was in finger millet + niger intercropping". Pradhan et al. [43] in his "studies in finger millet found that when finger millet was intercropped with soybean at 4:1 ratio recorded higher LER (1.66 and 5.69, respectively)". Prakash et al., [44] reported that "finger millet was intercropped with wheat and pigeon pea (4:1 and 8:2) which resulted to higher finger millet equivalent yield under intercropping (6.49- 6.56 t/ha) than under sole cropping (3.37 t/ha)". "Higher net returns (Rs. 23277/ha) and benefitcost ratio (5.90) were recorded under strip cropping of finger millet + pigeon pea as compared to sole crop of finger millet [Net returns (Rs. 14854/ha)]" [45]. Ramamoorthy et al., [45] reported that "finger millet + determinant type of field bean intercropping recorded higher LER (1.48) as compared to finger millet + field bean intercropping system in 8:2 row ratio (1.45) and sole crop of finger millet (1.00) at Coimbatore". Ramamoorthy et al. [46] reported "higher net return from pigeonpea and finger millet intercropping system obtained at 2:4 row ratio" [17] and Padhi et al. (2010) [41] revealed that "raising of finger millet and pigeonpea in 4:2 ratio under rainfed condition during the rainy season proved most productive, economically viable, and energetically efficient than their sole plantings". Shivaraj [47] at Dharwad reported "the highest GPEY (groundnut pod that. equivalent yield) was recorded with finger millet (2,916 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) in 4:2 row ratio". Singh and Arya

92

[48] at Uttrakhand observed that "finger millet and sovbean mixed cropping system (9:1 seed mixture) recorded higher LER (1.35) as compared to finger millet + rice bean (1.21) and finger millet + raima mixed cropping system and sole crop of finger millet". "Finger millet + profitable Frenchbean (3:1) is found a intercropping system in the mid hills of NorthWest Intercropping Himalaya. of soybean/urd bean/pigeon pea/rice bean with finger millet accounted for higher economic returns than other system of finger millet with field bean/cowpea/green gram" [49]. Thorat et al. [50] and Yadav [51] highlighted "greater resource use efficiency in terms of enhancement of the LER by finger millet and green gram or pigeon pea intercropping system and increase in productivity as finger millet equivalent yield that harnessed manifold".

#### 3. INTERCROPPING IN FOXTAIL MILLET

Anishetra and Kalaghatagi [52] revealed that sesame + foxtail millet (3:3) intercropping system recorded higher seed yield of sesame (255 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), while higher LER (1.33), ATER (1.28), grain yield of millets (1337 kg ha-1) and net returns (Rs. 36875/ha) was recorded with sesame + foxtail millet (2:4) intercropping system. The highest sesame equivalent yield was recorded with sesame + foxtail millet of 2:4 (703 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and it was on par with sesame + foxtail millet of 3:3 (658 kg ha-1). Basavarajappa et al. [53] also revealed that under shallow Significantly hiaher foxtail alfisols millet equivalent (5270 kg ha-1) was recorded in foxtail millet + pigeon pea (100%) followed by foxtail millet + mesta (100%) with 3053 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and concluded that inter cropping system of foxtail millet + pigeon pea (100%) is seems to be profitable in northern transitional zone of Karnataka. Biradar et al [54] reported that Significantly higher Pigeon pea seed Equivalent yield (1152 kg/ha and 1146 kg/ha), net returns (Rs. 44419/ha and Rs. 43600/ha), BC ratio (2.93 and 2.84) and LER (1.16 and 1.06) was recorded in pigeon pea + foxtail millet (1:2) intercropping system for 2017 and 2018, respectively and which was at par with sole pigeon pea cropping system. Chapke et al [31] from ICAR-Indian Institute of Millets Research, Hyderabad recommended Foxtail millet + groundnut (2:1 ratio), foxtail millet + cotton (5:1 ratio) and foxtail millet + pigeon pea (5:1 ratio) intercropping for benefit of Foxtail millet farmers. Gurunanda Rao [55] reported that the highest total yield of 2770 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> was recorded in foxtail millet pigeon pea

