

International Research Journal of Pure & Applied Chemistry

22(4): 51-63, 2021; Article no.IRJPAC.69689 ISSN: 2231-3443, NLM ID: 101647669

Kinetic and Mechanism of Uncatalytic Oxidation of DI-Threonine by Cerium (Iv) in Aqueous Acid Medium

Gajanand Sahu¹ and Sanjay Ghosh^{1*}

¹Department of Chemistry, Govt. N. P. G. College of Science, Raipur 492010 (C.G), India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration between both authors. Both authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IRJPAC/2021/v22i430403 <u>Editor(s):</u> (1) Dr. Farzaneh Mohamadpour, University of Sistan and Baluchestan, Iran. <u>Reviewers:</u> (1) Varale Amit Shrikant, A. S. P. College (Autonomous), India. (2) Sahar I. Mostafa, Mansoura University, Egypt. Complete Peer review History: <u>http://www.sdiarticle4.com/review-history/69689</u>

Original Research Article

Received 10 April 2021 Accepted 15 June 2021 Published 21 June 2021

ABSTRACT

Kinetic of uncatalyzed oxidation of DL-Threonine has been studied by Ce (IV) in acidic medium has been investigatigated by spectrophotometer technique. The rate of reaction determined at three different temperatures ranging from 298 K to 318 K at the interval of 10 K. The reaction has been found to be a first order reaction in presence or in absence of KCI, CTAB, and SDS. The rate constant, decreases with increasing the concentration of $[HSO_4]$ and $[H^+]$ ion. The various thermodynamic parameters were calculated at 298 K, 308 K and 318 K. The proposed mechanism and results is discussed.

Keywords: Kinetics; oxidation; DL-threonine; cerium (IV); sulphuric acid; KCl; mechanism.

1. INTRODUCTION

The amino acids contain carbon, hydrogen, oxygen and nitrogen. We know that amino acids are molecules containing an amine groups, a

carboxylic acid group and side chain that varies between different amino acids. Oxidation reactions are very important in nature and key transformations in organic synthesis [1-3]. In the human body, four amino acid are used in genetic coding. Oxidation of amino acid is important for metabolic reaction. The oxidative deamination and decarboxylation by various reactions of amino acids are done [4,5].

The threonine present in central nervous system present is helpful for treating different types of depression. Metabolism of fat in the liver is caused by amino acids. Some amino acids in the form of protein help intestin in the digestion process. DL- Threonine cannot be synthesis by your body but by outer fulfilled in sources like beef, cottage chessse, fish, bleak and sesame seeds [6-8].

The Ce (IV) is a powerful oxidizing agent in acidic medium. Here the Ce (IV) in H₂SO₄ medium has been acts as oxidizing agent. Ce (III) is reduction by the transfer of one electron from Ce (IV) via the acidic medium. The intermediate complex is located at a higher concentration in the acid of Ce (IV) [9,10]. Many reports are available for the kinetics of oxidation of N- bromoanisamide. tributvlammonium chlorochromate. tripropylammonium fluorochromate, iron (III)-1, 10-phenanthroline chloromine -T, vanadium complex. (v), manganese (III), n-bromosuccinimde, cerium (IV), pyridiniumdichromate. The reaction of Ce(IV) with DL- threonine in aqueous acidic medium in gives up many species like $Ce(OH)^{3+}$, $Ce(SO)_4^{2+}$, $Ce(SO_4)_2$ HSO₄ and H₃Ce(SO₄), but their rule has not attention so far [11-17].

Ce(IV) species are reactive in oxidation of DLthreonine in H_2SO_4 has been purpose in the present work. The attention has been drawn due to the natural characteristic of DL- threonine as a zwitter ionic in nature.

2. METHODOLOGY

All the solution used for the analysis was prepared with double distilled deionised water. Ce (IV) in stock solution was obtained by

dissolving cerium ammonium sulphate in presence of sulphuric acid 0.5 mol dm⁻³ solutions. The stock solution of DL-Threonine is made by E.Merck. The stock solution was prepared by salt NaCl and KCl by dissolving in double distilled deionised water .The SDS anionic surfactant and CTAB cationic surfactant by dissolving in double distilled deionised water [18,19].

2.1 Kinetic measurements

Ce (IV) and other reactant in the water bath were allowed to establised in equilibrium solution. A definite concentration of Ce (IV), then mixed well with definite concentration of amino acid and quickly transferred to the absorbance cell. The progress of reaction was followed bv quantification of Ce (IV) at various time intervals $(\lambda_{max}=360nm)$ by using а visible spectrophotometer (systronic 105) [20]. Kinetic studies were done in the medium of sulphuric acid under the conditions of the pseudo first order at 298 to 318 K with excess of DLthreonine in Ce (IV). The response statuses of the application of Beer's law between 5.0×10^{-4} to 5.0x10⁻³ were verified of Ce (IV) and molar extinction coefficient was found to be 22622 mol dm⁻³. The observed rate constants were reproducible within the experimental error +5%[21,22].

