

Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research

34(18):55-67, 2022; Article no.JAMMR.87729 ISSN: 2456-8899 (Past name: British Journal of Medicine and Medical Research, Past ISSN: 2231-0614, NLM ID: 101570965)

Significance of Serum Relaxin in Diabetic and Non-Diabetic Patients with Chronic Kidney Disease

Eman A. Elashmoney a*, Nashwa M. El-Gharbawy ^a , Hossam A. Hodeib ^b and Mousa M. Al-Naggar ^a

> *a Internal Medicine Department, Faculty of Medicine, Tanta University, Egypt. ^b Clinical Pathology Department, Faculty of Medicine, Tanta University, Egypt.*

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/JAMMR/2022/v34i1831426

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/87729

Original Research Article

Received 20 March 2022 Accepted 31 May 2022 Published 01 June 2022

ABSTRACT

Diabetes mellitus (DM) is a chronic metabolic disorder characterized by persistent hyperglycemia. It may be due to impaired insulin secretion, resistance to peripheral actions of insulin, or both. The aim of the work is to evaluate significance of serum relaxin in diabetic and non-diabetic patients with chronic kidney disease. 60 subjects aged from (18years to 60 years) in internal medicine department at Tanta University hospital (outpatient –inward). This study was carried out from between March 2020 to March 2021. There was insignificant difference between groups according to age There was an inverse significant correlation between serum relaxin level and HBA1C in group 2 .but not in group 3. There was an inverse significant correlation between serum relaxin level and creatinine in group 2 .and in group 3. the best cut of level of relaxin hormone in discriminating normal individuals fron CKD patients was 150 ng/dl with an area under the curve(AUC) of 0,988 yeilding sensitivity of 93%, specifity of 95% , positive predictive value (PPV) 97%, negative predictive value(NPV) 86% and accuracy of 93%. Serum RLX levels are significantly lower in patients with CKD than healthy subjects. Furthermore, when compared to non-diabetic patients, they are much lower in diabetic patients. This research suggests that RLX could be a useful therapeutic option for diabetic nephropathy patients with developed fibrosis. RLX has a high safety profile, with potentially fewer adverse effects than conventional treatments because it is a naturally occurring physiological hormone. However, further effort is required.

**Corresponding author*

Keywords: Serum relaxin; diabetic; chronic kidney disease.

1. INTRODUCTION

"Diabetes mellitus (DM) is a chronic metabolic disorder characterized by persistent hyperglycemia. It may be due to impaired insulin secretion, resistance to peripheral actions of insulin, or both" [1].

"Chronic hyperglycemia in synergy with the other metabolic aberrations in diabetic patients can cause damage to various organ systems, leading to the development of disabling and lifethreatening health complications, most prominent of which are micro vascular (retinopathy, nephropathy, and neuropathy) and macro vascular complications leading to a 2-fold to a 4 fold increased risk of cardiovascular diseases. In 2015, the International Diabetic Federation estimated that the prevalence of diabetes was 8.8% from ages 20 to 79 years affecting a population of approximately 440 million people" [2].

"One of the most important clinical features of diabetes is its association with chronic tissue complications. A short-term increase in hyperglycemia does not result in serious clinical complications. The duration and severity of hyperglycemia is the major causative factor in initiating organ damage. Early morphological signs of renal damage include nephromegaly and a modified Doppler, but the degree of damage is best ascertained from proteinuria and Glomerular filtration rate (GFR)" [2-3].

"The average incidence of diabetic nephropathy is high (3% per year) during the first 10 to 20 years after diabetes onset. Typically, it takes 15 years for small blood vessels in organs like kidney, eyes and nerves to get affected. It is estimated that more than 20 and up to 40% of diabetic patients will develop chronic kidney disease (CKD) depending upon the population, with a significant number that develop end stage renal disease (ESRD) requiring renal replacement therapies such as kidney transplantation. Incidentally, diabetes with no clinical sign of kidney damage during the initial 20 to 25 years is significantly less likely (1% a year) to cause major renal complication later in life" [4-6].

"Relaxin (RLX) is mainly known as a reproductive hormone which is produced by the corpus luteum and/or placenta in many species. The relaxin peptide family in humans consists of seven members, relaxin-1, -2 and -3 and insulin-like (INSL) peptides 3, 4, 5 and 6" [7-8].

