



Role of Sulphur Fertilization in Legume Crops: A Comprehensive Review

Rakesh Dawar ^{a*}, Shyam Karan ^a, Sunil Bhardwaj ^a,
Deepak Kumar Meena ^a, Smruti Ranjan Padhan ^a,
Kadapa Sreenivasa Reddy ^a and R. S. Bana ^a

^a Division of Agronomy, ICAR-IARI, New Delhi 110012, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJPSS/2023/v35i214033

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/108282>

Review Article

Received: 20/08/2023

Accepted: 25/10/2023

Published: 30/10/2023

ABSTRACT

Sulphur (S) is an indispensable macronutrient for plant growth and development, and its role in enhancing the productivity and quality of legume crops has garnered increased attention in agricultural research. This comprehensive review explores the multifaceted significance of sulphur fertilization in legumes, shedding light on the pivotal role it plays in optimizing crop performance. From its involvement in amino acid synthesis and protein formation to its impact on chlorophyll production and antioxidant defense mechanisms, sulphur is a key player in the intricate web of plant metabolic processes. The review delves into the intricate molecular mechanisms that underlie sulphur assimilation and its impact on various physiological functions in legumes. Additionally, it investigates the influence of sulphur on legume crop quality parameters, emphasizing its effect on protein content and amino acid profiles, as well as its role in improving crop resistance to abiotic and biotic stresses. The symbiotic relationships between legumes and nitrogen-fixing rhizobia further complicate the sulphur scenario, making it imperative to dissect the dynamic interplay between these crucial elements. With a backdrop of changing agricultural practices, environmental concerns, and the increasing demand for sustainable food production, this review addresses the

*Corresponding author: E-mail: dawarakesh601@gmail.com;

challenges and opportunities in sulphur fertilization. It also highlights the need for precise nutrient management strategies to harness the full potential of sulphur in legume crop production. In conclusion, this comprehensive review serves as a valuable resource for researchers, agronomists, and policymakers, offering insights into the pivotal role of sulphur fertilization in enhancing the productivity, quality, and sustainability of legume crops in an ever-evolving agricultural landscape.

Keywords: ATS (*Ammonium thiosulphate*); amino acids; fertilization; legumes; sulphur.

1. INTRODUCTION

Sulphur (S) is a crucial plant nutrient and a fundamental component of various amino acids, which are the building blocks of proteins and essential for both plants and animals. It falls under the category of plant macronutrients and is utilized by crops in quantities similar to phosphorus (P). In the past, it was believed that there was an ample supply of sulphur available from the atmosphere. However, due to advancements in air quality standards following the implementation of federal clean air regulations, the risk of sulphur deficiency has increased. Sulphur is an indispensable element for all living organisms, including plants. It is found in protein-related amino acids like methionine and cysteine, as well as in vitamins such as biotin and thiamine, phytochelatin, chlorophyll, coenzyme A, and S-adenosyl-methionine [1]. Moreover, sulphur plays a role in the formation of disulfide bonds in proteins and contributes to the regulation of enzymes, particularly in redox control. Sulphur provides protection against oxidative damage through compounds like glutathione and its derivatives [2]. It is also a component of various secondary metabolites (SMs) in plants and is essential for their physiological functions, growth, and development. The amount of sulphur required by plants varies depending on the species and their growth stages. For example, more sulphur is needed during seed development and when plants are in their vegetative growth phase. Yadav et al. [3] also reported that Sulphur is essential for various biological processes, including photosynthesis, energy production, photoprotection, and metabolic reactions, and is a crucial component of compounds like iron-sulphur cluster-containing proteins.