2.2 Stoichiomerty and product analysis

DL- threonine was taken at different concentration in the presence of sulphuric acid containing an excess of Ce (IV) was kept at 318 K temperature for 24 hours. In which the absorbance of the Ce (IV) medium was measured spectrometric method. The results indicate that two moles of cerium (IV) were consumed by one mole of DL-threonine as given by equation [23].

Scheme-1

$$R - CH (NH)_2 COOH + 2Ce (IV) + H_2O \longrightarrow RCHO + 2Ce (III) + CO_2 + NH_3 + 2H^+$$

$R = CH_3CH_2OH$

The oxidation products were identified as Ce (III), 2- hydroxyl propanal, ammonia and carbon dioxide. 2- Hydroxy propanal was confirmed by the IR spectrum of the corresponding species. The reaction mixture was treated with acidified 2, 4 – dinitrophenyl hydrazine solution, which yielded a hydrazone, which indicater the presence of 2-hydroxy propanal. The other product ammonia was detected by Nesselen's reagent test and CO_2 was qualitatively detected by passing the liberated gas through a tube containing lime water.

3. RESULTS

3.1 Cerium (IV) Dependence

Cerium (1.0x10⁻⁴) was varied concentrations and other chemical was fixed concentration that is DL- Threonine (1.0 X10⁻²) mol dm⁻³, [H⁺] 1.0 mol dm⁻³ and at differrent temperatures 298 K to 318 K. In which Cerium was pseudo first order in different concentration and temperature. Pseudo first order graph was plotted K_{obs} vs cerium (IV) in slope of positive and intercept of zero as shown in Fig. 1.

3.2 DL-Threonine Dependence

Cerium (IV) in oxidant reagent of concentration $(1.0x10^{-4})$ mol dm⁻³ and, [H⁺] 1.0 mol dm⁻³ in fixed concentration. The oxidation of DL- Threonine was at different concentration (1.0 X10⁻²) to (5.0 X10⁻²) mol dm⁻³ at temperature range 298 K to 318 K. As the concentration of DL-threonine increase the absorbance decrease in time interval. The reaction is found to be pseudo first order by plotting graph kobs vs DL-threonine as shown in Fig. 2.

3.3 Effect of [HSO₄⁻]

The sulphuric acid concentration was varied between 1.0 and 2.5 mol dm⁻³ and fixed concentration of cerium (IV) (5.0×10^{-4}) mol dm⁻³, DL- Threonine (5.0×10^{-2}) mol dm⁻³ at different temperature 298 K to 318 K. The rate of reaction was decrease with increasing, the concentration of sulphuric acid. The graphical plot of kobs vs [H₂SO₄] is found to be linear with negative intercept and slope and shown in Fig. 3. Results are given in Table 3.1

Table 1.1. Variation of Cerium ammonium sulphate

10 ⁻⁴ [Ce (IV)] ⁻¹ mol	1.0	2.0	3.0	4.0	5.0			
dm⁻³								
Time in minutes	Absorbance							
0	0.154	0.265	0.520	0.767	0.925			
10	0.147	0.254	0.495	0.725	0.875			
20	0.143	0.246	0.478	0.690	0.838			
30	0.139	0.238	0.456	0.671	0.803			
40	0.135	0.229	0.447	0.653	0.784			
50	0.131	0.221	0.435	0.633	0.757			
60	0.128	0.217	0.424	0.615	0.735			
70	0.125	0.212	0.416	0.607	0.723			
80	0.123	0.208	0.409	0.597	0.712			
10 ⁻⁴ (k _{obs}), s ⁻¹	1.78	1.69	1.58	1.44	1.40			
	ICa(IV	$11 = 5.0 \times 10^{-4} m$	dm^{-3} [Thre] = 1	0×10^{-2}				

5.0X10 ° moi am

 $[H^+] = 1.0 \text{ mol } dm^{-3}, \text{ Temp.} = 298K$

	Table 1.2	. Variation	of Cerium	ammonium	sulphate
--	-----------	-------------	-----------	----------	----------