Relaxin regulates cardiovascular (CV) functions modulating blood pressure (BP), inflammation, cell injury/death, fibrosis, and angiogenesis. It also induces vasodilation ameliorating endothelial dysfunction in hypertension (HTN) [9].

The aim of the work is to evaluate significance of serum relaxin in diabetic and non-diabetic patients with chronic kidney disease.

2. PATIENTS AND METHODS

The study was carried out on: 60 subjects aged from (18years to 60 years) in internal medicine department at Tanta University hospital (outpatient –inward). This study was carried out from between March 2020 to March 2021. Study design: It is cross-sectional study.

2.1 Inclusion Criteria

- 1. Patients with chronic kidney disease with diabetes.
- 2. Patients with chronic kidney disease without diabetes.
- 3. Age from 18-60 years.

2.2 Exclusion Criteria

- 1. Auto-immune diseases (SLE, thyroiditis)
- 2. Liver diseases.
- 3. Pregnancy.
- 4. Type 1 diabetes mellitus.
- 5. Patients with eGFR<15 ml/min/1.73 m2 or on dialysis.
- Subjects will be divided into three groups: \Box
- Group (1): 20 healthy individuals (control \Box group).
- \Box Group (2): 20 chronic kidney disease patients with diabetes.
- Group (3): 20 chronic kidney disease \Box patients without diabetes.
- All included cases will be subjected to the \Box following:
- 1. Complete history taking.
- 2. Complete clinical examination.
- 3. Laboratory investigation:
- \Box Blood glucose level (fasting -2 hours postprandial).
- HbA1c. \Box
- \Box Serum creatinine.
- \Box eGFR (will be calculated using MDRD equation).
- \Box GFR (mL/min/1.73m2) =
- Serum relaxin level. \Box

3. METHODOLOGY

3.1 Principle of the Assay

This assay employs the quantitative sandwich enzyme immunoassay technique. Antibody specific for RLN2 has been pre-coated onto a micro plate. Standards and samples are pipetted into the wells with a Horseradish Peroxidase (HRP) conjugated antibody specific for RLN2. Following a wash to remove any unbound reagent, a substrate solution is added to the wells and color develops in proportion to the amount of RLN2 bound in the initial step. The color development is stopped and the intensity of the color is measured.

3.2 Sample Collection and Storage

Serum Use a serum separator tube (SST) and allow samples to clot for two hours at room temperature or overnight at 4°C before centrifugation for 15 minutes at 1000 ×g. Remove serum and assay immediately or aliquot and store samples at -20°C or -80°C. Avoid repeated freeze-thaw cycles.

Plasma Collect plasma using EDTA, or heparin as an anticoagulant. Centrifuge for 15 minutes at 1000 x g, 2 - 8°C within 30 minutes of collection. Assay immediately or aliquot and store samples at -20°C or -80°C. Avoid repeated freeze-thaw cycles. Centrifuge the sample again after thawing before the assay.

3.3 Measurement of Serum Relaxin Using ELISA Technique

The Quantikine® ELISA Human Relaxin–2 Immunoassay (R & D Systems; USA & Canada R & D Systems, Inc.) in a 4.5 hour solid phase ELISA designed to measure human Relaxin in cell culture supernates, serum, and plasma. It contains E. coil–expressed recombinant human Relaxin and has been shown to accurately quantitate the recombinant factor. Results obtained using natural human Relaxin showed linear curves that were parallel to the standard

curves obtained using the Quantikine standards. These results indicated that the Quantakine Human Relaxin kit can be used to germinate relative mass values for naturally occurring human Relaxin.

3.4 Regent preparation

Kindly use graduated containers to prepare the reagent. Bring all reagents to room temperature (18-25°C) before use for 30min. Distilled water is recommended to be used to make the preparation for reagents. Contaminated water or container for reagent preparation will influence the detection result.

Wash Buffer (1x): If crystals have formed in the concentrate, warm up to room temperature and mix gently until the crystals have completely dissolved. Dilute 15 ml of Wash Buffer Concentrate (20 x) into deionized or distilled water to prepare 300 ml of Wash Buffer (1 x).