2. FORMS AND SOURCES OF SULPHUR IN SOIL

There are various sources of sulphur found in the soil. Organic matter being the primary contributor, accounting for approximately 95% of

the total sulphur content in the soil. The decomposition of organic matter leads to the mineralization of organic sulphur, converting it into sulfate (SO_4^{2-}), which becomes available for plants. Apart from organic matter, various minerals within the soil also contain different forms of sulphur. Weathering or breakdown of these minerals results in the transformation of a portion of sulphur into sulfate. In industrial areas, there is a higher concentration of sulphur dioxide (SO_2) in the atmosphere, primarily due to activities such as fuel burning. This SO_2 dissolves in rainwater and eventually reaches the soil. Pesticides contribute relatively small amounts of sulphur to the soil, although some pesticides do contain sulphur, adding to the soil's sulphur content [4]. Chemical fertilizers are another source of sulphur, as they typically contain a significant amount of sulphur in addition to nitrogen, potassium, and phosphorus. Sulphur participates in the soil functions in a manner similar to nitrogen. It naturally originates from the decomposition of organic matter and, to some extent, from soil minerals [5]. Soils with high organic content or heavier texture tend to provide more adequate sulphur compared to lighter, less organic soils. Organic sulphur compounds undergo a transformation into inorganic forms that are usable by plants. The Sulphur Cycle illustrates these processes, which are driven by microbial activity, requiring moisture, warmth, and time. Organic sulphur in the soil is derived from organic matter, whether from applied organic materials or the remains of grazing or crops. To be taken up by plants, organic sulphur must be converted into the sulfate ion (SO_4^{2-}), these sulfate ions are present in the soil solution, making them susceptible to leaching, depending on soil texture and rainfall, similar to nitrates. This leaching risk must be considered when planning nutrient management, as discussed later.

3. ROLE OF SULPHUR IN PLANTS

Sulphur is present in all living cells and is a significant component of two out of the twenty amino acids found in plants, specifically




Fig. 1. Role of sulphur in plants

Source: Fertilizer Association of Ireland (FAI, 2022)

cysteine and methionine, which are essential for protein formation. Sulphur also plays a crucial role in aiding nitrogen fixation in leguminous plants like clovers, peas, and beans. When nitrogen levels are elevated, sulfur becomes vital in enhancing the efficiency and utilization of nitrogen by plants, ultimately leading to increased yields of both grass and crops.

4. SULPHUR DEFICIENCY IN PLANTS

Sulphur deficiency in plants refers to a condition in which plants do not receive an adequate supply of sulphur, which is an essential nutrient for their growth and development. When plants lack sufficient sulphur, they can exhibit various symptoms and physiological problems that can negatively impact their overall health and productivity.



Fig. 2. Sulphur deficient plants: Chlorosis

Source: www.plantix library

Common signs and symptoms of sulphur deficiency in plants may include:

1. Chlorosis: The most common symptom of sulphur deficiency is the yellowing of the leaves, known as chlorosis. This typically starts with the younger leaves and can progress to older leaves as the deficiency worsens [6,7].
2. Stunted Growth: Sulphur-deficient plants often show reduced growth, resulting in smaller overall plant size [8].
3. Delayed Maturity: Sulphur deficiency can cause a delay in flowering and fruiting, which can lead to reduced yields in crop plants [9].
4. Reduced Protein Synthesis: Sulphur is a critical component of amino acids, which are the building blocks of proteins. Inadequate sulphur can result in reduced protein synthesis, leading to poor plant structure and function [10].
5. Impaired Photosynthesis: Sulphur is necessary for the formation of chlorophyll, the green pigment responsible for photosynthesis. Sulphur deficiency can

hinder photosynthesis, reducing a plant's ability to produce energy and carbohydrates [11].

6. Increased Susceptibility to Pests and Diseases: Sulphur deficiency can weaken a plant's natural defenses, making it more susceptible to pests and diseases [12].
7. Altered Nutrient Uptake: Sulphur deficiency can disrupt the uptake of other essential nutrients, such as nitrogen and phosphorus, leading to further nutrient imbalances [13].

The severity of sulphur deficiency symptoms can vary depending on the plant species, soil conditions, and environmental factors. To address sulphur deficiency in plants, it is important to conduct soil tests to assess sulphur levels and consider sulphur fertilization if necessary. Proper nutrient management practices can help ensure that plants receive the sulphur they need for optimal growth and development. Sulphur deficiency symptoms in pulses and oilseeds crops are summarized in Table 1.