10 ⁻⁴ [Ce (IV)] ⁻¹ mol	1.0	2.0	3.0	4.0	5.0		
dm ⁻³							
Time in minutes	Absorbance						
0	0.130	0.375	0.465	0.545	0.960		
10	0.125	0.335	0.415	0.458	0.790		
20	0.115	0.303	0.375	0.428	0.735		
30	0.108	0.288	0.354	0.401	0.656		
40	0.101	0.273	0.334	0.389	0.635		
50	0.096	0.265	0.321	0.365	0.603		
60	0.092	0.258	0.316	0.347	0.590		
70	0.087	0.247	0.309	0.338	0.574		
80	0.084	0.239	0.301	0.327	0.554		
10 ⁻⁴ (k _{obs}), s ⁻¹	0.99	0.75	0.74	0.62	0.54		

 $[Ce(IV)] = 5.0X10^{-4} \text{ mol } dm^{-3}, [Thre] = 1.0X10^{-2} \text{ mol } dm^{-3};$ $[H^+] = 1.0 \text{ mol } dm^{-3}, Temp. = 308K$

10 ⁻⁴ [Ce (IV)] ⁻¹ mol	1.0	2.0	3.0	4.0	5.0
 Time in minutes			Absorbance		
0	0.114	0.297	0.521	0.981	1.054
10	0.104	0.267	0.442	0.756	0.804
20	0.095	0.241	0.387	0.707	0.740
30	0.087	0.226	0.351	0.670	0.714
40	0.081	0.212	0.329	0.644	0.689
50	0.077	0.201	0.316	0.627	0.665
60	0.072	0.189	0.289	0.605	0.635
70	0.069	0.178	0.273	0.591	0.621
80	0.066	0.171	0.265	0.584	0.609
10 ⁻⁴ (k _{obs}), s ⁻¹	0.73	0.70	0.51	0.48	0.47

Table 1.3. Variation of Cerium ammonium sulphate

 $\begin{bmatrix} Ce(IV) \end{bmatrix} = 5.0X10^{-4} \text{ mol } dm^{-3}; \ \begin{bmatrix} Thre \end{bmatrix} = 1.0X10^{-2} \text{ mol } dm^{-3}; \\ \begin{bmatrix} H^+ \end{bmatrix} = 1.0 \text{ mol } dm^{-3}; \ Temp. = 318K \end{bmatrix}$



Fig. 1. Variation of Cerium ammonium sulphate $[Ce(IV)] = 5.0X10^{-4} \text{ mol } dm^3; [Thre] = 1.0X10^{-2} \text{ mol } dm^3;$ $[H^+] = 1.0 \text{ mol } dm^3; \text{ Temp.} = 298K, 308K, 318K$ (Ref Table 1.1, 1.2 and 1.3)

1.0	2.0	3.0	4.0	5.0	
		Absorbance			
0.925	0.527	0.556	0.483	0.376	
0.908	0.518	0.544	0.472	0.361	
0.905	0.514	0.541	0.468	0.357	
0.898	0.507	0.537	0.464	0.353	
0.888	0.504	0.533	0.460	0.351	
0.883	0.501	0.530	0.457	0.349	
0.879	0.0.498	0.527	0.454	0.347	
0.876	0.497	0.524	0.452	0.345	
0.870	0.496	0.521	0.450	0.343	
0.17	0.18	0.19	0.21	0.32	
	1.0 0.925 0.908 0.905 0.898 0.888 0.883 0.879 0.876 0.870 0.17	1.02.00.9250.5270.9080.5180.9050.5140.8980.5070.8880.5040.8830.5010.8790.0.4980.8760.4970.8700.4960.170.18	1.02.03.0Absorbance0.9250.5270.5560.9080.5180.5440.9050.5140.5410.8980.5070.5370.8880.5040.5330.8830.5010.5300.8790.0.4980.5270.8760.4970.5240.8700.4960.5210.170.180.19	1.0 2.0 3.0 4.0 Absorbance Absorbance 0.925 0.527 0.556 0.483 0.908 0.518 0.544 0.472 0.905 0.514 0.541 0.468 0.898 0.507 0.537 0.464 0.888 0.504 0.533 0.460 0.883 0.501 0.530 0.457 0.879 0.0.498 0.527 0.454 0.876 0.497 0.524 0.452 0.870 0.496 0.521 0.450 0.17 0.18 0.19 0.21	1.02.03.04.05.0Absorbance0.9250.5270.5560.4830.3760.9080.5180.5440.4720.3610.9050.5140.5410.4680.3570.8980.5070.5370.4640.3530.8880.5040.5330.4600.3510.8830.5010.5300.4570.3490.8790.0.4980.5270.4540.3470.8760.4970.5240.4520.3450.8700.4960.5210.4500.3430.170.180.190.210.32

