Journal of Advances in Microbiology



22(11): 107-114, 2022; Article no.JAMB.93266 ISSN: 2456-7116

Multi-Drug Resistant *Campylobacter jejuni* of Poultry Origin in Ado-Ekiti, Nigeria

O. O. Jegede ^a, A. O. Ajayi ^b, G. O. Daramola ^{c,d*}, A. Ojo ^e, A. Egbebi ^f, O. O. Ogunfolakan ^f, A. O. Ojerinde ^g and E. M. Aluko ^h

 ^a Ekiti State Ministry of Health, Ado-Ekiti, Ekiti State, Nigeria.
 ^b Department of Microbiology, Federal University, Oye-Ekiti, Ekiti State, Nigeria.
 ^c Department of Medical Microbiology and Parasitology, Ekiti State University Teaching Hospital, Ado-Ekiti, Ekiti State, Nigeria.
 ^d Department of Pure Sciences, National Open University of Nigeria, Ado-Ekiti, Study Centre, Ado-Ekiti, Ekiti State, Nigeria.
 ^e Ekiti State College of Health Science and Technology, Ijero-Ekiti, Ekiti State, Nigeria.
 ^f Department of Medical Laboratory Science, Afe Babalola University, Ado-Ekiti, Ekiti State, Nigeria.
 ^g Department of Medical Laboratory Science, Federal University, Oye-Ekiti, Ekiti State, Nigeria.
 ^h Ministry of Health and Human Services, Secretariat Complex, Ado Ekiti, Ekiti State, Nigeria.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/JAMB/2022/v22i11687

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/93266

Original Research Article

Received 03 September 2022 Accepted 05 November 2022 Published 11 November 2022

ABSTRACT

The occurrence of *Campylobacter jejeni* in the large intestine of domestic fowls in Ado-Ekiti was assessed using standard microbiological procedures. One hundred faecal swabs were inoculated into modified cefoperazone charcoal deoxycholate agar (mCCDA). Twenty-seven isolates of Campylobacter jejeni were recovered from the birds. Biochemical identification of the isolates was carried out using oxidase and catalase tests. Antibiotic susceptibility test was carried out using standard disc diffusion method as specified by the Clinical Laboratory Standard Institute (CLSI), to the following antibiotics; amoxicillin, cefoperazone, ceftazidime, aztreonam, ceftriaxone, pefloxacin, ciprofloxacin, levofloxacin, enrofloxacin and norfloxacin. The pattern of resistance was as follows; Amoxicillin (66.7%), cefoperazone (48.1%), ceftazidime (66.7%), aztreonam (40.7%), ceftriaxone

^{*}Corresponding author: E-mail: gabrielodaramola@yahoo.com;

(74.15), pefloxacin (51.9%), ciprofloxacin (33.3%), levofloxacin (40.7%), enrofloxacin (22.25) and norfloxacin (59.3%). Twenty-three different multiple resistance pattern were observed among the isolates. The high level resistance observed in this study poses significant health risk to the general public, a synergistic collaboration is therefore suggested between public health policy-makers and researchers to curb this ugly trend.

Keywords: Gene; virulence; Campylobacter jejuni; invasiveness; genetic diversity.

1. INTRODUCTION

Campylobacter is a gram negative, curved spiral or rod shaped catalase positive and oxidase positive bacterium that is micro-aerophilic in nature [1]. "Campylobacter are very important cause of food illness worldwide. Campylobacter are micro-aerophilic, motile, helical to vibrioid gram negative rods 0.2-0.5 µm by 1.5-5 µm in size. Their appearance varies from curved to spiral or gull wing shaped. Gull wing shapes are formed when daughter cells do not separate" 2002). "They are motile (Leonard. bv means of polar flagellate at one or both ends and more in straight lines with a cork-screw motion" [2].

"Campylobacteriosis is an infectious diseases caused by *Campylobacter jejuni*. It is also referred to as *Campylobacter enteritis*. Most cases of *Campylobacter jejuni* are sporadic. *Campylobacter jejuni* is a species of curved, helical shape forming gram negative, microphilic bacteria commonly found in animal feaces" [3]. "It is one of the most common causes of human gastroenteritis in the world. Food poisoning caused by *Campylobacter* species can be severally debilitating, but rarely life threatening. It has been linked with subsequent development of Guillain-Barre Syndrome (GBS), which usually develops two to three weeks after the initial illness" [4].