Standard: Centrifuge the standard vial at 6000- 10000rpm for 30s. Reconstitute each lyophilized Standard with 0.5 ml of ddH2O. Mix the standard to ensure complete reconstitution and allow the standard to sit for a minimum of 10 minutes with gentle agitation prior to use.

Assay procedure: Bring all reagents and samples to room temperature before use. Centrifuge the sample again after thawing before the assay. It is recommended that all samples and standards be assayed in duplicate.

- 1. Prepare all reagents, working standards, and samples as directed in the previous sections.
- 2. Determine the number of wells to be used and put any remaining wells and the desiccant back into the pouch and seal the Ziploc, store unused wells at 4°C.
- 3. Add 50μl of Standard or Sample per well. Standard need test in duplicate.
- 4. Add 50μl of HRP-conjugate to each well. Mix well and then incubate for 1 hour at 37°C.
- 5. Aspirate each well and wash, repeating the process two times for a total of three washes. Wash by filling each well with Wash Buffer (200µl) using a squirt bottle, multi-channel pipette, manifold dispenser, or auto washer, and let it stand for 10 seconds, complete removal of liquid at each step is essential to good performance. After the last wash, remove

any remaining Wash Buffer by aspirating or decanting. Invert the plate and blot it against clean paper towels.

- 6. Add 50μl of Substrate A and 50μl of Substrate B to each well, mix well. Incubate for 15 minutes at 37°C. Keeping the plate away from drafts and other temperature fluctuations in the dark.
- 7. Add 50μl of Stop Solution to each well, gently tap the plate to ensure thorough mixing. 8. Determine the optical density of each well within 10 minutes, using a micro plate reader set to 450 nm.

3.5 Statistical Analysis

The sample size was calculated using Epi-Info software statistical package created by World Health organization and center for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, Georgia, USA version 2002. The criteria used for sample size calculation (n>33) were 95% confidence limit, 80% power of the study, expected outcome in in treatment group 90% compared to 60% for control groups.

Analysis of data were performed by SPSS v25 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Quantitative parametric variables (e.g. age) were presented as mean and standard deviation (SD). They were compared between the two groups by unpaired student's t- test and within the same group by paired T test. Quantitative non-parametric variables (e.g. VAS) were presented as median and range and compared between the two groups by Mann Whitney (U) test and within the same group by Wilcoxon test. P value < 0.05 was considered significant.

4. RESULTS

This study was conducted in Tanta University hospital on 60 subjects. Subjects divided to 3 groups.

Group 1 consists of 20 healthy subjects (control group) age ranged from 29-60 years old with a mean value of 50.90±8.58.

Group 2 consists of 20 chronic kidney disease (CKD) diabetic patient age ranged from 36-60 years old with amean value of 51.25±7.28.

Group 3 consists of 20 chronic kidney disease (CKD) non-diabetic patients age ranged from 33- 60 years old with amean value of 52.20±7.34.

There was insignificant difference between groups according to age (p=0.861).Table (1).

4.1 Gender Distribution in Studied Groups

Group 1: (healthy) included 8 females (40 %) and 12 males (60 %).

Group 2: (CKD Diabetic patients) included 6 females (30%) and 14 males (70%).

Group 3: (CKD non-Diabetic patients) included 8 females (40 %) and 12 males (60 %).

There was insignificant difference according to qender $(P = 0.750)$. Table (2).

Table (3) showed the clinical data of all studied subjects, there was no significant difference according to BMI and disease duration (P > 0.05).

There was a significant difference among group 1, 2 and 3 according to SBP and DBP.

SBP is significally higher in group 2 & 3, there was significant differance between group 1(control group) and group 2 (CKD diabetic patient) ($P = 0.017$) and between group 1(control group) and group 3(CKD non diabetic patients)($P = 0.004$) but there was no significant difference between group 2(CKD diabetic patient) & 3(CKD non diabetic patient) $(P = .573)$.

DBP is significally higher in group 2 & 3, there was significant difference between group 1 (control) and group 2 (CKD diabetic patient) (P=.026) but there was no significance difference between group 1(control) and group 3(CKD non diabetic patients) $(P = .061)$ and also no significant difference between group 2 (CKD diabetic patients)&group 3(CKD non diabetic patients) (P= .717).

Table (6): showed Laboratory investigations of the studied groups.

There was no significant difference according to HB and serum albumin level ($P > 0.05$).