Table 1. Sulphur deficiency symptoms in pulses and oilseeds crops

Crops	Deficiency Symptoms
Chickpea	Plants appear erect, premature drying, and withering of young leaves.
Groundnut	Small Plant height. A "V" shaped petiole appearance. New leaves, the area around the main vein may be pale. Seed maturity delayed.
Pea	Chlorosis in young leaves. Flowering and yield are reduced.
Green gram	Chlorosis in young leaves. Flowering and yield are reduced.
Soybean	Foliage becomes pale green to yellow with non-prominent veins, growth and maturity is delayed, protein formation is reduced.
Pigeon pea	Young and middle leaves turn yellow, branching; leaf size and flowering are suppressed. Flowers lack normal yellow color and shed early. Pod formation and seed development is retarded.
Rapeseed and mustard	Cupped leaves and a reddening of the underside of leaves and stem are observed. Flowers abort prematurely resulting in poor pod formation. Sulphur deficiency reduces oil content in seeds
Sesame	Growth is retarded, leaves are smaller and fully emerged leaves first turn pale and then golden yellow. Number of flowers and pods, hence yield is reduced.
Sunflower	Leaves and flowers become pale. Plants are smaller with shorter internodes. Reduced number and size of leaves.
Linseed	Yellowing, curling and premature drying of tips of young terminal (top most) leaves is evident. Chlorosis gradually spreads on old leaves. The stem remains slender with poor branching. Number of floral buds is reduced and most of these fail to open.
French bean	Plants have short internodes, fewer and smaller leaves. The entire foliage appears pale green. Growth is poor and yield is low.

Source: *The Sulfur Institute (TSI), Washington DC, USA (Sulfur Deficiency Sources and Symptoms – The Sulfur Institute)*

5. SOURCE OF SULPHUR

Introduce Table 2 The majority of sulfur applied to soils through fertilizers comes from sulfate-containing fertilizers. These fertilizers offer several benefits, as they provide sulfur as part of multi-nutrient fertilizers, and the sulfur is in the form of SO_4^{2-} , which is readily accessible for plant absorption. Among the most commonly used and easily available sources of sulfur-containing fertilizers are ammonium sulfate (AS), single superphosphate (SSP), potassium sulfate, as well as potassium and magnesium sulfate.

Table 2. Source of sulphur fertilizer and S content (%)

S.No	Source	S content (%)
1	Elemental sulfur	>90
2	Ammonium thiosulfate	26
3	Gypsum (calcium sulfate)	19
4	Ammonium sulfate	24
5	Single super phosphate (SSP)	12

Source: The Sulfur Institute (TSI), Washington DC, USA (Sulfur Deficiency Sources and Symptoms – The Sulfur Institute)?

6. FACTORS AFFECTING SULPHUR DEFICIENCY IN PLANTS

Sulphur deficiency has become more prevalent in recent years due to the decrease in atmospheric inputs. This reduction in industrial sulphur emissions, primarily resulting from pollution control regulations, has led to a decreased deposition of sulphur into the soil from the atmosphere. As a consequence, soils may have lower sulphur levels, making it more challenging for plants to access the sulphur they need for healthy growth and development. Some of the reasons that cause sulphur deficiency include: Intensive cultivation, sulphur free high analysis fertilizer, high yielding cultivar [14-16]