Table 2.1. Variation of DL-Threonine

 $[Ce(IV)] = 5.0X10^{-4} \text{ mol } dm^{-3}; [Thre] = 1.0X10^{-2} \text{ mol } dm^{-3}; [H^+] = 1.0 \text{ mol } dm^{-3}; Temp. = 298K$

10 ⁻⁴ [DL-threo] mol	1.0	2.0	3.0	4.0	5.0
dm ⁻³					
Time in minutes			Absorbance		
0	0.590	0.545	0.690	0.593	0.562
10	0.577	0.489	0.607	0.510	0.479
20	0.550	0.463	0.577	0.463	0.414
30	0.480	0.438	0.557	0.440	0.378
40	0.465	0.420	0.536	0.424	0.353
50	0.455	0.410	0.512	0.405	0.327
60	0.447	0.400	0.502	0.395	0.312
70	0.440	0.390	0.496	0.386	0.290
80	0.435	0.385	0.494	0.380	0.287
10 ⁻⁴ (k _{obs}), s ⁻¹	1.00	1.09	1.15	1.49	1.97

Table 2.2. Variation of DL-Threonine

 $[Ce(IV)] = 5.0X10^{-4} \text{ mol } dm^{-3}; \quad [Thre] = 1.0X10^{-2} \text{ mol } dm^{-3}; \\ [H^+] = 1.0 \text{ mol } dm^{-3}; \text{ Temp.} = 308K$

Table 2.3. Variation of DL-Inreonin	Table 2.3.	Variation	of DL-Thre	onine
-------------------------------------	------------	-----------	------------	-------

10 ⁻⁴ [Ce (IV)] ⁻¹ mol	1.0	2.0	3.0	4.0	5.0	
dm⁻³						
Time in minutes			Absor	bance		
0	1.054	0.890	0.708	0.687	0.659	
10	0.935	0.787	0.623	0.598	0.567	
20	0.878	0.737	0.576	0.523	0.497	
30	0.845	0.704	0.545	0.478	0.443	
40	0.803	0.656	0.521	0.434	0.412	
50	0.768	0.636	0.506	0.414	0.387	
60	0.734	0.615	0.487	0.397	0.354	
70	0.704	0.602	0.469	0.381	0.321	
80	0.686	0.590	0.447	0.379	0.307	
10^{-4} (k _{obs}), s ⁻¹	1.25	1.26	1.33	1.79	1.98	

 $[Ce(IV)] = 5.0X10^{-4} \text{ mol } dm^{-3}; [Thre] = 1.0X10^{-2} \text{ mol } dm^{-3}; [H^+] = 1.0 \text{ mol } dm^{-3}; Temp. = 318K$



Fig. 2. Variation of DL – Threonine $\begin{bmatrix} Ce(IV) \end{bmatrix} = 5.0X10^{-4} \text{ mol dm}^{-3}; \ [Thre] = 1.0X10^{-2} \text{ mol dm}^{-3}; \\ \begin{bmatrix} H^{+} \end{bmatrix} = 1.0 \text{ mol dm}^{-3}; \ Temp. = 298K, \ 308K, \ 318K \end{bmatrix}$ (Ref Table 2.1, 2.2 and 2.3)

[HSO₄] mol dm ⁻³	1.0	2.0	3.0	4.0	5.0			
Time in minutes		Absorbance						
0	1.157	0.527	0.696	0.654	0.857			
10	0.905	0.522	0.687	0.635	0.833			
20	0.602	0.519	0.667	0.621	0.817			
30	0.517	0.516	0.657	0.584	0.801			
40	0.442	0.511	0.570	0.567	0.792			
50	0.431	0.509	0.535	0.548	0.762			
60	0.420	0.507	0.508	0.544	0.749			
70	0.416	0.505	0.495	0.535	0.735			
80	0.414	0.503	0.468	0.524	0.723			
10 ⁻⁴ (k _{obs}), s ⁻¹	0.28	9.09	1.58	1.92	2.63			

Table 3.1. Variation of sulphuric acid





Fig. 3. Variation of sulphuric acid $[Ce(IV)] = 5.0\times10^{-4} \text{ mol dm}^{-3};$ [Thre] = $1.0\times10^{-2} \text{ mol dm}^{-3};$ $[H^{+}] = 1.0 \text{ mol dm}^{-3};$ Temp. = 298K,

3.4 Effect of Surfactant

a) CTAB Surfactant

CTAB is cationic surfactant; it concentration ranging from (1.0×10^{-2}) to (5.0×10^{-2}) mol dm⁻³ with constant concentration of Ce (IV) (1.0×10^{-4}) mol dm⁻³ DL- Threonine (1.0×10^{-4}) mol dm⁻³ and [H⁺] (1.0 mol dm⁻³) at different 298 K to 318 K temperatures. It is found that the absorbance decrease or increase with increase the concentration of CTAB (Table 4.1). It indicates that the rate of reaction proceed very slowly. The order of reaction was found to first by plotting the graph k_{obs} vs CTAB concentration in Fig. 4.