"Most cases of Campylobacteriosis do not require antimicrobial treatment since they are clinically mild and self-limiting in nature, although antimicrobial therapy is required for serious enteritis and systemic infection. Macrolides and fluroquinolones are considered as drugs of choice for the treatment of enteric infections and intravenous aminoglycoside for those cases present with systemic manifestations" [5]. "In many cases fluoroquinolone are preferred if the differential diagnosis include Salmonella. Shigella or other enteric bacterial pathogens. Antimicrobial resistance to various drugs is on the rise and has been reported from several countries during previous years" (Michaud et al. 2003).

"Recently, a dramatic rise in the number of resistant *Campylobacter* to quinolone, ampicillin and erythromycin was reported from various centers of the developed world" (Chuma et al. 2004). Similarly, Ibrahim et al. reported a consistent rise in antimicrobial resistance to quinolones, ampicillin (Ibrahim et al. 2004).

"Antimicrobials have been used in animal feed (farm animals and poultry), most commonly used drugs are either identical to or are related to those administered to humans. includina cephalosporin and fluoroquinolone. These antimicrobial agents are given to animals as therapy for an infection, or in the absence of illness for sub therapeutic purposes with the goals of growth promotion and enhanced feed efficiency. Food producing animals, especially poultry, are considered as one of the most important sources of Campylobacter infection among human being. Many studies have shown that poultry meat available at supermarket have been contaminated by Campylobacter jejuni" [6].

"Moreover, various studies suggest that the incidence of antimicrobial resistant strains have increased with the introduction of the sub-therapeutic and therapeutic use of these drugs in animals" [7]. "The use of antimicrobials in animal feed selects resistant strain and enhances their persistence in the environment. Drug resistance in *Campylobacter* and other organism can increase the frequency and severity of infections" (Molbak et al. 2000).

Campylobacteriosis is an infectious diseases caused by Campylobacter species. This infection can be treated with the use of antibiotics but may have one or multiple resistance to some Fluoroquinolone antibiotics [8-15]. and cephalosporin for the treatment of Campylobacter infections have been doubtful following the emergence of multi-drug resistance in Campylobacter [16-20]. This study was therefore carried out to isolate and characterize Campylobacter from some domestic animals and to show the number of multiple resistance as Campylobacter is currently a zoonotic disease of considerable magnitude.

Due to the emergence, dissemination and public health risks that may be posed by various mechanisms contributing to β eta lactamase resistance in *Campylobacter* isolates from poultry.

2. METHODOLOGY

2.1 Study Area

This study was carried in the Microbiology lab of Ekiti State University, Ado-Ekiti, southwest, Nigeria. Ado Ekiti, lies between latitude $7^{\circ}35^{\circ}$ and $7^{\circ}38^{\circ}$ North of the equator and Longitude $5^{\circ}10^{\circ}$ and $5^{\circ}15^{\circ}$ East of the Greenwich Meridian. It has a population of 308, 626 [21].

2.2 Sample Collection

One hundred poultry faecal samples were collected from apparently healthy chickens within the study area- Ado-Ekiti. The samples were collected with the aid of sterile swab stick which is carefully dipped into the rectum of the chicken and rotated gently. One swab stick was used for each chicken; samples were taken to the laboratory and processed within 1hr of collection.

2.3 Sample Processing

Thermophilic *Campylobacter* spp. was isolated by direct inoculation on selective /enrichment medium. Ten millilitre of faecal suspension was streaked into mCCDA and incubated micro aerobically (6%, O_2 , 7%CO₂, 7%H₂, 80%N₂) at 42^oC for 24 to 48hrs after which the swab stick streaked into mCCDA plates and incubated micro aerobically as above at 42^oC for 2 to 3days.

Faecal sample were inoculated directly into sterile plates of mCCDA (modified charcoal cefoperazone deoxycholate agar). Streaked to obtain discrete colonies and incubate at 42°C within 24-48hrs (Lund et al. 2003). Characterisation of the isolates was done through catalase and oxidase tests.

