



Screening of Hybrid Varieties of Tomato Crop against American Serpentine Leaf Miner, (*Liriomyza trifolii*, Burgess) in Lucknow District of Uttar Pradesh, India

Deepak Rai ^{a*}, A. K. Dubey ^a and S. N. Singh ^a

^a *Krishi Vigyan Kendra, ICAR-IISR, Lucknow - 226002, U.P., India.*

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/AJAEES/2022/v40i111722

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/93396>

Original Research Article

Received 19 August 2022

Accepted 29 October 2022

Published 30 October 2022

ABSTRACT

Tomato is a major cash crop of farmers of central plain of Uttar Pradesh. Injudicious use of chemicals invited too many number of insect pests. Among them sucking insect problems were very serious in comparison to biting and chewing insects because these insects developed resistance and insecticides. So, in last five years pest scenario had changed. Some new insects were seen on crops. In which, American serpentine leaf minor in one of them. Their incidence increasing very rapidly in different crops like tomato, vegetable pea and cucurbitaceous crops etc. Tomato crop was more susceptible for leaf miner. Due to which screening of popular tomato varieties were urgently needed. On that basis this study were carried out on ten popular hybrid varieties. The field incidence of the serpentine leaf miner, *L. trifolii* (Burgess) was severe during the fruiting stage of the crop. Infestation was higher on lower surface of leaf in comparisons of upper surface. On the basis of cumulative susceptibility index test varieties all varieties viz. Pusa Rohini, Pusa Uphar, Arka Saurabh, Naveen-2000, N-815, Arka Vardan, Pusa Sadabahar, Arka Vishal, N-2535 and ArkaVardan were found to be resistant/less susceptible. There were no significant correlation found among leaf miner incidence and yield of crop. All tested hybrid varieties performed better in Lucknow district of Uttar Pradesh.

*Corresponding author: E-mail: deepakrai75@gmail.com;

Keywords: Tomato; American serpentine leaf miner; crop; chemical insecticides.

1. INTRODUCTION

Tomato *Lycopersicon esculentum* (Mill.) is the essential part of daily diet. This crop grows in maximum state of country. In last two decades many number of hybrid varieties of tomato developed by government agencies as well as private companies. Due to more demand of this high valuable cash crop. These hybrid varieties having high yield but injudicious use of fertilizer invited to pest incidence. These pest are fruit borer (*Helicoverpa armigra*), aphids (*Aphis gossypii*, *Myzus persicae*), jassids (*Amarasca biguttula*), American serpentine leaf miner, (*Liriomyza trifolii*), root knot nematodes (*Meloidogyne* sp.) etc. among them american serpentine leaf miner, (*Liriomyza trifolii*, Burgess) (Diptera: Agromyzidae) is serious threat in tomato crop. This american serpentine leaf miner infestation is increasing every year at an alarming rate.

Sharma [1], reported that “genus *Liriomyza* contains more than 300 species known in the world. In which, approximately 23 species of *Liriomyza* have been reported as being economically important in which *L. trifolii* is very dominating in vegetable crops like tomato, cucumber, vegetable pea etc. This insect has the potential to infest on 250 crop species in India”.

2. REVIEW OF LITERATURE

“In tomato, the insect damages the crop by making feeding and oviposition punctures on the leaves and then by leaf mining by the maggot. The larvae tunnel inside the mesophyll and feed inside on the palisade mesophyll tissues. The destruction of chlorophyll containing tissues interferes with the photosynthetic activity of the plant as a result of which growth and yield of the infected plants in adversely affected. When one fourth of the leaf area was mined, photosynthesis decreased by <1%” [2]. “Photosynthesis rates in mined tissues were reduced by 62 percent as compared with those in unmined leaflets” [3]. Identification of sources of resistance to this pest will go a long way in the control and management of this pest under field conditions. Besides, such resistant varieties will continue to harbour the natural population of parasite, which bring about natural control of *L. trifolii*. Kotze and Dennill [4] found that “neither growth nor yield

were negatively affected by the infestation level up to 1092 and 458 mined per plant in a glasshouse and field trials on tomato respectively”.

Tendon and Bakthavatsalam [5] evaluated “tomato genotypes Anjali, 101 super, Varalakshmi, challenger-1, Arka Meghali, Arka Sourabh, Arka Vikas, Arka Ahuti, Arka Ashish and Pusa Ruby for their relative susceptibility to leaf miner in 1998-99. The percent of damaged leaves varied from 48.13 to 85.97. The highest number of damaged leaves was observed in hybrid 101- super (85.97%) followed by Anjali (83.09%), while lowest damage was recorded in Varalakshmi (48.13). the maximum number of mines per leaf (2.88) was recorded on Arka Meghali followed by challenger-1 (2.81) and Arka Vikas (2.74). the minimum number of mines was recorded on 101 super (1.24). in this experiment all the genotypes were found highly susceptible, except for Varalakshmi”. “The maximum damage was recorded on Arka Ahuti, followed by Arka Saurabh; Arka Ashish and Anjali. it was observed that as the plant grows the upper leaves ultimately occupy a position on the lower part of the plant and become classified as lower leaves. As the infestation increases, the number of leaf mines increases and this causes reduction in photosynthesis of the leaflets” [3].