b) SDS surfactant

SDS in anionic surfactant was at different concentration (1.0×10^{-3}) to (5.0×10^{-3}) mol dm⁻³ and fixed concentration of cerium (IV) (1.0×10^{-4})

mol dm⁻³ DL- Threonine $(1.0x10^{-4})$ mol dm⁻³, [H⁺] 1.0 mol dm⁻³ at different 298 K to 318 K temperatures. The rate of reaction increases slowly in same temperature and at different temperatures increases with presence of SDS anionic surfactant in Fig. 5.

3.5 Effect of Product

The effect of initially added product,Ce (III) was studied from 1.0 $\times 10^{-4}$ to 5.0×10^{-4} mol dm⁻³ at fixed concentration of Ce (IV) = 5.0×10^{-4} mol dm⁻³, [DL- threonine] = 5.0×10^{-2} mol dm⁻³ [H⁺] = 0.5 to 2.5 mol dm⁻³ at 298 K to 318 K. The significant effect on the rate of reaction was observed.

3.6 Effect of Temperature

The effect of temperature was studied by varying concentration of [DL- threonine] mol dm⁻³ to

1.0x10⁻² to $5.0x10^{-2}$ mol dm⁻³ at fixed concentration of [H] = 1.0 mol dm⁻³ [Ce(IV)] = $5x10^{-3}$ mol dm⁻³ [SDS] = $5x10^{-3}$ mol dm⁻³ [CTAB] = $5x10^{-3}$ mol dm⁻³ [KCI] = $5x10^{-3}$ mol dm⁻³ at three temperature 298 K, 308 K and 318 k respectively. The rate of reaction initially increases with increasing the concentration of DL - threonine. The rate constant k of the slop step was obtained from the intercept of plot of k_{obs} vs. [DL - threonine]. The energy of activation was obtained by the plot of log k verses 1/T. The formation constant K of the first step was calculated from the plot of k_{obs} vs DL – threonine

at three temperatures. From different value of K at three temperatures, thermodynamics quantities were calculated from the plot of log k vs $\frac{1}{m}$ in Fig. 6.

4. DISCUSSION AND MECHANISM

An appropriate amount of continuous Ce $(SO_4)_4$ is taken to complicated Ce (IV) complex with so that cerium complex with amino acid. The following species are suggested for the oxidation of DL- threonine in presence of sulphuric acid.

 $Ce (SO_4)_4 + Ce (IV) \rightleftharpoons K_1 Ce(IV)d ------(1)$ $CAS + S \rightleftharpoons K_2 Complex ------(2)$ $Complex \xrightarrow{k_3} X + Complex ------(3)$ $X \xrightarrow{fast} Product ------(4)$ $\frac{-d[Ce(IV)]d}{dt} = k_3K_2 [CAS][S] ------(5)$

It is expected that they are being used like the CAS; Ce (IV) with amino acids may remain in complex or diluent form [24]. The gradual disappearance rate of Ce (IV) is explained based on the equation.

$$k_{obs} = \frac{k_{3K2[S]}}{k_{3K2[S] + K1Ce(IV)}}$$
-----(6)

The equation (6) can be rearranged as,

$$k_{obs} = \frac{1}{1 + \frac{K_1 [Ce(IV)]}{k_3 K_2 [S]}} -----(7)$$

The ratio of Ce and DL- threonine is 10^{-2} under experimental condition. For this equation (7) probably approximated to equation (8) without any serious errors.

$$k_{obs} = \frac{k_{3K2[S]}}{K \, 1Ce(IV)}$$
 ------(8)

The equation (8) is the rate law in agreement with our result. The graph plotted between 1/ [Ce(IV)] vs k_{obs} is found to a straight line and it intercept value is not zero. Similarly the graph plotted between [DL - threonine] vs k_{obs} is linear.

At constant cerium (IV) concentration the rate law can be written as,

Where A = $\frac{k_3K_2[S]}{K_1Ce(IV)}$ is the slope value for the plot [DL- threonine] vs k_{obs}. The result suggest that DL- threonine combines with cerium (IV) to form complex, when the slow step with one mole of Ce(SO₄)₂ to gives the product cerium(IV), complex- DL – threonine and SO₄²⁻. It reacts with another mole of Ce (SO₄)₂ to give cerium (III), 3-imidazolepropanal, ammonia and carbon dioxide, as shown in scheme-1.

