



Effect of Relative Humidity on the Performance of Shaver Brown Hens in a Humid Tropical Environment

M. O. Okpara^{1*}, C. F. Egbu² and A. O. Ani³

¹Department of Animal Nutrition and Forage Science, Michael Okpara University of Agriculture, Umudike, Nigeria.

²AMDSS, AIFCE, Owerri, Nigeria.

³Department of Animal Science, University of Nigeria, Nsukka, Nigeria.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration between all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/AIR/2016/7547

Editor(s):

(1) Vincenzo Tufarelli, Department of DETO - Section of Veterinary Science and Animal Production, University of Bari "Aldo Moro", Italy.

Reviewers:

(1) Mohammed D. Salihu, Usmanu Danfodiyo University, Sokoto, Nigeria.

(2) Talha E. Abbas, University of Alneelain, Sudan.

Peer review History: <http://www.sciencedomain.org/review-history/15588>

Original Research Article

Received 25th October 2013
Accepted 12th September 2014
Published 30th July 2016

ABSTRACT

A total of seventy five Shaver Brown hens in their 4th week of lay were used in a study conducted to determine the effect of different relative humidity conditions on the performance of Shaver Brown hens under humid tropical environment. Hens were housed individually in separate cages. The hens were supplied with water *ad libitum* and fed on layers mash containing 16.5% crude protein and 2650 kcal/kg of metabolizable energy for 10 weeks. Relative humidity were recorded 3-hourly at time intervals of 0600 h, 0900 h, 1200 h, 1500 h, and 1800 h using a standard hygrometer and the mean daily relative humidity noted. The climatic data taken during the period of the experiment showed that the study area had the natural day-length of 13 to 14 hours; mean maximum weekly indoor and outdoor temperatures of 27.9°C to 29.2°C and 26.8°C to 30.5°C, respectively; mean minimum weekly indoor and outdoor temperatures of 20.5°C to 22.3°C and 20.0°C to 23.60°C, respectively; relative humidity of 73.1% to 76.6% and mean total monthly rainfall of 781.33 mm. Results showed that relative humidity significantly affected total egg

*Corresponding author: E-mail: morganokpara@gmail.com;

production, average daily feed intake and Haugh unit. The results of the present study indicate that although relative humidity had effect on performance, Shaver Brown hens are adapted to humid tropical environment.

Keywords: Egg production; heat stress; feed intake; relative humidity; temperature.

1. INTRODUCTION

For many years, researchers have been investigating the effect of relative humidity on the performance of different poultry species, including turkeys [1], young chickens [2], broilers [3], broiler breeders [4] and laying hens [5-7], and have found that variations in relative humidity from place to place affects productive performance.

Heat stress in poultry is prompted by combinations of environmental temperature and humidity that prevent the thermoregulatory processes of birds from effectively dissipating the heat produced during metabolism. Poultry birds are said to be thermally stressed when ambient temperature exceeds body temperature such that peripheral physiologic responses of the bird can no longer match the external changes [8]. During heat stress, the environmental parameters of relative humidity (RH) and ambient temperature (AT) in general and temperature humidity index (THI) in particular, have been reported to be an invaluable tool in the presumptive diagnosis of the animal state of health, and is also relevant in evaluating the adaptability of the animal [9,10].

Altan et al. [11] reported that high relative humidity and ambient temperature, increases heat stress and are responsible for the increase in rectal/body temperature. Chronic heat stress results in behavioural changes, depressed feed intake [12,13] and a wide range of metabolic activities [14], including elevation of body temperature, electrolyte, acid-base and hormonal imbalances [15]; and tissue damage [16]. Egg production and shell quality in laying hens will be depressed [17,18]. Excessively high relative humidity makes adaptation to extremes of temperature more difficult. An increase in relative humidity decrease loss of heat by evaporation at high temperatures and decreases thermal isolation of the animal at low temperatures [19].

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Location and Duration of Study

The study was carried out in the Poultry Unit of the Department of Animal Science Teaching and

Research Farm, University of Nigeria, Nsukka. It lasted for a period of ten weeks (1st July – 9th September, 2011). During this period, hens were observed daily and egg collection was done hourly between 0600 h and 1800 h for eight weeks. The study was conducted in late rainy season.

2.2 Experimental Birds

Seventy five Shaver brown hens in their 4th week of lay were used. The hens were selected from a flock of laying hens in the farm. Each hen was housed in individual battery cage as observations were made on individuals.

2.3 Production Parameters

Total egg number, average daily feed intake (ADFI) and egg quality (egg weight, egg shell weight, egg shell thickness and Haugh units) were measured during the study. Sixteen (16) eggs per strain were selected at random weekly for egg quality analysis.