Antibiotic Sensitivity Test (AST) was carried out using standard disc diffusion procedure specified by the clinical lab standard institute (CLSI). Muller hinton agar plates were prepared according to manufacturer's specification; the organism was incubated into the tryptone soy broth and then standardized using 0.5 MacFarland turbidity standard dried at 42^oC for 3hrs. After incubation, sterile swab sticks were

used to inoculate the Muller Hinton agar plates. The plates were left on the bench for few minutes. and allowed to dry. The antibiotic discs (OXOID) were firmly placed on the inoculated plates with sterile forceps. The antibiotics from the disc were allowed to diffuse into the medium for 30minutes at room temperature and the plates were incubated micro aerobically at 42°C for 48hrs. The antibiotics discs for gram negative bacteria contained: amoxycillin (Amx) used 25µg. cefoperazone (Cfp) 75µg, ceftazidime (Caz) 30µg, aztreonam (Atm) 30µg, ceftriaxone (Cro) 30µg, Pefloxacin (Pef) 5µg, ciprofloxacin (Cip) 5µg, Levofloxacin (Lev) 1µg, Enrofloxacin (Enr) 5µg and Norfloxacin (Nor) 10 µg. After incubation, the plates were examined for zone of inhibition around the paper disc. The zones of inhibition were interpreted by comparing with the standard antibiotic sensitivity chart to determine the resistance pattern.

3. RESULTS

Tentative identification of Campvlobacter spp was based on its morphological appearance of curved, spiral and distinct colonies with mucoid on mCCDA. Biochemically, all the isolates were positive for catalase, oxidase test. The overall susceptibility of the isolates revealed that were resistant to ceftazidime, 18(66.7%) 11(40.7%) 20(74.1%) to ceftriaxone, to aztreonam, 18(66.7%) to amoxicillin, 13(48.1%) cefoperazone. 14(51.9%) to pefloxacin, to ciprofloxacin, 6(22.2%) 9(33.3%) to to enrofloxacin. 16(59.3%) to norfloxacin. 11(40.7%) to levofloxacin. Each of the isolates was resistant to all the antibiotics with resistance to ceftazidime and amoxicillin having the highest percentage and resistance to enrofloxacin being the least (Table 1). 14 different multiple resistant pattern were resistant to cephalosporin from the *Campylobacter* isolated from poultry birds in this study (Tables 2 & 3). A total of 23 different multiple resistant patterns were observed in the Campylobacter spp isolated from the poultry birds in this study. The predominant pattern for cephalosporin and fluoroquinolone was CAZ-CRO-AMC-CFP-ATM-ENR-NOR-LEV-CIP-PEF (Table 4).

4. DISCUSSION

The results indicate that *Campylobacter's* are present in the large intestine of chicken. *Campylobacter* generally is considered to be a commensal that normally inhabits the gut of healthy birds, cattle and chicken including

humans. Isolation of Campylobacter species by direct swabbing on Campylobacter selective agar (mCCDA) has been reported by other workers including [22] (Savasan et al. 2005). Recently, a diameter rise in the number of resistant fluoroquinolone Campylobacter to and Cephalosporin was reported from various centers of the developed world (Chuma et al. 2005). In this study, high resistance of isolates to antibiotics was observed. The data showed high most drugs tested prevalence to among Campylobacter isolates. Infection with Campylobacter's is established zoonoses and the organism can be transmitted to human body via food (meat and milk), water and through contact with farm animals.

A number of potential risk factor associated with Campylobacter infection include inadequate cooked chicken, domestic pets, untreated water, food poor hygiene and handling practices [23]. Campylobacter after entering into the environment use its particular characteristics, unique metabolism along with complete citric acid cycle, complex and highly branched respiratory chain and great regulatory infections them to colonize a number enable of environments in addition to mammalian gut (Kelly, 2001). Poultry are some of the most important sources of Campylobacter infection in humans and the water supply has shown to be a prominent factor in colonization of Campylobacter in chickens (Kapperud et al. 1993), in addition distribution of Campylobacter species in chicken was similar to that seen in humans, suggesting that both of these food sources play a significant role in human infection [24-32].