Table (5) showed kidney function tests of the studied groups, There was a significant difference between the studied group according to blood urea and serum creatinine and GFR. The highest value of urea and creatinine were in group 2 and the lowest values were in group 1.But according to GFR the highest value in group 1 and lowest value in group 2.

Table 1. Age distribution in studied groups

F (ANOVA)

Sex Group 1 Group 2 Group 3 Total Male N 12 14 12 38 % 60.0% 70.0% 60.0% 63.3% Female N 8 6 8 22 % 40.0% 30.0% 40.0% 36.7% Total N 20 20 20 60 % 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% Chi-square x2** 0.574 P-value 0.750

Table 2. Gender distribution in studied groups

** Statistically significant at p ≤ 0.05 x 2 **(Chi square test)*

Table 3. Clinical Data of the studied groups

BMI=body mass index, SBP = Systolic blood pressure, DBP = Diastolic blood pressure

P1 comparison between group 1&2

P2 comparison between groyp 1&3

*P3 comparison between group 2&3 * Statistically significant at p ≤ 0.05*

F (ANOVA)

There was a significant increase in Serum Creatinine in group 2 (CKD diabetic patient) compared to group 1(control)(P=.001) and a significant increase in group 3(CKD non diabetic patient) compared to group 1(control)(P=.001) and there was also significant difference between group 2(CKD diabetic patient) and group 3(CKD non diabetic patient) (P=.0.036*).

There was a significant increase in serum urea in group 2 (CKD diabetic patient) compared to group 1(control)(P=.001) and a significant increase in group 3(CKD non diabetic patient) compared to group 1(control)(P=.001) and between group 2(CKD diabetic patient) and group 3(CKD non diabetic patient) (P=.008).

There was a significant decrease in GFR in group 2 (CKD diabetic patient) compared to group 1(control)(P=.001) and significant decrease in group 3(CKD non diabetic patient) compared to group 1(control)(P=.001) but there was no significance between group 2(CKD diabetic patient) and group 3(CKD non diabetic patient) (P=.222).

Table (6) showed Serum relaxin level within the studied groups, there was a significant difference between the studied group according to serum relaxin. The highest value was in group 1 and lowest value was in group 2.

There was a significant decrease in Serum Relaxin in group 2 (CKD diabetic patient) compared to group 1(control)(P=.001) and also significant decrease in group 3(CKD non diabetic patient) compared to group 1(control)(P=.001) and also in group 2(CKD diabetic patient) combared to group 3(CKD non diabetic patient) $(P=.001)$.

Table 4. Laboratory investigations of the studied groups

Hb=Hemoglobin, HbA1C= Hemoglobin A1C

P1 comparison between group 1&2

P2 comparison between groyp 1&3

P3 comparison between group 2&3

** Statistically significant at p ≤ 0.05*

F (ANOVA)

Table 5. Kidney function tests of the studied groups

GFR=glomerular filteration rate

P1 comparison between group 1&2

P2 comparison between group 1&3

P3 comparison between group 2&3

** Statistically significant at p ≤ 0.05*

F (ANOVA)

	Range			Mean	土	S.D	F. test	p. value			
S.	Group 1	144		318	252.6	÷	55.59	126.486	$0.001*$	P1	$0.001*$
Relaxin	Group 2	40	$\overline{}$	115	74.00	\pm	20.93			P2	$0.001*$
	Group 3	88	$\overline{}$	170	130.85	士	20.45			P3	$0.001*$
	P1 comparison between group 1&2										
				P2 comparison between groyp 1&3							
				P3 comparison between group 2&3							
	* Statistically significant at $p \leq 0.05$										
	F(ANOVA)										

Table 6. Serum Relaxin level within the studied groups

BMI=body mass index, SBP = Systolic blood pressure, DBP = Diastolic blood pressure, Hb=Hemoglobin, HbA1C= Hemoglobin A1C

** Statistically significant at p ≤ 0.05*

***r: Pearson correlation*

Table (7) showed relation between serum relaxin and other parameters within group 2(CKD diabetic patient) and group 3(CKD non diabetic patient). There was no correlation between serum relaxin level and different parameters in group 2 and 3 with regard, HB, BMI, serum albumin, fasting blood glucose and post prandial blood glucose. There was an inverse significant correlation between serum relaxin level and age in group 2 (P = 0.049) but not in group 3 (P = 0.722). There was an inverse significant correlation between serum relaxin level and SBP in group 2 (P = 0.002) and in group 3 (P = 0.002). There was an inverse significant correlation between serum relaxin level and DBP in group 3 (P = 0.007) but not in group 2 (P =