Several factors can influence sulphur deficiency in plants. The sulphur content in the soil itself plays a critical role, with soils naturally low in sulphur or depleted due to intensive farming practices being more susceptible to sulphur deficiency. Soil pH levels also affect sulphur availability, as highly alkaline soils limit sulphur uptake. Additionally, soil organic matter, which contains sulphur, contributes to availability, with higher organic matter content enhancing sulphur uptake. Soil texture plays a role, with sandy soils having lower sulphur retention capacity and a

higher risk of leaching [17]. Microbial activity is vital for transforming organic sulphur into usable forms, but adverse conditions like excessive dryness or waterlogging can hinder this process [18]. Weather and climate conditions, including rainfall, impact sulphur availability, with excessive rainfall leaching sulphur and arid regions experiencing reduced sulphur mineralization. Industrial and environmental pollution can deposit sulphur dioxide (SO_2) in the soil, affecting sulphur levels but potentially causing soil acidification [19]. The use of sulphur-containing fertilizers can mitigate deficiencies [20]. Lastly, understanding the sulphur uptake capabilities of specific plant species or varieties is essential for preventing sulphur deficiency.

7. SULPHUR UPTAKE AND ASSIMILATION BY LEGUME CROPS

Sulphur is absorbed by plant cells in the form of sulfate through sulfate transporters and must undergo a reduction process to convert into organic sulfide. The process of sulfate assimilation begins with the activation of sulfate into adenosine-5'-phosphosulfate (APS) catalyzed by ATP sulphurylase. The APS is subsequently reduced into sulfite and further into sulfide through the actions of APS reductase (APSR) and sulfite reductase (SIR), respectively. Sulfide is then integrated into O-acetylserine, resulting in the formation of cysteine (Cys). O-acetylserine is synthesized from serine and acetyl-coenzyme A by the enzyme serine acetyltransferase (SAT). Notably, some of these enzymes exist in different isoforms localized within distinct cellular compartments. In *Arabidopsis thaliana*, for example, OAS-A1, OAS-B, and OAS-C are found in the cytosol, chloroplasts, and mitochondria, respectively (Heeg et al., 2008). Similar homologous OAS isoforms have been identified in legume nodules. Additionally, multiple SAT isoforms exist, including cytosolic SAT5 and plastidic SAT1 [21]. Although transcripts of SAT1 and SAT5 can be detected in nodules according to the Gene Expression Atlas of model legumes *Lotus japonicus* (LjGEA1) and *Medicago truncatula* (MtGEA2), proteomic analyses have not detected these enzymes, suggesting their low abundance in nodules. On the contrary, methionine (Met) is produced from cysteine (Cys) within the plastids through a sequential process involving cystathionine γ -synthase (CGS), cystathionine β -lyase (CBL), and methionine synthase (MetS). However, in both *Arabidopsis thaliana* and legumes, there are cytosolic isoforms of MetS

that play a role in providing Met for the synthesis of ethylene, polyamines, and nicotianamine. This metabolic pathway necessitates the prior conversion of Met into S-adenosylmethionine by S-adenosylmethionine synthase (SAMS), as discussed in detail by Bürstenbinder and Sauter (2012). While all the enzymes involved in Met, ethylene, and polyamine synthesis have been identified in nodules. A quantitative proteomic approach has shown that the levels of MetS and SAMS, as well as ethylene production, decrease during drought stress in nodules. However, it's noteworthy that the levels of Cys and Met remain consistent. This observation suggests that maintaining the balance of sulphur-containing amino acids is crucial for symbiotic nitrogen fixation (SNF) under such conditions (Larrainzar et al., 2007).

8. METHODS OF APPLICATION IN SULPHUR LEGUME CULTIVATION

There are a lot of methods of sulphur application legumes crops but some of the methods like; basal application at the time of sowing, foliar fertilization and fertigation are very effective that increase the use efficiency of sulphur in crop production. Recommended dose of 30 kg/ ha S application recorded significantly higher growth and yield (Patel et al., 2012; Muhammad et al., 2016; Makol et al., 2020). Foliar application in legumes obtained yield and yield attributes at different interval and different dose (Ankit et al., 2021).