Based on forgoing evidence, domestic animal and poultry could be considered as a link between natural habitat of Campylobacter and human being [33,34]. Therefore, to determine possibility of dissemination of Campylobacter and estimate their frequency of occurrence in domestic animal and poultry, the present study was conducted to isolate Campylobacter spp. from fecal sample of poultry [35-42]. The result obtained from the present study indicated that all survey was contaminated with different level of Campylobacter. According to our observations, the major vehicle of Campylobacter in the area was relatively poultry. Several studies parallel to our finding have shown that the poultry is a major source of Campylobacter and chicken meat is predominantly associated with Campylobacter infection in man (Humphery et al. 1993).

Table 1. Overall resistance of *Campylobacter* spp. Isolated from chicken to single antibiotics

S/n	Antibiotics	Resistance N=27
1	CAZ	18(66.7%)
2	CRO	20(74.1%)
3	ATM	11(40.7%)
4	AMC	18(66.7%)
5	CIP	9(33.3%)
6	NOR	16(59.3%)
7	LEV	11(40.7%)
8	ENR	6(22.2%)
9	CFP	13(48.1%)
10	PEF	14(51.9%)

Legend: CAZ-Ceftazidime, CFP-Cefoperzone, AMC-Amoxycillin, ATM-Aztreonam, CRO, Ceftriaxone, PEF-Pefloxacin, CIP-Ciprofloxacin, LEV-Levfloxacin, ENR-Enrofloxacin, NOR-Norfloxacin

Table 2. Multiple antibiotic	c resistance pattern to	o fluoroquinolone	among isolates
			J

Antibiotics	Resistance	Sub total	
2	NOR-CIP	2	
	NOR-LEV	2	
	ENR-NOR	1	
	LEV-PEF	1	8
	NOR-PEF	2	
3	NOR-LEV-PEF	1	
	NOR-CIP-PEF	3	
	ENR-NOR-LEV	1	
	ENR-LEV-PEF	1	
	NOR-LEV-CIP	1	8
	LEV-CIP-PEF	1	
4	ENR-NOR-CIP-PEF	1	
	ENR-NOR-LEV-PEF	1	2
5	ENR-NOR-LEV-CIP-PEF	1	1

Antibiotics	Resistance pattern	Sub total	
2	CRO-AMC	3	
	CAZ-CFP	1	
	CAZ-CRO	1	
	CAZ-AMC	1	6
3	CRO-CFP-ATM	2	
	CAZ-CRO-AMC	3	
	CAZ-CRO-CFP	1	6
4	CAZ-CRO-AMC-ATM	2	
	CRO-AMC-CFP-ATM	1	
	CAZ-CRO-AMC-CFP	3	
	CAZ-AMC-CFP-ATM	1	
	CAZ-CRO-CFP-ATM	1	8
5	CAZ-CRO-AMC-CFP-ATM	3	3

Table 3. Multiple antibiotic resistance pattern to cephalosporin among isolates

 Table 4. Overall multiple resistance pattern to fluoroquinolone and cephalosporin among isolates

No of antibiotics	Resistance pattern	Sub total	Total
2(2)	CAZ-AMC	1	
	NOR-PEF	1	2
3(3)	CAZ-CRO-CRP	1	
	CAZ-CRO-NOR	1	
	LEV-CIP-PEF	1	3
4(5)	AMC-NOR-LEV-PEF	1	
	CAZ-CRO-AMC-ATM	2	
	CAZ-ENR-NOR-LEV	1	5
	CRO-AMC-ENR-NOR	1	
5(7)	CAZ-CRO- AMC-CFP-PEF	2	
	CRO-CFP-ATM-NOR-CIP	1	
	CAZ-CRO-AMC-NOR-LEV	1	
	CAZ-CRO-AMC-CFP-ATM	1	
	CAZ-CFP-ENR-LEV-PEF	11	
	CRO-AMC-LEV-CIP-PEF		7
6(3)	CAZ-AMC-CFP-ATM-NOR-CIP	1	
	CAZ-CRO-AMC-NOR-LEV-CIP	1	
	CRO-AMC-CFP-ATM-LEV-PEF	1	3
7(1)	CAZ-CRO-AMC-CFP-NOR-CIP-PEF	1	1
8(2)	CAZ-CROAMC-CFP-ATM-NOR-LEV-PEF	1	
	CAZ-CROAMC-ATM-ENR-NOR-LEV-PEF	1	2
9(2)	CAZ-CRO-CFP-ATM-ENR-NOR-LEV-CIP-PEF	1	
	CAZ-CRO-AMC-CFP-ATM-ENR-NOR-CIP-PEF	1	2