paired row (15-35 cm) followed by foxtail millet + castor (1730 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and foxtail millet + cotton (1270 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) which was more profitable than the local practice of 5:1 row proportion. In study another Hanumanamatti, at the intercropping of sesamum with foxtail millet in 2:4 row proportion was found profitable. Himasree et al. [56] concluded that more gross and net incomes and benefit-cost ratio were obtained with the sowing of foxtail millet + pigeonpea (5:1)with sowing during first fortnight of August. LER, ATER and foxtail millet grain equivalent yield were more with the intercropping system of foxtail millet + pigeonpea (5:1) sown during the first fortnight of August. Kiranmai et al [57] revealed that significantly higher millet grain yield (4498 kg/ha) and highest gross returns (89991 Rs./ha), net returns (64441 Rs./ha) and B:C (3.52) ratio were recorded with Foxtail millet + Redgram in 6:1 ratio followed by foxtail millet + Redgram in 4:1 ratio. Manjunath and Salakinkop [37] and Manjunath et al. [58] showed that "intercropping of soybean + foxtail millet at row proportion of 2:1 and 4:2 recorded that advantageous LER (1.49 and 1.50 respectively) higher B:C ratio and and (2.39 2.45 respectively)". Manjunath et al. [58] reported that superiority of intercropping pigeonpea + foxtail millet (1:2) as higher net returns with benefit cost ratio of 3.79 were recorded over sole cropping. Manjunath et al. [58] showed that intercropping of soybean + foxtail millet at row proportion of 2:1 and 4:2 recorded that advantageous LER values. Manjunath et al. [58] from another study reported that superiority of intercropping pigeonpea + foxtail millet (1:2) as higher net returns (11.1457/ha) and benefit cost ratio of 3.79 were recorded over sole cropping. Pavankumar et al [40] recommended intercropping of Foxtail millet + G.nut/cotton/red gram 5:1 ratio. Sharmili et al [17] and Manjunath et al [34] reported that significantly higher soybean seed equivalent yield was recorded in 4:2 row ratio of soybean + foxtail millet (2,334 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and it was at par with 2:1 row ratio of soybean + foxtail millet (2,310 kg ha-1). Shwethanjali et al [59] reported that significantly higher plant height, number of branches, leaf area and total dry matter accumulation were recorded in intercropping of groundnut + foxtail millet with 6:1 row proportion. "Among the intercropping treatments, groundnut + foxtail millet (6:1) recorded significantly higher groundnut pod (1,744 kg ha-1), haulm (2,194 kg ha-1) and groundnut equivalent yield (1,876 kg ha-1) and thus registered more land resource use efficiency as marginal increase of LER value (1.14) and higher B:C ratio (2.23) as against B:C

ratio of sole groundnut of 2.14 and sole foxtail millet of 1.23".

#### **4. INTERCROPPING IN LITTLE MILLET**

Anishetra and Kalaghatagi [52] reported that sesame equivalent yield (667 kg ha-1) recorded with sesame + little millet (2:4) was at par with sesame + foxtail millet / proso millet (2:4). Chapke et al [31] from ICAR-Indian Institute of Millets Research, Hyderabad and Maitra and Shankar [60] recommended that in Orissa, Little millet + black gram in 2:1 row ratio; in Madhya Pradesh Little millet + Sesamum/soybean/pigeon pea in 2:1 row ratio and in Southern Bihar Little millet + pigeon pea in 2:1 row ratio are very intercropping systems common to get sustainable yield of Little millet. Dubey and Upadhyaya [61] reported that short duration little millet (JK-8) intercropped with medium duration pigeonpea (No.148) in 2:1 row ratio gave higher little millet GEY (1903 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), with highest Net monetary return (Rs.4335 ha-1) and B:C ratio (1.91). Keerthanapriva et al [62] reported that significantly higher little millet Grain Equivalent Yield (2083 kg ha-1) was recorded in little millet + small onion intercropping system and it was followed by little millet + cowpea (1742 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), while highest LER (1.32) was recorded in little millet + blackgram. They concluded that little millet + blackgram may be the best intercropping system but little millet + greengram/cowpea/small onion intercropping may also be recommended as an alternative option over the sole little millet crop. Kiranmai et al (2021) [57] concluded that highest Millet grain Equivalent Yield (3366 kg/ha) was recorded with little millet + redgram in 6:1 ratio, which was at par with little millet + Redgram in 4:1 ratio (3120 kg/ha) and recommended intercropping systems of little millet with redgram in 6:1 to obtain higher yields. Little millet and pigeon pea intercropped in 6:2 row ratio produced significantly higher dry matter production, ear length, grain weight, grain yield of little millet and pigeon pea as compared to their sole cropping [63]. Higher little millet equivalent vield was recorded in intercropping of little millet and pigeonpea in 6:2 row ratio with or without horsegram sequence as compared to rest of the treatments (Prasanna Kumar et al., [64]. Patil et al., [65] at Dharwad, Karnataka indicated that little millet + pigeonpea intercropping system of 5:1 row proportion recorded more dry weight, length of ear, grain weight and little millet grain yield, but the highest little millet equivalent yield (LMEY) was recorded with 4:2 row ratio. Prajwal and Kalaghatagi [66] reported that higher land