9. SULPHUR REQUIREMENTS OF CROPS

Plant species exhibit significant variability in their sulphur (S) requirements, and maintaining an adequate and balanced S nutrition is critical for their overall production, quality, and overall health [22-24]. The S requirement of plants varies depending on their developmental stage and species, with S concentrations in plants typically ranging from 0.1% to 1.5% of dry weight [25]. Despite its lower abundance compared to nitrogen (N), S in plants plays essential roles in numerous metabolic pathways. Under subsistence agriculture, the removal of S by an average crop varies; it's approximately 3-4 kg in cereals, 5-8 kg in sorghum and millets, 8-12 kg in pulses, and exceeds 12 kg in oilseeds. When comparing the S requirements of crops to phosphorus (P), the typical ratio (P:S) is around 1.3 for cereals, 0.8 for legume forages, and 0.6 for cruciferous crops. Notably, oilseeds, such as Brassicas like oil rapeseed and mustard, have a

S uptake that is nearly twice that of P uptake. Consequently, Brassicas are more sensitive to S deficiency compared to cereal crops. As a result, crop plants are generally categorized into three classes based on their S requirements: high (including oil rapeseed, mustard, Cruciferous vegetables, alfalfa, etc.), medium (including cotton, grasses, sugarcane, coffee, etc.), and low (including cereals, groundnut, sugar beet, etc.) S-requiring species [26].

10. ROLE OF SULPHUR FERTILIZATION IN LEGUMES

Sulphur plays a crucial role in pulse crops (Vidyalakshmi et al., 2009), with pulses containing approximately 0.24% to 0.32% sulphur content. Sulphur is responsible for various essential processes, including protein synthesis, chlorophyll formation, and overall growth and metabolism (Parashar and Tripathi, 2020). When soils in regions where pulse crops are grown lack sufficient sulphur, it can result in stunted plant growth and a decline in both photosystem 1 and photosystem 2 activities. This decline leads to reduced chlorophyll levels, resulting in lower rates of photosynthesis (Giordano et al., 2000). Consequently, there is a decrease in chlorophyll a/b binding protein and Rubisco levels [27]. In sulphur-deficient plants, there is a significant reduction in Rubisco content and the number of monosaccharides, coupled with an increase in starch content. However, there are no observed changes in metabolite levels within the Calvin cycle or the tricarboxylic acid (TCA) cycle (Lunde et al., 2009). The sulphur content in the soil has a positive impact on yield, particularly when sulphur is readily available in the soil (Eriksen, 2009). In regions with low sulphur content in the soil, biological nitrogen fixation, nodulation, and peanut crop yields are adversely affected [27]. Application of sulphur in the form of sulphate to the soil results in an increase in whole plant dry mass, nodule biomass, and root length, particularly when expressed on a root length basis. This increase can be attributed to improved nodule development, as well as enhanced nitrogenase and leghemoglobin synthesis. As a result, nitrogen fixation is significantly improved in sulphur-deficient plants following sulphur application (Eriksen, 2009). Yadav et al. [28], reported that 20 kg/ha S application gave the higher growth and yield attributes in clusterbean. The significantly higher growth attributes like: plant height, number of branches per plant, leaf area index, etc are increased with increasing

sulphur levels. The significantly higher growth attributes recorded at 30 kg/ha S application in different pulses crops (chickpea, moongbean, urdbean) [29-31]. Rasool et al. [32] observed increases in growth parameters, yield characters, seed yield, oil content and yield with high applications of S fertilizer. Application of S at 60 kg ha⁻¹ recorded 2.3%, 23.5%, and 12% increases in plant height, LAI, and dry matter production, respectively, over 30 kg S ha⁻¹. This was attributed to S regulating the metabolic and enzymatic processes including photosynthesis and respiration. Yield characters were significantly influenced by S application of 60 kg S ha⁻¹ over 30 kg S ha⁻¹. Seed yield increased significantly with higher S application, probably due to better partitioning of photosynthate to the reproductive parts of the plants being influenced by higher rates of S. As compared to 30 kg S ha⁻¹ rate, 60 kg S ha⁻¹ offered a 2% and 10.55% increase in oil content and yield, respectively. S fertilization had significant effect on yield, yield attributes and protein content of soybean. Application of S at 20 kg ha⁻¹ in soybean produced the highest plant height, seed yield (1000-seed weight) and straw yields as compared to the lowest responses realised from the control treatment [33].