The Campylobacter species isolated in this study Enrofloxacin, showed (22.2%) (59.3%) Norfloxacin, which is contrary to the (50%) Enrofloxacin and (60%) Norfloxacin. But the result is in concordance with the result of [43]. The prevalence of antibiotic resistance of Campylobacter spp. from humans to fluoroquinolone resistance of these organism in poultry (Gallay et al. 2007). This study showed that the resistance rate of Campylobacter to Ceftriaxone was quite high in chicken (74.1%). This is consistent with the findings of (Ibrahim et al. 2004). In this study it was observed that the resistance rate of Enrofloxacin was very low. This could be that the drug is not used for animals and or in humans in the study area, Enrofloxacin is a first-generation fluoroquinolone, which makes drugs the most effective and could be used as the last line of drug for treatment of antimicrobial resistant *Campylobacter* infections among chickens in the study area. It is also a broad-spectrum antibiotic, the original drugs in the fluoroquinolone family have been shown to exhibit excellent antibacterial activity. The high

sensitivity of all the isolates to Enrofloxacin could be as a result of its restricted use for treatment of clinical infections in humans (Ibrahim et al. 2004).

Multiple resistance in Campylobacter spp. was observed in this study among all the isolates. High findings have been reported by (Engberg et al. 2001). Twenty three different multiple antibiotic resistance patterns were obtained and the most prominent antibiotics resistance patterns exhibited by some isolates recovered from the chicken were CAZ-CRO-AMC-CFP-PEF (which is the most dominant). The emergence of multiple antibiotic resistance Campylobacter in chicken implies that very few options of antibiotics may be available for therapeutic and sub therapeutic used in these animals in case of infection of Campylobacter. Similarly, these multiple resistant strains could be disseminated into the environment and even transmitted to humans either through contact or consumption [6].

The successful outcome of antimicrobial therapy with antibiotics depends on several factors such as host mechanism, the location of infection and properties of antibiotics (Pankey et al. 2004). However, the presence of antibiotic resistance Campylobacter could raise important questions concerning the acquisition or colonization of the gut of chickens, which are often raised domestically. They are neither fed with feed supplement containing antibiotics. However, the most likely route through which antibiotic resistant Campylobacter could colonize the gut of local chicken could be feeding. Humans in the community who are carriers of antibiotics resistant bacteria could defecate and shed the bacteria into the environment (Molback et al. 1999). During feeding, they may pick up the feces or other fecally contaminated food materials directly from the environment. The resistant bacteria may also be acquired from contaminated water sources while drinking.

Moreover, local chickens scavenge pit and waste dumps for food where they may possibly pick up residual antibiotics that may have been disposed.

5. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDA-TION

As a result of the relatively high prevalence of antibiotic resistance *Campylobacter* in chicken, as discovered by the findings in the research work, it is absolutely necessary to prevent the emergence of resistant bacteria and possibly outbreaks of disease they may cause.

In conjunction with pharmaceutical companies, researchers can initiate continuous surveillance programme on a regular basis to monitor the prevalence in animals and possible transmission to antibiotic resistance bacteria to humans in the environment. Scientific and political efforts need to collaborate to eradicate the problem of antibiotic resistance. Control of antibiotic resistance is also needed to conserve the usefulness of the remaining drugs that are sensitive.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