2.4 Relative Humidity

Relative humidity were recorded taken 3-hourly (Fig. 1) at time intervals of 0900 h, 1200 h, 1500 h and 1800 h using a standard hygrometer (Harris, England) with a 50 calibration and the mean daily and weekly relative humidity noted.

2.5 Statistical Analysis

Data were subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA) using SPSS Package [20] windows version 8.0. Significantly different means were separated using Duncan's New Multiple Range Test [21]. The statistical procedures used were as described by Steel et al. [22].

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Total Egg Production

Talkuder et al. [23] reported an increase in egg production when relative humidity was 60-70% with decreased egg production for any RH

regime outside that range. The result is however at variance with that of the present study (Table 1). Altan et al. [11] reported that high ambient temperature and relative humidity increases heat stress and responsible for the increase in rectal/body temperature. Asli et al. [17] and Ajakaiye et al. [18] reported that egg production was depressed at high relative humidity, which is at par with the results of the present findings. Although relative humidity significantly affected total egg production in the present study, highest total egg production was recorded at RH of 76.40%. This could be attributed to the differences in the environmental

conditions of the different study locations, breed used and feeding.

3.2 Average Daily Feed Intake

Talkudor et al. [23] reported higher feed intake with decreasing relative humidity. Dagher [12] and Oguz et al. [13] reported lower feed intake with increased relative humidity. In the present study, RH significantly affected ADFI. However, findings from the present study showed that relative humidity of 76.00% and 76.40% recorded the highest ADFI (62.50 g and 62.85 g respectively). The variations in the results




Fig. 1. Average weekly temperatures (indoor and outdoor) and relative humidity of the study area

Table 1. Effect of relative humidity on performance of Shaver brown hens

Parameters	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	SEM
	73.40	73.90	74.10	74.30	75.00	75.70	76.00	76.40	
Total egg production	52.4 ^{ab}	48.7 ^b	46.5 ^b	47.7 ^b	49.1 ^b	47.4 ^b	50.4 ^{ab}	56.4 ^a	0.85
Average daily feed intake (g/ bird per day)	60.8 ^{ab}	58.9 ^b	55.1 ^c	60.9 ^{ab}	59.6 ^b	58.8 ^b	62.5 ^a	62.9 ^a	0.40
Egg weight (g)	65.2	64.7	64.3	63.1	63.7	62.9	64.3	66.7	0.46
egg shell weight (g)	8.07	8.06	8.07	8.03	8.05	8.0	8.10	8.10	0.01
Haugh unit	85.2 ^b	82.7 ^d	81.2 ^e	82.6 ^d	82.7 ^d	81.2 ^e	83.3 ^c	86.5 ^a	0.24

a,b,c,d,e: Mean values in a row with different superscripts are significantly different ($p < 0.05$).
 * = ($p < 0.05$); SEM: Standard error of the mean

of the present study with that of earlier reports could be attributed to the variations in relative humidity of the different study locations. Although variations in relative humidity significantly affected feed intake, the findings of the present study suggest that relative humidity affects average daily feed intake.

3.3 Egg Weight

Talkudor et al. [23] reported that egg weight increased with decreasing relative humidity. Ajakaiye et al. [18] also reported decreased egg production, which however does not conform with the findings of the present study. Highest egg weight of 64.32 g and 66.16 g were recorded when the RH was 76.00% and 76.40% respectively (Table 1), although the differences were not significant. The result however, does not conform with earlier reports. The reason could be attributed to the type of breed used and different study locations.

3.4 Egg Shell Weight

Asli [17] reported that high relative humidity increases heat stress. Egg production and egg shell weight in laying hens will be reduced under heat stressed conditions [17,18]. In the present study however, relative humidity did not significantly affect egg shell weight of Shaver brown hens. This could be as a result of differences in breed type and nature of feed used.

3.5 Haugh Unit

Mashaly et al. (2004) reported higher haugh unit in birds exposed to high relative humidity above 50%. In the present study, relative humidity significantly affected Haugh units of Shaver Brown hens. The highest haught unit (86.46) was recorded under a mean relative humidity of 76.40%.

4. CONCLUSION

If relative humidity is allowed to exceed normal ranges for laying hens, then egg production, egg size, and growth will be negatively affected. This environmental factor along with others affects the metabolism of birds, which is responsible for the output of eggs, meat, and body heat to maintain normal physiological processes and functions. High air humidity may affect the bird in an additive manner if it is imposed concurrently.