0.145). There was an inverse significant correlation between serum relaxin level and HBA1C in group 2 ($P = 0.027$) but not in group 3 $(P = 0.368)$. There was an inverse significant correlation between serum relaxin level and creatinine in group 2 ($P = 0.042$) and in group 3 $(P = 0.001)$. There was an inverse significant correlation between serum relaxin level and urea in group 2 (P = 0.017) and in group 3 (P = 0.016). There was an inverse significant correlation between serum relaxin level and disease duration in group 2 ($P = 0.003$) and in group 3 (P = 0.027). There was a positive correlation between serum relaxin level and GFR in group 2 (P = 0.001) and in group $3(P = 0.001)$.

Diagonal segments are produced by ties.

AUC: Area under the curve PPV; Postive predictive value NPV; Negative predictive value

Diagonal segments are produced by ties.

Fig. 2. ROC curve between healthy control group & CKD non diabetic patient *AUC: Area under the curve PPV; Postive predictive value*

NPV; Negative predictive value

Table 10. Sensitivity, specificity for serum relaxin in CKD diabetic patients & CKD non diabetic patients

Fig. 3. ROC curve between CKD diabetic patients & CKD non diabetic patient

AUC: Area under the curve PPV; Postive predictive value NPV; Negative predictive value

Tables (8-10) showed Sensitivity, Specificity for serum relaxin in the 3 groups.

Interestingly, ROC curve (Fig. 2) illustrated the sensitivity and specificity of serum relaxin in healty control subjects and all CKD cases (group 2 and 3), the best cut of level of relaxin hormone in discriminating normal individuals fron CKD patients was 150 ng/dl with an area under the curve(AUC) of 0,988 yeilding sensitivity of 93%, specifity of 95% , positive predictive value (PPV) 97%, negative predictive value(NPV) 86% and accuracy of 93%.

Furthermore by ROC analysis of relaxin hormone in healthy control subjects and non diabetic CKD patients, the best cut off level of relaxin in discriminating normal individuals from non diabetic CKD patients was 160 ng/dl with an area under the curve (AUC) 0,976 yeilding sensitivity 95% ,specifity 90%, positive predictive value (PPV) 90% , negative predictive value 95 % and accuracy 93% (Fig. 2).

Finally by ROC analysis of relaxin hormone in diabetic CKD patients and non-diabetic CKD patients the best cut off level of relaxin in discriminating non diabetic CKD patients from diabetic CKD patients was 110 ng/dl with an area under the curve (AUC) 0,963 yeilding sensitivity 90%, specifity 85%, positive predictive value (PPV) 86% , negative predictive value 89% and accuracy 88% (Fig. 2).

5. DISCUSSION

Diabetes mellitus is a serious health issue and a long-term metabolic disorder. Hyperglycemia is a symptom of diabetes mellitus (DM), which is caused by a total or relative absence of insulin production, insulin resistance, or both. Carbohydrate, protein, and fat metabolism are all affected by metabolic disorders. DM affects people of all ages, however it is more frequent in adults [10]. Renal injury is a severe diabetes microvascular consequence that can result in death in diabetic patients. By activating protein kinase C, increasing the formation of advanced glycosylation end products (AGEs), and increasing diacylglycerol synthesis, hyperglycemia causes chronic kidney disease and renal damage [11]. "Glomerular filtration rate (GFR) is an ideal marker of kidney function. But its measuring is time consuming, and it is usually estimated from equations that depend on serum creatinine and cystatin C" [12].

Diabetic nephropathy can be diagnosed using a noninvasive biomarker called microalbuminuria. Some patients with microalbuminuria, on the other hand, have advanced renal pathological alterations for which treatment is less effective than in earlier stages of the disease. As a result, novel biomarkers for the early detection of diabetic nephropathy are urgently needed [13]. "Relaxin (RLX) is a naturally occurring hormone that inhibits organ fibrosis. Normally associated with reproduction, RLX has been implicated in a number of pregnancy related functions, including softening the cervix and vagina at delivery, inhibiting cell apoptosis, and decreasing the total peripheral resistance through vasodilation" [14].