equivalent ratio (LER) was found in castor + little millet (1.58). Manjunath et al [34] reported Significantly lower grain yield of millets was recorded in 2:1 row ratio of soybean + little millet (1,177 kg ha-1) compared to rest of the treatments. The intercropping combination of soybean + little millet (4:2) resulted in higher resource use efficiency as LER (1.39) compared to pure stands of soybean and B:C ratio was 1.95 which clearly indicated advantage of intercropping with little millet (Manjunath and Salakinkop [37]. Pavankumar et al [40] recommended intercropping of Little millet + Black/Red gram/ Soyabean/Sesamum in 2:1 ratio. Sharmili et al [17] and Sharmili and Parasuraman [67] observed that the highest little millet equivalent yield was recorded with 4:2 row ratio of little millet and pigeonpea followed by 2:1, 6:2 and 3:1 row ratios. Relay cropping of little millet + horse gram recorded significantly higher little millet equivalent yield than that obtained under little millet alone. Sharmili and Parasuraman [67] also concluded that growing of little millet and pigeonpea in 6:1 row ratio with horsegram or mothbean in sequence have been found superior over other intercropping systems and also growing sole crop of little millet alone. [68] Shwethanjali et al., reported that Intercropping combination of groundnut and little millet (6:1) assured better resource use efficiency as LER (1.13) than pure stands of either groundnut or little millet and more B:C ratio of 2.16 and recorded groundnut equivalent yield (1,822 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) which was at par with groundnut + little millet/finger millet under same row ratio. Shalini et al [49] and Sharmili and Manoharan [69] reported that the yield attributes of little millet like number of productive tillers per hill and test weight was found to be increased when intercropped with pigeon pea at 6:1 ratio and thus intercropping little millet and pigeon pea at proportions of 6:1 or 6:2 is beneficial. Shivaraj [47] reported higher area time equivalent ratio (ATER) (1.17) in 4:2 row ratio of groundnut + millet intercropping systems, little while groundnut pod equivalent yield recorded with Groundnut + little millet (2,581 kg ha-1) was significantly lower than Groundnut finger millet/ foxtail millet in 4:2 row ratio. Sharmili and Manoharan [69] reported that when little millet intercropped with green gram at 8:2 ratio, recorded higher gross return (Rs. 57,036/ha), net return (Rs. 35,531/ha) and benefit-cost ratio (2.65) followed by intercropping of little millet with blackgram and small onion compared to other intercrops. Intercropping of little millet with greengram recorded 15 per cent increase in net

return over the sole crop of little millet. Sharmili et al. [70] stated that intercropping little millet with pigeonpea in 6:1 row ratio followed by sequential cropping of horsegram recorded higher grain and straw yield along with higher gross return (Rs. 86,379 ha<sup>-1</sup>), net return (Rs. 48,209 ha<sup>-1</sup>) and benefit cost ratio (2.26). She also mentioned that intercropping little millet and pigeon pea at proportions of 6:1 or 6:2 is beneficial. Shashidhar et al. [19] reported that higher finger millet equivalent yield was recorded with in little millet + pigeonpea (4:2) compared to 3:1 and 5:1 row proportions. Little millet grown along with small onion and radish recorded higher grain equivalent yield followed by intercropping of little millet with pulses (Sharmili and Manoharan) [69]. Sharmili and Yasodha [71] and Sivagamy et al. [72] presented that higher grain (652 kg/ha) and straw yields (1676 kg/ha) were recorded with little millet + pigeonpea at 8:2 ratio followed by sequential crop of blackgram and it was at par with little millet + pigeonpea followed by horsegram sequence. Sharmili et al [73] concluded that the yield attributes of little millet like number of productive tillers per hill and test weight is found to be increased when intercropped with pigeonpea at 6:1 ratio. Significantly higher value of LER (1.46), highest gross return (Rs. 86,379/ha), net return (Rs. 48,209/ha) and benefit cost ratio (2.26) were observed with little millet + pigeonpea horsegram (6:1), which was statistically at par with little millet + pigeonpea - mothbean (6:1). Vajjaramatti and Kalaghatagi [74] reported significantly higher area time equivalent ratio (ATER) in pigeon pea + little millet (1.64) in 1:2 row ratio compared to sole crops. Keerthanapriya et al (2019) [62] reported that significantly higher little millet Grain Equivalent Yield (2083 kg ha-1) was recorded in little millet + small onion intercropping system and it was followed by little millet + cowpea (1742 kg  $ha^{-1}$ ), while highest LER (1.32) was recorded in little millet + blackgram. They concluded that little millet + blackgram may be the best intercropping Little millet system but intercropping greengram/cowpea/small onion may also be recommended as an alternative option over the sole little millet crop.

#### **5. INTERCROPPING IN PROSO MILLET**

Anishetra and Kalaghatagi [52] reported that intercropping of sesame with proso millet in 2:4 row ratio recorded net returns (Rs. 32314), B:C (3.41) and LER (1.27), which were 49.6, 27.2 and 27 percent higher than sole proso millet planting, respectively and it was more profitable over sesame + proso millet intercropping in 1:2/3:3 row ratio. In Bihar and Uttar Pradesh generally, intercropping of Proso millet + green gram in 2:1 ratio is in practice and in Western Bihar, Potato - Proso millet cropping sequence is profitable [31]. The yield enhancement in intercropping can be achieved by altering light distribution as observed in proso millet + mung bean (2:4) facilitating greater resource use efficiency, photosynthate production and proper conversion to sink [75]. The grain yield of proso millet when intercropped with mung bean was improved by 6.8-37.3% compared with the pure stand of proso millet in China [75] and this was achieved delay in the proso millet flag leaf senescence in intercropping which helped in increasing grain yield of millet. Gong et al [76] reported that the mean yields in intercropping systems of Proso millet + Moong bean 2 + 2, 4+2, 4 + 4 and 2 + 4 were greater by respectively 17.1%, 6.8%, 20.1%, and 37.3% than that in sole Proso millet. The LERs for the intercropping patterns were all greater than unity (>1), maximum LER was obtained with Proso millet + Moongbean (2+4) i.e., 1.86 in first year and and 2.22 in second year of study. Milenkovic et al. [77] reported that 1:1 ratio of soybean and proso millet in intercrop resulted in high biomass yield in Belgrade, Serbia. Manjunath et al. [58] mentioned that intercropping pigeonpea + proso millet (1:2) resulted in higher net return and B:C ratio over sole cropping. Pavankumar et al, [40] recommended intercropping of Proso millet + Green gram 2:1 ratio.