3. MATERIALS AND METHODS

Screening of ten hybrid tomato cultivars viz. Pusa Rohini, Pusa Uphar, Arka Saurabh, Naveen-2000, N-815, Arka Vardan, Pusa Sadabahar, Arka Vishal, N-2535 and Arka Vardan against serpentine leaf minor were conducted at farmers field of Lucknow district of Uttar Pradesh in kharif 2016-17 and 2017-18.

Fifteen plants were chosen at random from each replication and the number of mines were counted on a single compound leaf taken from both the upper and lower portions of the plant. Mines that were empty as well as mines that had larvae were counted. 1st observation were taken from upper and lower leaves of plants at flowering stage and 2nd observation from whole plant at fruiting stage of plant. On that basis screening of hybrid tomato cultivars were carried out on the basis susceptibility index. Yield of tomato crop were also calculated.

Chart 1. Susceptibility index for tomato cultivar's screening against *L. trifolii* (Burgess)

Category	Mean number of leaf mines / leaves
Least susceptible/ Resistant	5
Moderately susceptible/ModeratelyResistant	5-10
Highly susceptible	>10

4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Ten tomato hybrid varieties were tested for resistance to *L. trifolii* in the open field. These cultivars were chosen for their yield

characteristics, so their evaluation against the emerging tomato pest was deemed necessary. The data showing relative incidence of the pest at flowering and fruiting stage of the crop is given in Tables 1, 2 and 3.

Table 1. Average no. of mines of leaf miner, *Liriomyza trifolii* (Burgess) on upper and lower leaves of 10 hybrid cultivars at flowering stage of tomato crop

Sl. No.	Cultivar	Average no. of mines Leaf miner per 15 leaves ex-portion of leaves on flowering stage of crop			
		1 st Year		2 nd Year	
		Upper leaves	Lower leaves	Upper leaves	Lower leaves
1	Pusa Rohini	1.89	3.4	1.96	3.67
2	Pusa Uphar	2.6	4.44	2.29	3.46
3	Arka Saurabh	2.44	3.25	2.43	4.46
4	Naveen-2000	1.54	2.675	1.765	2.695
5	N-815	1.505	2.39	1.495	4.25
6	Arka Vardan	1.355	3.75	2.67	3.515
7	Pusa Sadabahar	2.79	4.585	2.73	3.04
8	Arka Vishal	1.175	2.175	1.505	2.455
9	N-2535	2.53	4.24	1.655	2.59
10	ArkaVardan	2.49	3.57	2.845	3.75

Table 2. Average no. of mines of leaf miner, *Liriomyza trifolii* (Burgess) on whole plant at fruiting stage of tomato crop of 10 hybrid cultivars and their rating index

Sl. No.	Cultivar	Average no. of mines of leaf miner on Whole plant at fruiting stage			Rating Index
		1 st Year	2 nd Year	Mean	
1	Pusa Rohini	2.645	2.815	2.73	Resistant
2	Pusa Uphar	3.52	2.875	3.1975	Resistant
3	Arka Saurabh	2.845	3.445	3.145	Resistant
4	Naveen-2000	2.1075	2.23	2.16875	Resistant
5	N-815	1.9475	2.8725	2.41	Resistant
6	Arka Vardan	2.5525	3.0925	2.8225	Resistant
7	Pusa Sadabahar	3.6875	2.885	3.28625	Resistant
8	Arka Vishal	1.675	1.98	1.8275	Resistant
9	N-2535	3.385	2.1225	2.75375	Resistant
10	ArkaVardan	3.03	3.2975	3.16375	Resistant

Table 3. Average yield of 10 hybrid tomato cultivars during the incidence of leaf miner, *Liriomyza trifolii* (Burgess)

Sl. No.	Cultivar	Average yield (Q/ha)		Mean (Q/ha.)
		1 st year	2 nd year	
1	Pusa Rohini	476.5	454.4	465.45
2	Pusa Uphar	387.2	289.5	338.35
3	Arka Saurabh	375.65	378.8	377.225
4	Naveen-2000	353.8	356.6	355.2
5	N-815	452.5	463.0	457.75
6	Arka Vardan	483.7	476.0	479.85
7	Pusa Sadabahar	459.5	463.6	461.55
8	Arka Vishal	457.3	458.5	457.9
9	N-2535	360.6	346.0	353.3
10	ArkaVardan	353.5	358.0	355.75