"The physiological actions of RLX may also have important implications elsewhere, having been shown repeatedly to inhibit excessive collagen accumulation in various cell culture and animal models of fibrosis" [15].

"It was recognized that relaxin also plays a role in the cardiovascular system. Patients with chronic heart failure have increased myocardial relaxin gene expression and elevated plasma relaxin concentrations" [16].

Relaxin stimulates cardiac ANP secretion and increases coronary blood flow through a nitric oxide-mediated mechanism [17]. It was furthermore shown that relaxin is a vasodilator of small systemic resistance arteries [18]. Relaxin is also involved in the regulation of cardiac [9] and renal collagen synthesis [20].

For this reason, our study was conducted to evaluate significance of serum relaxin in diabetic and non-diabetic patients with chronic kidney disease.

To the best of our knowledge, there is lack of human studies that evaluated the level of relaxin in both diabetic and non-diabetic CKD patients and one previous study has assessed the role of relaxin in diabetic and non-diabetic CKD patients as compared with the healthy controls.

This study was conducted in Tanta University hospital on 60 subjects. Subjects divided to 3 groups; group 1 that included 20 healthy subjects, group 2 that included 20 chronic kidney disease (CKD) diabetic patient and group 3 that included 20 chronic kidney disease (CKD) non diabetic patients.

In our study, there were no significant differences
between all studied groups regarding between all studied groups regarding demographic data (Age, sex and BMI), which ensures the comparability of the groups for further comparisons.

In our study, there is no statistically significant difference in the hemoglobin level and serum albumin level between the cases in the three studied groups.

This was in accordance with Lee et al. [21] who showed that there was no statistically significant difference in the mean hemoglobin level, incidence of anemia and albumin level between the diabetic and non-diabetic CKD patients included in their study.

This disagreed with Zhang et al. [22] who found that the incidence of anemia was higher in CKD patients with DM than in patients with CKD alone.

The difference could be explained due to small sample size among the cases included in our study.

In our study, both SBP and DBP were statistically significantly higher in the diabetic and nondiabetic CKD groups as compared to the control group. However, there was no statistically significant difference in the mean SBP and DBP between the diabetic and non-diabetic CKD groups.

This agreed with Abdelatti et al. [23] who included "Sixty patients with chronic kidney disease and were divided into two groups: group 1 (30 patients with creatinine clearance of less than 30 ml/minute), and group 2 (30 patients with creatinine clearance of more than 30 ml/minute). Twelve patients (40%) had diabetes mellitus type 2 in group 1 and 18 (60%) in group 2. In addition, group 3 (20 healthy controls), compatible for age and gender, was included. They showed that there is no statistically significant difference in both SBP and DBP between diabetic and nondiabetic severe CKD patients and both groups had statistically significantly higher values as compared with the healthy control group".

This disagreed with Zhang et al. and Ito et al. who showed that "both systolic blood pressure
and the percentage of patients with and the percentage of patients with hypertension-related complications were higher in CKD patients with DM than in those without DM" (141). "Increased blood volume and vascular resistance resulting from insulin resistance in DM might contribute to the development of hypertension" [24]. "In patients with both DM and CKD, additional factors, such as sympathetic stimulation, renin–angiotensin– aldosterone system activation, water–sodium retention, and reductions in levels of vasoactive substances, may contribute to elevated blood pressure" (146).

In our study, the mean serum creatinine and serum urea was significantly higher in the diabetic CKD patients compared to the other groups. However GFR was statistically significantly lower in the diabetic and nondiabetic CKD groups as compared to the control group without no statistically significant difference between the two groups.

This agreed with Zhang et al. who found that 24 h urinary protein and serum creatinine were higher in CKD patients with DM than in those without DM $(P < 0.001)$, that indicating more severe kidney affection in the diabetic group [26].

In our study, was a significant decrease in serum relaxin in group 2 (CKD diabetic patient) compared to group 1(control)(P=.001) and between group 3(CKD non diabetic patient) compared to group 1(control)(P=.001) and between group 2(CKD diabetic patient) and group 3(CKD non diabetic patient) (P=.001).

This agreed with Abdelatti et al. who showed that RLX was significantly lower in diabetic patients compared to non-diabetics in both groups [26].