11. INTERACTION OF S WITH OTHER NUTRIENTS

In the plant biology, sulphur (S) and nitrogen (N) play interconnected and synergistic roles in protein synthesis. The availability of these nutrients in plants is intricately linked [34]. The S requirements and metabolism in plants are intimately tied to their N nutrition, and conversely, the S status of a plant significantly influences N metabolism. Just as the carbon assimilation pathway is closely associated with nitrate assimilation in plants, S and N assimilatory pathways are also intertwined, with the abundance of one element affecting the other. Numerous researchers have reported that a deficiency in sulphur can limit the efficient utilization of added soil nitrogen [35]. Consequently, to achieve the maximal utilization of added nitrogen, the addition of sulphur to the soil becomes imperative. For instance, studies have shown that an ideal ratio of available nitrogen to available sulphur is 7:1, with ratios falling below 7 resulting in reduced yields in oilseed rape crops [36]. Furthermore, in field-grown oilseed rape crops alongside mustard crops, it was observed that 27-31% of added sulphur was recovered in the absence of

nitrogen, but this recovery increased to 37-38% when 60 kg/ha of nitrogen was applied [27].

12. FUTURE CROP SULPHUR RESEARCH

Over the past two decades, substantial progress has been made in sulphur (S) research, focusing on enhancing crop yields, improving nutritional quality, understanding S uptake and metabolism, identifying S nitrate transporter genes, elucidating S's role in abiotic and biotic stress responses, and recommending S application rates for different crops. However, several critical knowledge gaps persist, warranting further investigation. Firstly, the intricate dynamics of S transformation within the atmospheric, plant, and soil systems, including its interactions with other atmospheric gases (for example greenhouse gases), need comprehensive exploration. Additionally, investigations into the symbiotic relationships between plants and rhizospheric microbes, particularly rhizobia and arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi, regarding S mineralization and nitrogen (N) fixation, are essential. Furthermore, the interactions between S and other plant nutrients should be advanced to determine S's contributions (synergistic or antagonistic) to nutrient uptake and metabolism by plants. Proper soil nutrient balancing, accounting for residual S amounts in soil and plant residues, is crucial. In the realm of plant biology, utilizing next-generation sequencing technologies and omics approaches is essential to delve into the molecular mechanisms underlying plants' responses and tolerance to S deficiency or excess. This includes characterizing key genes, proteins, and metabolic networks involved in S perception, signaling, uptake, utilization, and nutrient crosstalk. These findings can aid in transgenic breeding for high S use efficiency (SUE) plants. Additionally, S nutrition's impact on animal nutrition and performance should not be overlooked. S plays a critical role in enhancing the protein value of forage and silage, which is essential for animal grazing and feeding. However, balancing S fertilization to increase protein levels without causing leaching losses or toxicity in animal systems requires careful consideration. Lastly, precision phenotyping of crop plants for S deficiency or toxicities using high-throughput plant phenotyping technologies, including spectroscopy imaging, fluorescence imaging, and RGB imaging, can provide non-destructive insights into nutrient concentrations and their phenotypic effects. This approach can significantly contribute to optimizing S management in crop production.




Fig. 3. Future prospects of Sulphur research

Source: *Revisiting Sulphur—The Once Neglected Nutrient: It's Roles in Plant Growth, Metabolism, Stress Tolerance and Crop Production* [5]