In first year tomato variety minimum incidence was seen on upper leaves of variety N-815 (1.505) and maximum of Pusa Sadabahar (2.79) and more incidence were found on lower leaves .i.e 2.175 (Arka Vishal) and maximum on Pusa Sadabahar (2.585) .In second year maximum incidence on upper leaves were found on Pusa Sadabahar (2.73) followed by others, while maximum incidence on lower leaves were found on Arka saurabh (4.46).At fruiting stage first year incidence ranged from 1.9475(N-185) to 3.6875 (Pusa Sadabahar) in 2nd year 2.23(Naveen-2000) to3.445 (Arka Saurabh). The rating index showed that all tested varieties found resistant due less incidence. In this context yield was also a measure factor, so, on that basis evaluation of varieties were essential. The hybrid variety of tomato i.e. Arka Vardan gained maximum yield, 479.85q/ha followed by Pusa Rohini, Pusa Sadabahar, Arka Vishal,N-815,Arka Saurabh,Naveen-2000, Arka Vardan,N-2535 and Pusa Uphar (338.35q/ha).

The overall result of leaf mining and yield differed significantly between varieties, but these differences were caused by other factors other than the *Liriomyza* infestation. It appears that tomato plants have built-in resistance to leaf miner activity, with low to moderate levels of mining activity unlikely to result in yield loss. Because mining activity continued until fruiting, the resistance index based on the cumulative number of leaf mines at fruiting may be a more accurate predictor of susceptibility. As a result, the number of leaf minis at the flowering stage cannot be used to draw any firm conclusions about actual resistance.

The effect of *L. trifolii* leaf mining activity on yield was not significant because no significant correlation could be established between the

mean number of leaf mines on lower leaves and yield. The reason for this could be that lower leaves play a smaller role in photosynthesis than upper leaves, and infestation on upper leaves was lower than on lower leaves. However, the infestation was not significant enough to affect yield in the lower leaves. The amount of leaf area mined has an impact on photosynthesis that is difficult to quantify. Leaf miners frequently prefer shaded or older leaves that are less photosynthetically productive.

However, no significant relationship between yield and number of leaf mines could be found. The infestation was not severe enough to cause yield loss. The present study is in conformity with the findings of Keularts and Lindquist [6], who studied "the effect of *Liriomyza trifolii* infestations on yield of greenhouse tomato and found that yield of marketable fruits either size or number in the fruit cluster were not significantly reduced". Kotze and Dennill [4] examined "the effect of various level of infestation on growth and yield of tomatoes and found that neither growth nor yield were negatively affected by infestation level up to 1092 and 458 mines per plant in a glasshouse and field trials respectively. Therefore, application of the chemical insecticides for its control may be avoided" [7,8].

5. CONCLUSION

Result showed that all tested 10 hybrid varieties were found resistant against serpentine leaf miner (*L. trifolii*) and not having correlation between leaf miner incidence and yield performance. All varieties performed well at farmers field at Lucknow district of Uttar Pradesh.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Sharma D. American pest- Threat to Indian Crops. Pestic News. 1994;25:14.
2. Martens B, Trumble JT. Structural and photosynthetic compensation for leaf miner (Diptera: Agromyzidae) injury on lima beans. Environ Entomol. 1987;16(2):374-8.
3. Johnson MW, Welter SC, Toscano NC, Ting IP, Trumble JT. Reduction of tomato leaflet photosynthesis rates by mining activity of *Urol1yza sativae* (Diptera: Agromyzidae). J Econ Entomol. 1983;76(5):1061-3.
4. Kotze DJ, Dennill GR 1996. The effect of *Liriomyza trifolii* (Burgess) (Dipt., Agromyzidae) on fruit production and growth of tomato, *Lycopersicon esculentum* (Mill.) (Solanaceae). Jn. J Appl Entomol;120(4):231-5.
5. Tandon PL, Bakthavatsalam N. Relative susceptibility of tomato, *Lycopersicon esculentum* (Mill.) genotypes to American serpentine leaf miner, *Liriomyza trifolii* (Burgess) (Diptera: Agromyzidae). Insect Environ. 2002;8(4):172-3.
6. Keularts JLW, Lindquist RK. Increase in mortality of prepupae and pupae of *Liriomyza trifolii* (Diptera: Agromyzidae) by manipulation of relative humidity and substrate. Environ Entomol. 1989;18(3):499-503.
7. Hileman DR, Lieto LF. Mortality and area reduction in leaves of the bog shrub *Chamaedaphne calyculata* (Ericaceae) caused by the leaf miner *Coptodisca kalmiella* (Lepidoptera: Heliozelidae). Am. Midi. Natl. 1981;106:180-8.
8. Choudary DPR, Rosaiah B. Seasonal occurrence of *Liriomyza trifolii* (Burgess) (Diptera: Agromyzidae) on tomato crop and its relation with weather parameters. Pest Manag Econ Zool. 2000;8(1):91-5.

© 2022 Rai et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/93396>