A' =
$$\frac{k_{3K2[S]}}{K_1}$$

It is interpreted as an indication for the correctness of the proposed mechanism that the slope values obtained for both curves should follow the relation.

$$\frac{k_{3}K_{2}}{K_{1}} = \frac{A'}{[S]} = A. [Ce (IV)] [S] = X$$
 -----(10)

The values of X calculated from the curves for the reactants and substrates. It is observed that there is a satisfactory agreement between these two values. The values are given as,

The reaction of Ce (IV) with DL- threonine in acidic medium show's a slow redox reaction to the present experimental condition. The concentration of H_2SO_4 increases when the concentration of HSO_4^- falls. Similarly the concentration of H^+ increases the rate of reaction

decreases. It is inversely dependent on the HSO₄ ion concentration rate. The rate of reaction was reducing on adding sulphuric acid. Added product Ce(III) inhibits the rate of reaction due to formation of Ce⁺⁴ to Ce⁺³. The amino acid derived free radical again combines one molecules of Ce (IV) in a first step and gives the Ce (III) and ammonia. Under the experimental conditions cerium (IV) sulphato complex are in the form of $Ce(SO_4)^{2+}$, $Ce(SO_4)_2$ and HCe(SO₄)₃ and relevant equilibrium are as;

The value of equilibrium constants at 298 K Q₁, Q_2 and Q_3 for the step (11) to (13) are reported to be 3500, 200 and 20 respectively through spectrophotometrically. Insignificant amount of unhydrolyzed species of cerium (IV) would also exist along with these sulphato complexes. This type of equation can be explained in equilibrium as a reactive species of bisulphate dependence generated form Ce (SO₄)₂ [25]. In which the concentration of this active species is given by the equation as;



10 ⁻⁴ [CTAB] mol dm ⁻³	1.0	2.0	3.0	4.0	5.0
Time in minutes			Absor	bance	
0	0.741	0.514	0.476	0.425	0.390
10	0.734	0.499	0.456	0.405	0.372
20	0.704	0.488	0.444	0.393	0.361
30	0.695	0.477	0.435	0.384	0.351
40	0.677	0.470	0.427	0.374	0.342
50	0.669	0.460	0.413	0.367	0.332
60	0.656	0.453	0.403	0.359	0.323
70	0.648	0.445	0.396	0.351	0.315
80	0.640	0.436	0.382	0.344	0.307
10 ⁻⁴ (k _{obs}), s ⁻¹	0.32	0.39	0.41	0.47	0.58
	(0 - (1)) = -	0140-4	3. Thus $1 - 4 0 V d$	$(0^{-2} - 1) = 1 = 1^{-3}$	

Table 4.1. Variation of CTAB 298 K

 $[Ce(IV)] = 5.0X10^4 \text{ mol } dm^{-3};$ [Thre] = 1.0X10⁻² mol $dm^{-3};$ $[H^+] = 1.0 \text{ mol } dm^{-3};$ Temp. = 298 K

Table 4.2. Variation of CTAB 308 K

10^{-4} [CTAB] mol dm ⁻³	1.0	2.0	3.0	4.0	5.0
Time in minutes			Absorband	e	
0	0.763	0.641	0.586	0.523	0.485
10	0.734	0.601	0523	0.453	0.409
20	0.696	0.539	0.471	0.408	0.364
30	0.667	0.490	0.437	0.367	0.331
40	0.620	0.449	0.405	0.345	0.302
50	0.595	0.427	0.377	0.314	0.283
60	0.558	0.359	0.341	0.289	0.257
70	0.520	0.339	0.317	0.265	0.235
80	0.490	0.320	0.298	0.234	0.209
10 ⁻⁴ (k _{obs}), s ⁻¹	0.82	1.42	1.59	1.85	2.04

 $[Ce(IV)] = 5.0X10^{-4} \text{ mol } dm^{-3}; [Thre] = 1.0X10^{-2} \text{ mol } dm^{-3};$

 $[H^+] = 1.0 \text{ mol } dm^{-3}$; Temp. = 308 K

10 ⁻⁴ [CTAB] mol dm	⁻³ 1.0	2.0	3.0	4.0	5.0
Time in minutes			Abso	orbance	
0	0.233	0.221	0.207	0.195	0.156
10	0.202	0.196	0.167	0.153	0.121
20	0.159	0.151	0.153	0.123	0.098
30	0.126	0.146	0.139	0.115	0.086
40	0.115	0.132	0.128	0.098	0.074
50	0.103	0.122	0.117	0.091	0.067
60	0.092	0.109	0.108	0.083	0.058
70	0.084	0.081	0.097	0.075	0.051
80	0.076	0.062	0.089	0.069	0.047
10 ⁻⁴ mol dm ⁻³	1.42	2.19	2.32	2.87	3.15