13. CONCLUSION

Sulphur plays vital roles in the fundamental processes of plant metabolism, providing essential antioxidant and protective functions against various environmental stresses. As we confront the growing global demands for food, animal feed, and biofuels to support an increasing population, sulphur, much like other macronutrients, can play a pivotal role in promoting sustainable soil fertility management, enhancing crop yields, and producing nutrient-rich crops. Consequently, as we look ahead, there is a need to refocus our attention on sulphur nutrition. Our future efforts should be concentrated on deepening our comprehension of the molecular mechanisms and dynamics governing sulphur availability and utilization in plants. Additionally, we should strive to unravel the intricate interactions between sulphur and other nutrients. Furthermore, exploring the involvement of soil rhizospheric microbes in the transformation of sulphur within plants is essential.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Nakai Y, Maruyama-Nakashita A. Biosynthesis of sulphur-containing small biomolecules in plants. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*. 2020; 21(10):3470.
2. Aarabi F, Naake T, Fernie AR, Hoefgen R. Coordinating sulphur pools under sulfate deprivation. *Trends in Plant Science*. 2020;25(12):1227-1239.
3. Yadav B, Jogawat A, Lal SK, Lakra N, Mehta S, Shabek N, Narayan OP. Plant mineral transport systems and the potential for crop improvement. *Planta*. 2021;253:1-30.
4. Griffith CM, Woodrow JE, Seiber JN. Environmental behavior and analysis of agricultural sulfur. *Pest Management Science*. 2015;71(11):1486-1496.
5. Zenda T, Liu S, Dong A, Duan H. Revisiting sulphur—The once neglected nutrient: It's roles in plant growth, metabolism, stress tolerance and crop production. *Agriculture*. 2021;11(7):626.
6. Hawkesford MJ, Kopriva S, De Kok LJ. Nutrient use efficiency in plants. 2016;279.
7. Jobe TO, Zenzen I, Rahimzadeh Karvansara P, Kopriva S. Integration of

- sulfate assimilation with carbon and nitrogen metabolism in transition from C3 to C4 photosynthesis. *Journal of Experimental Botany*. 2019;70(16):4211-4221.
8. Narayan OP, Kumar P, Yadav B, Dua M, Johri AK. Sulfur nutrition and its role in plant growth and development. *Plant Signaling & Behavior*. 2022;2030082.
 9. Dobermann A, Fairhurst TH. Nutrient disorders and nutrient management. Potash and Phosphate Institute, Potash and Phosphate Institute of Canada and International Rice Research Institute, Singapore. 2000;191.
 10. Yu Z, Juhasz A, Islam S. Impact of mid-season sulphur deficiency on wheat nitrogen metabolism and biosynthesis of grain protein. *Scientific Reports*. 2018;8:2499. Available:<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-20935-8> write in full
 11. Terry N. Effects of sulphur on the photosynthesis of intact leaves and isolated chloroplasts of sugar beets. *Plant Physiology*. 1976;57(4):477-479.
 12. Criollo-Arteaga S, Moya-Jimenez S, Jimenez-Meza M, Gonzalez-Vera V, Gordon-Nunez J, Llerena-Llerena S, et al. Sulfur deprivation modulates salicylic acid responses via nonexpressor of Pathogenesis-Related Gene 1 in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Plants*. 2021;10(6):1065.
 13. Mehmood MZ, Afzal O, Ahmed M, Qadir G, Kheir AM, Aslam MA, et al. Can sulphur improve the nutrient uptake, partitioning, and seed yield of sesame?. *Arabian Journal of Geosciences*. 2021;14(10):865.
 14. Camberato J, Casteel S. Keep an eye open for sulphur deficiency in wheat. Purdue University Department of Agronomy, Soil Fertility Update. Purdue University; 2010.
 15. Gao Y, Li X, Tian QY, Wang BL, Zhang WH. Sulphur deficiency had different effects on *Medicago truncatula* ecotypes A17 and R108 in terms of growth, root morphology and nutrient contents. *Journal of Plant Nutrition*. 2016;39(3):301-314.
 16. Craig K. A review of the chemistry, pesticide use, and environmental fate of sulphur dioxide, as used in California. *Reviews of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology*. 2019;246:33-64.
 17. Scherer HW. Sulphur in soils. *Journal of Plant Nutrition and Soil Science*. 2001;164(4):399-408.
 18. Zhao FJ, McGrath SP. Bioavailability of heavy metals in soils to plants: A review. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*. 1994;27(12):1653-1664.
 19. López-Bucio J, Hernández-Madrigal F, Carreón-Abud Y, Ruiz-Herrera LF. Advances on sulphur assimilation and its regulation in plant metabolism. In *Sulphur Assimilation and Abiotic Stress in Plants*. Springer. 2019;1-24.
 20. Hawkesford MJ. Sulphur metabolism in plants: Mechanisms and applications for sulphur agronomy. *Journal of Experimental Botany*. 2012;63(18):6421-6437.
 21. Krueger AJ, Krotkov NA, Yang K, Carn S, Vicente G, Schroeder W. Applications of satellite-based sulfur dioxide monitoring. *IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Applied Earth Observations and Remote Sensing*. 2009;2(4):293-298.
 22. Till AR. Sulphur and sustainable agriculture. IFA, International fertilizer industry Association; 2010.
 23. Zhao FJ, Tausz M, De Kok LJ. Role of sulphur for plant production in agricultural and natural ecosystems. In *Sulphur metabolism in phototrophic organisms*. Dordrecht: Springer Netherlands. 2008;417-435.
 24. Khan TA, Mazid M. Nutritional significance of sulphur in pulse cropping system. *Biology and Medicine*. 2011;3(2):114-133.
 25. Anjum NA, Gill SS, Umar S, Ahmad I, Duarte AC, Pereira E. Improving growth and productivity of oleiferous Brassicas under changing environment: significance of nitrogen and sulphur nutrition, and underlying mechanisms. *The Scientific World Journal*; 2012.
 26. Clarkson DT, Hanson JB. The mineral nutrition of higher plants. *Annual Review of Plant Physiology*. 1980;31(1):239-298.
 27. Jamal A, Moon YS, Zainul Abidin M. Sulphur-a general overview and interaction with nitrogen. *Australian Journal of Crop Science*. 2010;4(7):523-529.
 28. Yadav BK. Interaction effect of phosphorus and sulphur on yield and quality of clusterbean in typic haplustept. *World Journal of Agricultural Sciences*. 2011;7(5):556-560.
 29. Khurana M, Bansal R. Sulphur management in moong (*Phaseolus aureus* L.) and raya (*Brassica juncea* L.) crops.