#### 6. INTERCROPPING IN BARNYARD MILLET

Anonymous [78] and Maitra [15] concluded that crop mixtures of barnyard millet 90% and soybean 10% was found feasible system. The next best is found to be the mixed cropping of barnyard millet with amaranths (90:10 by weight). Intercropping of barnyard millet + Red gram (6:1) was the utmost remunerative intercropping system for increased overall productivity of the system. Anonymous [79] concluded that when barnyard millet was intercropped with amaranthus (90:10 by weight) at Ranichauri during 2017, the Barnyard millet grain equivalent yield (2308 kg/ha) and Benefit cost ratio (3.02) was significantly higher than in other treatments. Chapke et al. [31] mentioned that in Uttaranchal barnyard millet and rice bean (4:1) intercropping system is recommended. Humeshwar et al. [80] reported that intercropping of Barnyard millet with

Pigeonpea in 4:1, 6:1 and 8:1 row ratio were proved more economical than sole Barnvard millet and these were recorded with B:C 2.65, 2.68 and 2.67 with a margin of 9.9. 11.2 and 10.7 percent, respectively over sole barnyard having B:C (2.41). Kumar et al, [63] and Shalini et al, [49] recommended intercropping of Barnyard millet + Soybean/rice bean (9:1). Pavankumar et al, [40] recommended intercropping of Barnyard millet + ricebean in 4:1 row ratio. Singh and Arya [48] reported that the grain yield of barnyard millet was higher in pure stand compared to crop mixture, but combination of barnvard millet and soybean (seed mixture of (90:10 percent recorded higher LER (1.45) and barnyard millet equivalent yield. Sukanya et al [81] reported that Intercropping of either barnyard millet + horse gram or barnyard millet + rice bean/Niger was better inter cropping system and barnyard millet + rice bean (4:1) under rainfed conditions produced higher grain yield in intercropping system with 50 per cent N.

## 7. INTERCROPPING IN KODO MILLET

Sufficient research work has not been carried out on intercropping of Kodo millet. However, on the basis of available literature, some information is presented here. Chapke et al, [31] recommended intercropping of Kodo millet + Pigeon pea in 2:1 ratio In Madhya Pradesh. The intercropping of Kodo millet + Green gram/black gram combination in 2:1 ratio and Kodo millet + Soybean in 2:1 ratio is also advised to practice. Dubey and Shrivas [82] postulated that higher kodo millet equivalent yield and gross and net monetary returns, Rs. 7403 and 3397/ha, respectively with an additional returns of Rs. 904 ha-1 over the sole crop of kodo millet were obtained in intercropping of kodo millet and pigeonpea in 2:1 planting ratio. Mahajan [83] found that highest and lowest values for Kodo millet equivalent yield were obtained with pigeon pea intercropping and intercropping with guar, respectively. Among intercropping systems, Intercropping of Kodo millet + pigeon pea recorded higher B:C of 1.42. Humeshwar et al [80] reported that intercropping of Kodo millet with Pigeonpea in 4:1, 6:1 and 8:1 row ratio were proved more economical than sole Kodo millet and these were recorded with B:C 3.33, 3.31 and 3.08 with a margin of 14.8, 14.1 and 6.2 percent, respectively over sole Kodo millet having B:C (2.90). Kumar et al, [63] and Shalini et al [49] reported that intercropping of Kodo millet + black gram/ pigeon pea (4:1) is beneficial. Pavankumar et al, [40] recommended intercropping of Kodo

millet + Soyabean/Red/Black/Green gram 2:1 ratio. Shalini *et al*, [49] recommended Intercropping of soybean/urd bean/pigeon pea/rice bean with Kodo millet to get higher monetary returns.