This can negatively affect hen's egg production, feed intake and efficiency, and physiological status.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Mckee SR, Sams AR. The effect of seasonal heat stress on rigor development and the incidence of pale, exudative turkey meat. *Poult Sci.* 1997;76:1616-1620.
2. Henken AM, Grrote-Schaarsberg AMJ, Nieuwland MGB. The effect of environmental temperature on immune response and metabolism of the young chicken. 3, effect of environmental temperature on the humoral immune response following injection of sheep red blood cells. *Poult Sci.* 1983;62:51-58.
3. Cooper MA, Washburn KW. The relationships of body temperature to weight gain, feed consumption and feed utilization in broilers under heat stress. *Poult Sci.* 1998;77:237-242.
4. McDaniel CD, Bramwell RK, Wilson JL, Howarth Jr B. Fertility of male and female broiler breeders following exposure to elevated ambient temperatures. *Poult Sci.* 1995;74:1029-1038.
5. Emery DA, Vohra P, Ernst RA, Morrison SR. The effect of cyclic and constant ambient temperatures on feed consumption, egg production, egg weight and shell thickness of hens. *Poult Sci.* 1984;63:2027-2035.
6. Muiruri HK, Harrison PC. Effect of roost temperature on performance of chickens in hot ambient environments. *Poult Sci.* 1991; 70:2253-2258.
7. Whitehead CC, Bollengier-Lee S, Mitchel MA, Williams PEV. Alleviation of depression in egg production in heat stressed laying hens by Vitamin E. *Proceedings of 10th European Poultry Conference, Jerusalem, Israel.* 1998;576-578.
8. Ezekwe AG. Managing livestock farms. Unpublished M.Sc. lecture notes. Department of Animal Science, University of Nigeria, Nsukka. 2011;5-15.
9. Tao X, Xin H. Temperature-humidity-velocity-index for market size broilers, Nevada, USA. *Proceedings of the ASAE*

- Annual International Meeting. 2003;Paper Number 034037.
10. Karaman S, Tahran S, Ergunes G. Analysis of indoor climatic data to assess the heat stress of laying hens. *IJNES*. 2007;1(2):65-68.
 11. Altan O, Pabuccuaoglu A, Konyalioglu S, Bayrackter H. Effect of heat stress on oxidative stress, lipid peroxidation and some stress parameters in broilers. *Br Poult Sci*. 2003;44(4):545-550.
 12. Daghir NJ. Poultry production in hot climates. 2nd edition, CAB International, Wallingford, UK. 2008;387.
 13. Oguz FK, Oguz MN, Buyukoglu T, Sahindokuyucu F. Effects of L-carnitine and vitamin C-electrolyte premix supplementation to diet containing safflower seed on performance, egg quality and some serum parameters in quails under summer condition. *Journal of Animal Science and Veterinary Advances*. 2010; 9:1212-1215.
 14. Sahin K, Sahin N, Orderci M. Vitamin E supplementation can alleviate negative effects of heat stress on egg quality, digestibility on nutrients and egg yolk mineral concentrations of Japanese quails. *Res. Vet. Sci*. 2002;73:307-312.
 15. Holik V. Management of laying hens to minimize heat stress. *Lohman Info*. 2009; 44(1):16-29.
 16. Sinkalu VO, Ayo AB, Adelaiye B, Hambolu JO. Combined effects of Vitamins A, C, and E on diurnal variations in rectal temperature of Black Harco Pullets during the hot-dry season. *Proceedings of the 13th Conference of the Animal Science Association of Nigeria (ASAN)*. 2008;158-159.
 17. Asli MM, Hosseini SA, Lotfollahian H, Shariatmadari F. Effects of probiotics, yeast, Vitamin E and Vitamin C supplements on performance and immune response of laying hens during high environmental temperature. *Int J Poult Sci*. 2007;6(12):895-900.
 18. Ajakaiye JJ, Cuesta-Mazorra J, Garcia-Diaz JR. Vitamins C and E can alleviate effects of heat stress on live weight and some egg quality profiles of laying hens. *Pakistan Veterinary Journal*. 2011;31(1): 45-49.
 19. Leeson S. Nutritional considerations of poultry during heat stress. In: *World's Poult, Sc. J*. 1986;42:68-91.
 20. SPSS. Statistical package for social sciences windows version 8, Copyright SPSS Inc, USA; 2003.
 21. Duncan DB. New multiple range test. *Biometrics*. 1955;11:1-42.
 22. Steel RGD, Torrie JH, Dickey DA. Principles and procedures of statistics, A biometrical approach. 2nd edition, Mc-Graw Hill, Singapore; 1997.
 23. Talkuder S, Islam T, Sarker S, Islam MM. Effects of environment on layer performance. *J Bangladesh Agril Iniv*. 2010;8(2):253–258.

© 2016 Okpara et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
<http://sciencedomain.org/review-history/15588>