In line with our work, Szepietowska et al. proved that "there was a positive correlation between RLX concentration and insulin sensitivity, meaning that in diabetic patients with high insulin resistance and low insulin sensitivity, RLX hormone level decreased" [27].

Despite this, the study has some limitations as the small sample size included in each group and being a single center study, which decreases the power of the obtained results. Also, the study lacks the presence of a diabetic only group (Without CKD) that could present an additional diagnostic value in the patients with diabetes by early identification of their kidney affection.

6. CONCLUSIONS

Patients with CKD had lower serum RLX levels than healthy people. In addition, when compared to non-diabetic people, they are much lower. This research suggests that RLX could be a useful treatment option for diabetic nephropathy patients who have already developed fibrosis. Because RLX is a naturally occurring physiological hormone, it has a very low risk of side effects compared to other medications. This requires further work.

DISCLAIMER

The products used for this research are commonly and predominantly use products in our area of research and country. There is absolutely no conflict of interest between the authors and producers of the products because we do not intend to use these products as an avenue for any litigation but for the advancement of knowledge. Also, the research was not funded by the producing company rather it was funded by personal efforts of the authors.

ETHICAL APPROVAL

Permission obtained from Research Ethics Committee as a part of Quality Assurance Unit in Faculty of Medicine at Tanta University to conduct this study and to use the facilities in the hospital.

Any unexpected risks appeared during the course will be cleared to participants and the ethical committee on time.

CONSENT

Informed written consent was obtained from all patients after full explanation of benefits and risks of the study. Privacy of all patients' data is granted by a special code number for every patient file that includes all investigations.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Abd Elsalam WY, Mohammed EM, Safwat HI. Clomiphene citrate 'stair-step' protocol vs. traditional protocol in patients with polycystic ovary syndrome: a randomized controlled trial. J New York Scie. 2017;10 (1) :113-119.

- 2. Abd-Elfattah AH, Hashish MA, Elomda FA, Megahed HI. Effect of Adding L-Carnitine to Clomiphene Resistant PCOs Women on the Ovulation and the Pregnancy Rate. The Egy J Hos Med. 2019;76(5):4138- 4143.
- 3. Abduljabbar HSO, Hagras M, Magadmy R. Effect of Aromatase Inhibitors versus Clomiphene Citrate for Ovulation Induction in Infertile Women with Ovulatory Dysfunction (PCO). In: Wang Z. Polycystic ovarian syndrome: 1st ed. London, PA: Intechopen limited; 2020: chapter3.
- 4. Abid KM, Hassan SI, Gousia S. Cutaneous manifestations of polycystic ovary syndrome: A cross-sectional clinical study. J Indian Dermatology Online. 2017;8(2): 104-110.
- 5. Agrawal K, Gainder S, Dhaliwal L K , Suri V. Ovulation induction using clomiphene citrate using stair–Step regimen versus traditional regimen in polycystic ovary syndrome women–A randomized control trial. Journal of human reproductive sciences. 2017;10(4):261- 264.
- 6. Ali AAH, Khalaf SF, Farahat MM. Clomiphene Citrate and Metformin" Stair Step" Protocol Vs. Traditional Protocol in Patients with Polycystic Ovary Syndrome "PCOS". The Egyptian Journal of Hospital Medicine. 2018;72(5):4463-4468
- 7. Alsadi B, Zhengchao W. Clinical features of PCO. In: Polycystic ovarian syndrome. First edition. London,
- 8. Amer SA, Smith J, Mahran A, Fox P, Fakis A. Double-blind randomized controlled trial of letrozole versus clomiphene citrate in subfertile women with polycystic ovarian syndrome. Hum Reprod. 2017;32(8): 1631–1638.
- 9. American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists ACOG. Clinical management guidelines for obstetrician gynecologists number 768, December 2019. Screening and management of the hyperandrogenic adolescent. Obstet Gynecol. 2019;134:106–14.
- 10. American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists, ACOG Practice Bulletin. Clinical management guidelines for obstetrician gynecologists number 34, February 2002. Management of infertility caused by ovulatory dysfunction. American College of Obstetricians and

Gynecologists, Obstet Gynecol. 2002;99: 347–358.