# 8. CONCLUSION

To ensure the food and nutritional security under the threat of global warming and climate change conditions, we have to go for some climate smart and effective crop technologies by following crop diversification and intercropping. Due to multifaceted benefits like greater resource use, better soil health and agricultural sustainability, inclusions of millets and intercropping may be best option to address all current challenges and meets the world's current and future food needs on sustained basis. Minor millets are ecologically hardy crops which can provide food and nutritional security. The sole planting of minor millets is not so remunerative because of low productivity compared to other cereal crops and hence this situation can be overcome by following intercropping in millets. On the basis of reviewed literature, it can be concluded that for Finger millet, the intercropping of finger millet + pigeon pea (8-10: 2), finger millet + field bean (6-8: 1-2), finger millet + soybean (9:1) and finger millet + mothbean/blackgram (4:1), while for Foxtail millet, Foxtail millet + groundnut (2:1), foxtail millet + cotton (5:1) and foxtail millet + pigeon pea (5:1) intercropping are highly beneficial. For Little millet intercropping, Little millet + black gram (2:1), Little millet + Sesamum /soybean/pigeon pea (2:1), Little millet + pigeon pea (2:1) are successful, while Proso millet + green gram (2:1), barnvard millet + rice bean (4:1), Kodo millet + Pigeon pea (2:1) and Kodo millet + Green gram/black gram/ Soybean (2:1) are also advised to farmers to enhance their economic returns and yield.

# **COMPETING INTERESTS**

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

# REFERENCES

- Brahmachari K, Sarkar S, Santra DK, Maitra S. Millet for food and nutritional security in drought prone and red laterite region of Eastern India, International Journal of Plant and Soil Science. 2018;26(6):1-7
- 2. Yadav OP, Rai KN, Gupta SK. Pearl millet: genetic improvement for tolerance to

abiotic stresses. In: Improving Crop Resistance to Abiotic Stress. Edited by N. Tuteja, S. S. GilL and R. Tuteja. Wlley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA. 2012;261-288.

- 3. Gupta SM, Arora S, Mirza N, Pande A, Lata C, Puranik S. Finger millet: a "certain" crop for an "uncertain" future and a solution to food insecurity and hidden hunger under stressful environments. Frontiers in Plant Science. 2017;8:643.
- 4. De Vries FT, Griffiths RI, Knight CG, Nicolitch O, Williams A. Harnessing rhizosphere microbiomes for droughtresilient crop production. Science. 2020;368: 270–274.
- Behera MK. Assessment of the state of millets farming in India. MOJ Ecology & Environmental Sciences. 2017;2(1):16-20.
- Desai AD, Kulkarni SS, Sahoo AK, Ranveer RC, Dandge PB. Effect of supplementation of malted ragi flour on the nutritional and sensorial quality characteristics of cake. Advance Journal of Food Science and Technology. 2010; 2(1):67-71.
- Rao BR, Nagasampige MH, Ravikiran M. Evaluation of nutraceutical properties of selected small millets. Journal of Pharmacy and Bioallied Sciences. 2011;3(2):277-279.
- 8. Itagi S, Naik R, Yenag N. Versatile little millet therapeutic mix for diabetic and nondiabetics. Asian Journal of Science and Technology. 2013;4:33-35.
- Saleh ASM, Zhang Q, Chen J, Shen Q. Millet grains: nutritional quality, processing, and potential health benefits. Comprehensive Reviews in Food Science and Food Safety. 2013;12(3):281–295.
- 10. Verma V, Patel S. Value added products from nutria-cereals: Finger millet (*Eleusine coracana*). Emirates Journal of Food and Agriculture. 2013;25(3):169-176.
- Wafula WN, Siambi M, Ojulong H, Korir N, Gweyi-Onyango J. Finger millet (*Eleusine coracana*) fodder yield potential and nutritive value under different levels of phosphorus in rainfed conditions. Journal of Agriculture and Ecology Research; 2017;10(4):1-10.
- 12. FAO. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. FAOSTAT. https://www.fao.org/faostat/en/#data/QCL/ metadata (accessed on 20 August, 2022).
- 13. Dubey RP, Chethan CR, Choudhary VK, Mishra JS. A review on weed management

in millets. Indian Journal of Weed Science. 2023;55(2):141-148.

- Padulosi S. Unlocking the potential of minor millets. Appropropriate Technology. 2011;38:21-23.
- Maitra S. Intercropping of small millets for agricultural sustainability in drylands: A review. Crop Research. 2020;55(3 & 4):162-171.
- Udhaya Nandhini D, Somasundaram E. Intercropping - A substantial component in sustainable organic agriculture. Indian Journal of Pure and Applied Biosciences. 2020;8(2):133-143.
- Sharmili K, Yasodha M, Rajesh P, Rajendran K, Sugitha T, Minithra R. Millet and pulse-based intercropping system for agricultural sustainability - A review. Crop Research. 2021;56(6):369-378.
- Nicholls CI, Altieri MA. Plant biodiversity enhances bees and other insect pollinators in agroecosystems: A review. Agronomy for Sustainable Development. 2013; 33:257-274.
- Shashidhar GB, Basavaraja R, Nadagouda B. Studies on pigeonpea intercropping systems in small millets under shallow red soils. Karnataka Journal of Agricultural Science. 2000;13(1):7-10.
- 20. Kiwia A, Kimani D, Harawa R, Jama B, Sileshi G. Sustainable Intensification with Cereal-Legume Intercropping in Eastern and Southern Africa. Sustainability. 2019;11(10):2891.
- 21. Opole RA. Opportunities for enhancing production, utilization and marketing of finger millet in Africa. African Journal of Food, Agriculture, Nutrition and Development. 2019;19:13863-82.
- 22. Ngwira AR, Aune JB, Mkwinda S. On-farm evaluation of yield and economic benefit of short-term maize legume intercropping systems under conservation agriculture in Malawi. Field Crops Research. 2012;132:149-57.
- 23. Pappa VA, Rees RM, Walker RL, Baddeley JA, Watson CA. Legumes intercropped with spring barley contribute to increased biomass production and carryover effects. Journal of Agricultural Science. 2012;150(5):584-594.
- 24. Dhaka AK, Pannu RK, Kumar S, Malik K, Singh B. Biological feasibility, economic viability and energy efficiency of intercropping fodder sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor*) in seed crop of dhaincha