- Corry JEL, Atabay HI, Forsythe SJ, Mansfield LP. Culture media for the isolation of campylobacters, helicobacters and arcobacters, In: Corry JEL, Curtis GDW, Baird RM. Eds. Handbook of Culture Media for Food Microbiology. 2nd edn. Elsevier Sci. Amsterdam. 2003;271-315.
- Van der walt ML. Campylobacter jejuni infection, in: infectious diseases livestock, 2nd Ed, edited by J. A. W. Coetzer and R. C. Tustin. Cape town: Oxford University Press. 2004;1479-1483.
- 3. Ryan KJ, Ray CG. (editors). Sherris Medical Microbiology (4th ed.). McGraw Hill. 2004;378–80.
- Fujimoto S, Allos BM, Misawa N, Patton CM, Blaser MJ. Restriction fragment length polymorphism analysis and random amplified polymorphic DNA analysis of Campylobacter jejuni strains isolated from patients with Guillian-Barre syndrome. Journal of Infectious Disease. 1997;176: 1105-1108.
- 5. Gaudreau C, Michaud S. Cluster of erythromycin- and ciprofloxacin-resistant *Campylobacter jejuni* subsp. jejuni from 1999 to 2001 in men who have sex with men, Quebec, Canada. Clinical Infection Diseases. 2003;37:131–136.
- 6. Luber P, Wagner J, Hahn H, Bartelt E. Antimicrobial resistance in *Campylobacter jejuni* and *Campylobacter coli* strains isolated in 1991 and 2001–2002 from poultry and humans in Berlin, Germany.

Antimicrobial Agents Chemotheraphy. 2003;47:3825–3830.

- Engberg J, Aarestrup FM, Taylor DE, Gerner-Smidt P, Nachamkin I. Quinolone and macrolides resistance in *Campylobacter jejuni* and *Campylobacter coli*: resistance mechanisms and trends in human isolates. Emergence Infection Diseases. 2001;7:24–34.
- Altekruse SF, Stern NJ, Swerdlow DL. Campylobacter jejuni – An Emerging Foodborne Pathogen. Emerging Infectious Diseases. 1999;5(1):28-35.
- 9. Black RE, Levine MM, Clements ML, Hughes TP, Blaser MJ. Experimental Campylobacter jejuni infection in humans. Journal of Infectious Diseases. 1988; 157:472-479.
- Boucher SN, Slater ER, chamberlain AH. L, Adams MR. Production and viability of coccoid forms of *Campylobacter jejuni*, Journal of Applied Bacteriology. 1994; 77:303-307.
- Broman T, Palmgren H, Bergstrom S, Sellin M, Waldenstrom J, Chaveerach P, Keuzenkamp DA, Urlings HA, Lipman LJA, van Knapen F. In vitro study on the effect of organic acids on Campylobacter jejuni/coli populations in mixtures of water and feed. Poult. Sci. 2002;81:621–628.
- 12. Corry JEL, Post DE, Colin P, Laisney MJ. Culture media for the isolation of Campylobacters. International Journal of Food Microbiology. 1995;26:43-76.
- 13. Danielsson-Tham ML, Olsen B. *Campylobacter jejuni* in Black-Headed Gulls (Larus ridibundus): Prevalence, Genotypes, and Influence on *C. jejuni* Epidemiology; 2002.
- Friedman CR, Niemann J, Wegener HC, Tauxe RV. Epidemiology of *Campylobacter jejuni* infections in the United States and other industrialised nations. Campylobacter (Nachampkinl & Blaser, M. J, eds). 2000;121–138.
- Geneva: WHO. World Health Organization. World Health Report 2002. Reducing Risks, Promoting Healthy Life; 2002.
- 16. Griffiths PL. Morphological change of *Campylobacter jejuni* growing in liquid culture. Letters in Applied Microbiology. 1993;17:152-155.
- Hald B, et al. Flies and campylobacter infection of broiler flocks. Emerg. Infect. Dis. 2004; 10:1490–1492.
- 18. Humphrey, Tom et al. O'Brien S, Madsen M. Campylobacters as zoonotic

pathogens: A food production perspective <internet>. International Journal of Food Microbiology. 2007;117(3):237–57.