Table 4. 3. Variation of CTAB 318 K

 $[Ce(IV)] = 5.0X10^{-4} \text{ mol } dm^{-3}; \text{ [Thre]} = 1.0X10^{-2} \text{ mol } dm^{-3}; \text{ [}H^+] = 1.0 \text{ mol } dm^{-3}; \text{ Temp.} = 318 \text{ K}$





Fig. 4. Variation of CTAB $[Ce(IV)] = 5.0X10^4 \text{ mol } dm^3; [Thre] = 1.0X10^2 \text{ mol } dm^3;$ $[H^*] = 1.0 \text{ mol } dm^3; \text{ Temp.} = 298K, 308K, 318K$ (Ref Table 4.1, 4.2 and 4.3)

	Table 5.1.	Variation of	5 SDS 298	K
--	------------	--------------	-----------	---

10 ⁻⁴ [SDS] mol dm ⁻³	1.0	2.0	3.0	4.0	5.0
Time in minutes			Absor	bance	
0	0.291	0.264	0.257	0.249	0.231
10	0.286	0.256	0.249	0.241	0.223
20	0.282	0.252	0.245	0.237	0.219
30	0.278	0.250	0.241	0.239	0.215
40	0.274	0.245	0.237	0.226	0.211
50	0.270	0.244	0.234	0.223	0.207
60	0.266	0.242	0.231	0.222	0.203
70	0.263	0.241	0.238	0.220	0.200
80	0.260	0.240	0.228	0.217	0.197
10 ⁻⁴ (k _{obs}), s ⁻¹	0.25	0.30	0.34	0.36	0.40

 $[Ce(IV)] = 5.0X10^{-4} \text{ mol } dm^{-3}; [Thre] = 1.0X10^{-2} \text{ mol } dm^{-3}; [H^+] = 1.0 \text{ mol } dm^{-3}; Temp. = 298 \text{ K}$

10 ⁻⁴ [CTAB] mol dm ⁻³	1.0	2.0	3.0	4.0	5.0	
Time in minutes			Abso	orbance		
0	0.663	0.470	0.434	0.277	0.239	
10	0.573	0.401	0.371	0.236	0.203	
20	0.523	0.374	0.341	0.215	0.185	
30	0.485	0.337	0.311	0.196	0.168	
40	0.446	0.315	0.289	0.182	0.157	
50	0.421	0.291	0.267	0.169	0.146	
60	0.401	0.272	0.249	0.156	0.135	
70	0.388	0.261	0.234	0.148	0.123	
80	0.384	0.249	0.227	0.141	0.118	
10 ⁻⁴ (k _{obs}), s ⁻¹	1.64	1.73	1.77	1.82	1.85	

Table 5.2. Variation of SDS 308 K

 $\begin{bmatrix} Ce(IV) \end{bmatrix} = 5.0X10^{-4} \text{ mol } dm^{-3}; \ [Thre] = 1.0X10^{-2} \text{ mol } dm^{-3}; \\ \begin{bmatrix} H^* \end{bmatrix} = 1.0 \text{ mol } dm^{-3}; \ Temp. = 308 \text{ K} \end{bmatrix}$

Table 5.3. Variation of SDS 31	18 H	ĸ
--------------------------------	------	---

10 ⁻⁴ [CTAB] mol dm ⁻³	1.0	2.0	3.0	4.0	5.0
Time in minutes			Abs	orbance	
0	0.328	0.367	0.345	0.321	0.309
10	0.252	0.250	0.245	0.277	0.217
20	0.277	0.230	0.211	0.194	0.179
30	0.226	0.213	0.187	0.167	0.154
40	0.221	0.201	0.164	0.139	0.126
50	0.198	0.193	0.137	0.127	0.112
60	0.181	0.184	0.131	0.114	0.092
70	0.165	0.178	0.126	0.105	0.083
80	0.157	0.171	0.117	0.098	0.076
10 ⁻⁴ (k _{obs}), s ⁻¹	2.21	2.89	3.34	3.52	3.85

 $[Ce(IV)] = 5.0X10^{-4} \text{ mol } dm^{-3}; [Thre] = 1.0X10^{-2} \text{ mol } dm^{-3}; [H^+] = 1.0 \text{ mol } dm^{-3}; Temp. = 318 \text{ K}$



Fig. 5. Variation of SDS [Ce(IV) = 5.0X10⁻⁴ mol dm⁻³; [Thre] = 1.0X10⁻² mol dm⁻³; [H⁺] = 1.0 mol dm⁻³; Temp. = 298K, 308K, 318K (Ref Table 5.1, 5.2 and 5.3)