(Sesbania aculeata). Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 2015;85(1):20-27.

- Dass A, Sudhishri S. Intercropping finger millet (*Eleusine coracana*) with pulses for enhanced productivity, resource conservation and soil fertility in uplands of Southern Orissa. Indian Journal of Agronomy. 2010;55(2): 89-94.
- 26. Adhikari BN, Pokhrel BB, Shrestha J. Evaluation and development of finger millet (*Eleusine coracana* L.) genotypes for cultivation in high hills of Nepal. Farming and Management. 2018;3(1):37-46.
- Kumar B, Ray PK. Performance of intercropping of legumes with finger millet (*Eleusine coracana*) for enhancing productivity, sustainability and economics in Koshi region of Bihar. Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry. 2020;9(3):1568-571.
- 28. Bitew Y, Alemayehu G, Adgo E, Assefa A. Competition, production efficiency and yield stability of finger millet and legume additive design intercropping. Renewable Agriculture and Food Systems, Cambridge University Press; 2020. DOI:

https://doi.org/10.1017/S17421705200001 01.

- 29. Bitew Y. Influence of small cereal intercropping and additive series of seed proportion on the yield and yield component of lupine (*Lupinus* Spp.) in north western Ethiopia. Agriculture Forestry and Fisheries. 2014;3(2):133-141.
- 30. Bitew Y, Alemayehu G, Adgo E, Assefa A. Boosting land use efficiency, profitability and productivity of finger millet by intercropping with grain legumes. Cogent Food and Agriculture. 2019;5: Article No. 1702826.

doi.org/10.1080/23311932.2019.1702826.

- Chapke RR, Shyam Prasad G, Das IK, Hariprasanna K, Singode A, Kanthi Sri BS, Tonapi VA. Latest millet production and processing technologies. Booklet, ICAR-Indian Institute of Millets Research, Hyderabad 500030, India. 2020;82. (ISBN: 81-89335-90-X).
- 32. Gowda KKT, Jena BK, Ramamoorthy K, Dubey OP, Venkateshwara Rao T, Shankarlingappa BC, Ashok EG. Augmenting legumes production in small millet based cropping systems. In: National Agricultural Technology Project, AICRP on Small Millet. GKVK, Bangalore, India. 2004.

- Jakhar P, Adhikary PP, Naik BS, Madhu M. Finger millet (*Eleusine coracana*)– groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea*) strip cropping for enhanced productivity and resource conservation in uplands of Eastern Ghats of Odisha. Indian Journal of Agronomy. 2015;60(3):365- 371.
- Manjunath MG, Salakinkop SR, Varalakhmi A, Harish Nayak GH. Yield and nutrient status in the soil after harvest of soybean and millets in intercropping systems. The Pharma Innovation Journal. 2023; 12(3): 3636-3638.
- 35. Maitra S, Ghosh DC, Sounda G, Jana PK, Roy DK. Productivity, competition and economics of intercropping legumes in finger millet (*Eleusine coracana*) at different fertility levels. Indian Journal of Agricultural Science. 2000;70(12):824-828.
- 36. Mitra S, Ghosh DC, Sundra G, Jana PK. Performance of intercropping legumes in finger millet at varying fertility levels. Indian Journal of Agronomy. 2001;46(1):38-44.
- 37. Manjunath MG, Salakinkop SR. Growth and yield of soybean and millets in intercropping systems. Journal of Farm Sciences. 2017;30(3):349-353.
- Murali KT, Sheshadri, Byregowda, M. Effect of pigeonpea transplanting on growth, yield and economics in sole and finger millet intercropping system under late sown condition. Journal of Food Legumes. 2014;27(1):28-31.
- 39. Nigade RD, Karad SR, More SM. Agronomic manipulations for enhancing productivity of finger millet based on intercropping system. Advance research journal of crop improvement. 2012;3(1):8-10.
- 40. Pavankumar N, Himasree B, Hemalatha S. Agronomic interventions for enhanced productivity of minor millets. Agro Science Today. 2021;2(11):288-293.
- 41. Padhi AK, Panigrahi RK, Jena BK. Effect of planting geometry and duration of intercrops on performance of pigeonpeafinger millet intercropping system. Indian Journal of Agricultural Research. 2010;44(1):43-47.
- 42. Patil NB. Studies on intercropping of little millet with pigeonpea on alfisols of Dharwad, M.Sc. (Agri.) Thesis, University of Agriculture Science Dharwad, Karnataka, India. 2003.
- 43. Pradhan A, Thakur A, Sao A, Patel DP. Biological efficiency of intercropping in finger millet (*Eleusine coracana* L.) under

rainfed condition. International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences. 2014;3(1):719-723.

