Corrigendum to 'the Role of Argument Strength and Informational Biases in Polarization and Bi-Polarization Effects', Journal of Artificial Societies and Social Simulation, 26 (2) 5, 2023

Carlo Proietti[1] and Davide Chiarella[1]

[1]*National Research Council of Italy (CNR), Institute for Computational Linguistics "A. Zampolli", Area di ricerca di Genova, Torre di Francia, Via de Marini 6 - 16149 Genova, Italy*
Correspondence should be addressed to *carlo.proietti@ilc.cnr.it*

Abstract: This corrigendum refers to 'The Role of Argument Strength and Informational Biases in Polarization and Bi-Polarization Effects', Journal of Artificial Societies and Social Simulation, 26 (2) 5, 2023.

Keywords: Argumentation, Argument Communication Theory, Polarization, Bi-Polarization, Opinion Dynamics, Epistemic Vigilance

Correction

1.1 This new Figure 3 replaces the original Figure 3 in Section 4.1 in Proietti & Chiarella (2023)Proietti and Chiarella (2023), as Figure 3(a) was, mistakenly, the same as Figure 3(c) in the original version.


Figure 3: Three different configurations of the global knowledge base, all with $Pos(v) = Neg(v) = 20$. $s_{G(v)} = 0.5$ for (a) and (c) and $= 0.75$ for (b)

References

Proietti, C. & Chiarella, D. (2023). The role of argument strength and informational biases in polarization and bi-polarization effects. *Journal of Artificial Societies and Social Simulation*, *16*(2), 5