- Acta Agronomica Hungarica. 2007;55(4): 437-445.
30. Kumar BJ, Mehera B. Effect of potassium and sulphur on growth and yield of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). The Pharma Innovation Journal. 2022;11(4):2139-2143.
 31. Das SK, Biswas B, Jana K. Effect of farm yard manure, phosphorus and sulphur on yield parameters, yield, nodulation, nutrient uptake and quality of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). Journal of Applied and Natural Science. 2016;8(2):545-549.
 32. Rasool FU, Hasan B, Jahangir IA, Ali T, Mubarak T. Nutritional yield and economic responses of sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.) to integrated levels of nitrogen, sulphur and farmyard manure; 2013.
 33. Farhad ISM, Islam MN, Hoque S, Bhuiyan MSI. Role of potassium and sulphur on the growth, yield and oil content of soybean (*Glycine max* L.). An Academic Journal of Plant Sciences. 2010;3(2):99-103.
 34. Mazid M, Khan TA, Mohammad F. Role of secondary metabolites in defense mechanisms of plants. Biology and Medicine. 2011;3(2):232-249.
 35. Kaya M, Küçükçumuk Z, Erdal I. Effects of elemental sulphur and sulphur-containing waste on nutrient concentrations and growth of bean and corn plants grown on a calcareous soil. African Journal of Biotechnology. 2009;8(18).
 36. Janzen HH, Bettany JR. Sulphur nutrition of rapeseed: I. Influence of fertilizer nitrogen and sulphur rates. Soil Science Society of America Journal. 1984;48(1): 100-107.

© 2023 Dawar et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/108282>