- 44. Prakash V, Chandra S, Srivastva AK. Relay cropping of wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) in finger millet (*Eleusine coracana*) + pigeon pea (*Cajanus cajan*) intercropping system under rainfed conditions in mid- hills of north-west Himalaya. Indian Journal of Agricultural Science. 2005;75(10):676-678.
- Ramamoorthy K, Christopher Laurduras A, Radhamani S, Sankaran N, Thiyaghorasan TM. Effect of intercropping of field bean on productivity of finger millet under rainfed condition. Crop Research. 2003;26(3):515-518.
- Ramamoorthy KA, Christopher L, Alagudurai S, Kandawamy OS, Murgaappan V. Intercropping pigeonpea (*Cajanas cajan*) in finger millet (*Eleusine coracana* L.) on productivity and soil fertility under rainfed conditions. Indian Journal of Agronomy. 2004;49(1):28-30.
- 47. Shivaraj DH. Intercropping of groundnut with minor millets in northern transition zone of Karnataka. M. Sc. (Agri) Thesis, University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad, Karnataka, India. 2015.
- Singh RV, Arya MPS. Nitrogen requirement of finger millet (*Eleusine coracana* L.) + pulse intercropping system. Indian Journal of Agronomy. 1999;44(1):47-50.
- Shalini, Brijbhooshan, Didal VK. Sustaining minor millet production in hilly areas of Uttarakhand through intercropping of minor millets and pulses- A Review. International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Science. 2019;8(11):397-406.
- 50. Thorat ST, Sonune SP, Chavan SA. Intercropping of some pulse and oilseed crops in kharif ragi. Journal of Maharashtra Agricultural University. 1986;11(3):268-271.
- Yadav RC. Racy Nature (Land and Water)-SIMM (System of Intensification of Minor Millets) combo endowed with eco-zero weeding towards quantum mechanics. Acta Scientific Agriculture. 2018;2(7):61-73.
- 52. Anishetra S, Kalaghatagi SB. Evaluation of Sesame (*Sesamum indicum* L.) based intercropping systems with millets by varying row proportions under dry condition. Indian Journal of Agricultural Research. 2021;55(4):501-504.

- 53. Basavarajappa R, Prabhakar AS, Halikatti SI. Foxtail Millet (*Setaria italica* L.) based inter cropping systems under shallow Alfisols. Karnataka. Journal of Agricultural Science. 2003;16(4):514-518.
- 54. Biradar SA, Devarnavadagi VS, Shivalingappa H, Kolhar BC, Rathod SC. Performance of Pigeon pea (*Cajanus cajan* L.) based intercropping system with millets under Northern dry zone of Karnataka. Journal of Krishi Vigyan. 2020;9(1):277-281.
- Gurunanda Rao G. Intercropping systems in foxtail millet. Indian Farming. 1986; pp 8-9.
- 56. Himasree B, Chandrika V, Sarala NV, Prasanthi A. Evaluation of remunerative foxtail millet (*Setaria italica* L.) based intercropping systems under late sown conditions. Bulletin of Environment, Pharmacology and Life Sciences. 2017;6(3):306-308.
- Kiranmai MJ, Saralamma S, Reddy CVCM. Enhancing the millet system productivity with intercrops. Biological Forum – An International Journal. 2021;13(3b):81-83.
- 58. Manjunath M, Vajjaramatti, Kalaghatagi SB. Performance of pigeonpea and millets in intercropping systems under rainfed conditions. Journal of Farm Sciences. 2018;31(2):199-201.
- 59. Shwethanjali KV, Kumar Naik AH, Basavaraj Naik T, Dinesh Kumar M. Effect of groundnut based millets intercropping system on growth and yield of groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) under rainfed condition. International Journal of Agriculture Sciences. 2018a;10(17):7033-7034.
- Maitra S, Shankar T. Agronomic management of Little millet (*Panicum* sumatrense L.) for enhancement of productivity and sustainability. International Journal of Bioresource Science. 2019;6(2):97-102.
- 61. Dubey OP, Upadhyaya SP. Productivity and profitability of little millet (Panicum sumatrense) based intercropping system under rainfed conditions. Third National seminar on millets research and development - Future policy options in India. Jawaharlal Nehru Krishi Vishwa Vidhyalaya, Regional Agricultural Research Station, Dindori, Madhya Pradesh, 2001.
- 62. Keerthanapriya S, Hemalatha M, Joseph M, Prabina BJ. Assessment of

competitiveness and yield advantage of little millet based intercropping system under rainfed condition. International Journal of Chemical Studies. 2019;7(3):4121-4124.