- 11. American Society for Reproductive Medicine. Use of clomiphene citrate in infertile women: A committee opinion.Fertil Steril. 2013;100(2):341-8.
- 12. Anitua E, Pino A, Martinez N, Orive G, Berridi D. The Effect of Plasma Rich in Growth Factors on Pattern Hair Loss: A Pilot Study. Dermatol Surg. 2017;43(5): 658-70.
- 13. Antonio L, Pauwels S, Laurent MR, Vanschoubroeck D, Jans I, Billen J, Claessens F, Decallonne B, De Neubourg D, Vermeersch P, Vanderschueren D. Free Testosterone Reflects Metabolic as well as Ovarian Disturbances in Subfertile Oligomenorrheic Women. Int J Endocrinol. 2018;7956951.
- 14. Arain F, Arif N, Halepota H. Frequency and outcome of treatment in polycystic ovaries related infertility. Pak J Med Sci. 2015; 31(3):694‐699.

DOI:10.12669/pjms.313.8003

- 15. Ashraf S, Nabi M, Rasool SUA, et al. Hyperandrogenism in polycystic ovarian syndrome and role of CYP gene variants: a review. Egypt J Med Hum Genet; 2019.
- 16. Aswini R, Jayapalan S. Modified Ferriman-Gallwey Score in Hirsutism and its Association with Metabolic Syndrome. Int J Trichology. 2017;9(1):7–13.
- 17. Azziz R, Kintziger K, Li R, et al. Recommendations for epidemiologic and phenotypic research in polycystic ovary syndrome: an androgen excess and PCOS society resource. Hum Reprod. 2019; 34(11):2254‐ 2265.
- 18. Bachanek M, Abdalla N, Cendrowski K, Sawicki W. Value of ultrasonography in the diagnosis of polycystic ovary syndrome literature review. J Ultrason. 2015;15(63): 410-22.
- 19. Bani MM, Majdi SA. Polycystic Ovary Syndrome (PCOS), Diagnostic Criteria, and AMH. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev. 2017; 18(1):17–21. Published 2017 Jan 1.
- 20. BarBarbaric J, Abbott R, Posadzki P, Car M, Gunn LH, Layton AM, et al. Light therapies for acne: abridged Cochrane systemic review including GRADE assessments. Br J Dermatol. 2018; 178(1):61-75.
- 21. Barber TM, Hanson P, Weickert MO, Franks S. Obesity and Polycystic Ovary Syndrome: Implications for Pathogenesis and Novel Management Strategies. Clin

Med Insights Reprod Health. 2019; 13:1179558119874042. Published 2019 Sep 9.

- 22. Baum C, Andino K, Wittbrodt E, Stewart S, Szymanski K, Turpin R. The Challenges
and Opportunities Associated with Opportunities Associated with Reimbursement for Obesity Pharmacotherapy in the USA. Pharmacoeconomics. 2015;33(7):643–653.
- 23. Bellver J, Rodríguez-Tabernero L, Robles A, Muñoz E, Martínez F, Landeras J, García-Velasco J, Fontes J, Álvarez M, Álvarez C, Acevedo B; Group of interest in Reproductive Endocrinology (GIER) of the Spanish Fertility Society (SEF). Polycystic ovary syndrome throughout a woman's life. J Assist Reprod Genet. 2018;35(1):25 -39.
- 24. Blackshaw LCD, Chhour I, Stepto NK, Lim SS. Barriers and Facilitators to the

Implementation of Evidence-Based Lifestyle Management in Polycystic Ovary Syndrome: A Narrative Review. Med Sci (Basel). 2019;7(7):76.

- 25. Brown J, Farquhar C. Clomiphene and other antioestrogens for ovulation induction in polycystic ovarian syndrome. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2016;12(12):CD00 2249.
- 26. Budinetz TH, Benadiva CA, Griffin DW, Engmann LL, Nulsen JC, DiLuigi AJ. Ovulation rate and cycle characteristics in a subsequent clomiphene citrate cycle after stair-step protocol. Fertil Steril. 2015;103(3):675‐679.
- 27. Butterworth J, Deguara J, Borg CM. Bariatric Surgery, Polycystic Ovary Syndrome, and Infertility. J Obes. 2016; 1871594.

___ *© 2022 Elashmoney et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License [\(http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0\)](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.*

> *Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/87729*