- Humprey T, O'Brien S, Madsen M. Campylobacters as zoonotic influence on *C. jejuni* epidemiology. Journal Clinical Microbiology. 2007;40:4594–4602.
- 20. Jassim SS, Malik A, Aldridge A. Small bowel perforation: An unusual cause. Grand Rounds. 2011;11:17–19.
- Oriye O. The impact of urban expansion on the land use types of Ado Ekiti, Nigeria, Aribisala JO, Jemiriye, T.F and Adewole S.O. (eds.) University of Ado Ekiti. 2008;50-51.
- 22. Yildirim M, Ustanbulluoolu E, Ayvali B. Prevalence and Antibiotic Susceptibility of Thermophilic Campylobacter Species in Broiler Chickens. Turk. J. Vetenary of Animal Science. 2005;29:655-660.
- 23. McMahon DJ, Mahmood F. Endemic campylobacter in south auckland. CDNZ. 1993;93:70-72.
- 24. Moore JE, et al. Campylobacter. Vet Res. 2005;36(3):351–82.
- 25. Parkhill J, Wren BW, Mungall K. The genome sequence of the food-borne pathogen *Campylobacter jejuni* reveals hypervariable sequences. Nature. 2000;403:665–668.
- 26. Pathogens: A food production perspective. International Journal of Food Microbiology. 117:237-257.
- Pearson AD, Greenwood M, Healing TD, Rollins D, Shahamat M, Donaldson J. Colonisation of Broiler chickens by waterborne *Campylobacter jejuni*. Applied Environmental Microbiology. 1993;59:987-986.
- Perera VN, et al. Molecular mimicry in Campylobacter jejuni:role of the lipooligosaccharide core oligosaccharide in inducing anti-ganglioside antibodies. FEMS Immunology Medical Microbiology. 2007;50:27-36.
- 29. Prescott JF. Campylobacter in: Diagnostic procedures in veterinary Bacteriology and Mycology, 5th Ed, edited by G. R. carter and J. R. cole. San diego, California: Academic Press. 1990;6:61-75.
- Saenz Y, Zarazaga M, Lantero M, Gastanares M, Bacuero F, Torres C. Antibiotic resistance in Campylobacter strains isolated from animals, foods and humans in Spain in 1997–1998. Antimicrobial Agents Chemotheraphy. 2000;44:267–271.

- Simbert RM. The genus campylobacter. Annual Review of Microbiology. 1978; 32:673
- Skirrow MB. Campylobacter enteritis. International Journal of Food Microbiology. 1991;12:9-16
- Takkinen J, Ammon A, Robstad O, Breuer T, Campylobacter IGdts. Etude europe´enne sur la surveillance et le diagnostic de Campylobacter (2001). European Surveillance. 2003;8:207–213.
- Vandamme P, Dewhist EE, Paster BJ. Genus I. Campylobacter sebald and Veron 1963, 907AL. In Bergeys manual of systematic Bacteriology. 2005;2(part C):1147-1160.
- 35. Kakoyiannis CK, Winter PJ, Marshall RB. The relationship between intestinal Campylobacter species isolated from animals and humans as determined by BRENDA. Epidemiology Infection. 1998; 100:379–387.
- Ketley JM. Pathogens of enteric infection by campylobacter. Microbiology. 1997; 143:5-21.
- Lastovica AJ. Emerging Campylobacter spp. the tip of the ice berg. Clinical Microiological Newsletter. 2006;28:49-56.
- Lazaro B, Carcamo J, Audicana A, Perales
 I, Fernandez-Astorga A. Viability and DNA

maintenance in nonculturablespiral *C. jejuni* cells after long-term exposure to low temperatures. Applied and Environmental Microbiology. 1999;65:4677-4681.

- Lévesque B, Brousseau P, Bernier F, Dewailly É, Joly J. Study of the bacterial content of ring-billed gull droppings in relation to recreational water quality. Water Research. 2000;34:1089-1096.
- McDermott PF, Bodeis SM, English LL, White DG, Walker RD, Zhao S, Simjee S, Wagner DD. Ciprofloxacin resistance in *Campylobacter jejuni* evolves rapidly in chickens treated with fluoroquinolones. Journal of Infectious Disease. 2002;185: 837–840.
- 41. Medema GJ, Schets FM, Vanne de Giessen AW, Harelaar AH. Lack of colonisation of one day-old chicks by viable non culturable *Campylobacter jejuni*. 1992;72:512-516
- 42. Miller WG, Wang G, Fontanoz S, Lastovica AJ. Extended multilocus sequence typing system for *Campylobacter coli*, *C. lari*, *C. upsaliensis*, and *C. helveticus*. Journal of Clinical Microbiology. 2005;43:2315–2329.
- 43. Moore JE, Barton MD, Blair IS. The epidemiology of antibiotic resistance in Campylobacter. Microbes of Infection. 2006;8:1955–1966.

© 2022 Jegede et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/93266