- 63. Kumar BHP, Halikatti SI, Hiremath SM, Chittapur BM. Effect of intercropping system and row proportions on the growth and yield of little millet and pigeonpea. Karnataka Journal of Agricultural Science. 2008;21(4):479-481.
- 64. Prasanna Kumar BHS, Halikatti I, Hiremath SM, Chittapur BM. Effect of intercropping system and row proportions on the growth and yield of little millet and pigeonpea. Karnataka Journal of Agricultural Science. 2008;21(4):479-481.
- Patil NB, Halikatti SI, Sajay YH, Kumar PBH, Topagi SC, Pushpa V. Influence of intercropping on the growth and yield of little millet and pigeonpea. International Journal of Agricultural Science. 2010;6(2):573-577.
- 66. Prajwal N, Kalaghatagi SB. Studies on castor (*Ricinus communis* L.) based millets intercropping systems under rainfed condition. Journal of Farm Sciences. 2018;31(4):381-383.
- 67. Sharmili K, Parasuraman P. Effect of little millet based pulses intercropping in rainfed conditions. International Journal of Chemical Studies. 2018;6(6):1073-1075.
- 68. Shwethanjali KV, Naik AHK, Basavaraj Naik T, Dinesh Kumar M. Effect of groundnut + millets intercropping system on yield and economic advantage in central dry zone of Karnataka under rainfed condition. International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences. 2018b;7(9):2921-2926.
- 69. Sharmili K, Manoharan S. Studies on intercropping in rainfed little millet (*Panicum sumatrense*). International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences. 2018;7(2):323-327.
- Sharmili K, Parasuraman P, Sivagamy K. Studies on intercropping in rainfed little millet (*Panicum sumatrense*). International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences. 2019;8(3):299-304.
- 71. Sharmili K, Yasodha M. Agronomic research on intercropping millets and pulses A Review. Mysore Journal of Agricultural Science. 2021;55(4):1-10.
- 72. Sivagamy K, Ananthi K, Kannan P, Vijayakumar M, Sharmili K, Rajesh M, Nirmalakumari A, Parasuraman P. Studies

on agro techniques to improve the productivity and profitability of Samai + redgram intercropping system under rainfed conditions. International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences. 2020;9(6):4126-4130.

- Sharmili K, Parasuraman P, Sivagamy K. Effect of inter and sequential cropping of pulses in little millet (*Panicum sumatranse* L.) based cropping system. Indian Journal of Agricultural Research. 2023; 57(1):52-55.
- Vajjaramatti M, Kalaghatagi SB. Studies on pigeonpea based millets intercropping systems under rainfed conditions. M. Sc. (Agri) Thesis, University of Agricultural Science, Dharwad, Karnataka, India; 2016.
- Gong XW, Liu CJ, Ferdinand U, Dang K, Zhao G, Yang P, Feng BL. Effect of intercropping on leaf senescence related to physiological metabolism in proso millet (*Panicum miliaceum* L.). Photosynthetica. 2019;57(4):993-1006.
- 76. Gong Xiangwei, Ferdinand Uzizerimana, Dang Ke, Li Jing, Chen Guanghua, Luo Yan, Yang Pu, Feng Baili. Boosting proso millet yield by altering canopy light distribution in proso millet/mung bean intercropping systems. The Crop Journal. 2020;8(2):365-377.
- 77. Milenkovic M, Simic M, Brankov M, Milojkovic OD, Kresovic B, Dragicevic V. Intercropping of soybean and proso millet for biomass production. Journal on

processing and energy in agriculture. 2019;23(2):38-40.

- 78. Anonymous. Annual Report, All India Coordinated Research Project on Small Millets, ICAR, University of Agricultural Sciences, GKVK, Bangalore, Karnataka, India. 2000.
- 79. Anonymous. Annual Report, All India Coordinated Research Project on Small Millets, ICAR, University of Agricultural Sciences, GKVK, Bangalore, Karnataka, India. 2013.
- Humeshwar K, Thakur AK, Pradhan A, Sharma GK, Singh DP. Evaluation of millet based intercropping system under Rainfed upland situation. International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences. 2022;11(1):412-417.
- 81. Sukanya TS, Chaithra C, Nagaraja TE, Latha HS, Hadli Deepti C. Sustainable production of Barnyard millet through improved production technologies. International Journal of Environment and Climate Change. 2022;12(2):16-23.
- Dubey OP, Shrivas DN. Assessment of productivity and economics of kodo millet (*Paspulum scrobiculatum*) based inter cropping system under rainfed conditions. Indian Journal of Agronomy. 1997;42(2): 224-227.
- Mahajan 83. G. Effect of kodo millet (Paspalum scrobiculatum) based intercropping system on vield and economics of kodo millet under rainfed conditions. New Agriculturist. 2016;27(1): 121-124.

© 2023 Dhaka et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/110530