Fig. 6. plot of log k vs. 1/T that the reaction is Arrhenius plots shows the linear

Table 6. Kinetic and thermodynamic activation parameters	for uncatalysed reaction at 298 K
temperature	

Parameter	DL-threonine
Ea (kJ mol ⁻¹)	87.29
$\Delta H^{\#}$ (kJ mol ⁻¹)	91.03
$\Delta S^{\#} (J \text{ mol}^{-1})$	-24.98
ΔG [#] (kJ mol ^{⁻1})	97.30
log A	10.81

Thus equation (9) can be written as

$$K_{obs} = \frac{k_{3K2} [S]}{k_{1}[1+Q_{3}[HSO_{3}]]} -----(15)$$

If A =
$$\frac{k_3K_3[S]}{k_1}$$
 -----(16)

So equation become

$$K_{obs} = \frac{A}{1 + Q3[HSO3]}$$
 -----(17)

We have come to know by this equation that the plot in these forms a linear one by the k_{obs} vs 1/ H_2SO_4 , who agree with the experimental data. The slope A/Q₃ and intercept A/1, which is in good agreement with the previously reported value. All of the above show the result of kinetics of the Ce(SO₄)₂ as a kinetically active species. The rate constant decreases with increasing [H⁺]. This is due to formation of an active inhibition H_2Ce (SO₄)₂². The thermodynamic parameters for uncatalysed have been evaluated. From Arrhenius plot, energy of activation (Ea) is calculated as shown in Fig. (6). The Table 6 shows that the value of k increase with increase of temperature indicates the reaction is

endothermic ($\Delta H^{\#} > 0$). These have been assessed in the form of activation parameters to determine the rate as Ea = 87.29 kJ mol⁻¹, $\Delta H^{\#} =$ 91.03 kJ mol⁻¹, $\Delta S^{\#} = -24.98$ J mol⁻¹ and $\Delta G^{\#} =$ 97.30 kJ mol⁻¹, logA = 10.81 form the linear of plot of k versus 1/T Fig. (6). The major negative entropy of activation is in agreement with the formation of the activated complex involved in the reaction and the reaction of the compound are more ordered than the reactants. This supports the proposed mechanism. The value of the $\Delta H^{\#}$, and $\Delta S^{\#}$ were indicates for electron transfer processes [26,27].

7. CONCLUSION

The oxidation of DL- threonine by Ce (IV) in acid medium has found to a slow rate, but by increasing the at temperature from 298 K to 318 K in uncatalyst, the rate of reaction increase. The oxidant and substrate under uncatalyst condition is found to first order. The thermodynamic physical parameter values are $\Delta G^{\#}$, $\Delta H^{\#}$ and $\Delta S^{\#}$ increase with increase temperature. The main active species of cerium (IV) is considered as Ce(SO₄)₂, although other species are in lesser extent. The overall mechanistic descried here is consistent with product, kinetic and mechanistic study.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author is thankful to Head, Department of S.O.S. Chemistry Pt. RSU and Head of the Department of Chemistry Govt. N.P.G. College of Science Raipur (C.G.), for his suggestion and cooperation.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCE

- 1. Mathur S, Yadav MB, Devra V. Kinetics and Mechanism of Uncatalyzed and Ag (I) Catalyzed Oxidation of Hydroxylysine by Cerium (IV) in Acid Medium, J. Phys. Chem. Biophys. 2013;3:55-60.
- Nadh RV, Sireesha M. Kinetics of ruthenium (III) catalyzed and uncatalyzed oxidation of monoethanolamine by Nbromosuccinimide. Bul. Chem. Commun. 2016;90:1760-1765.
- Huo S, Song C, Shan J, Sun H,. Mechanistic investigation of oxidation of glycine and alanine by bis(dihydrogentellurto)argentite(III) ion in alkaline medium. A kinetic study. Iran J. 2009; 6:243-250.
- Bilehal D, Kulkarni R. Nandibewoor ST. Comparative study of the chromium (III) catalysed oxidation of I-leucine and Iisoleucine by alkaline permanganate: A kinetic and mechanistic approach. J. Mol. Catal. Chem. 2005;2:21-24.
- Katre YR, Singh AK, Joshi GK, Patil S. Kinetics of glycine oxidation by Nbromophthalimide in the presence of sodium dodecyl sulfate. J. Surfactants Deterg. 2006;9:231-235.
- Martha H, Marie A. Biochemical, Physiological, and Molecular Aspects of Human Nutrition - E-Book. Elsevier Health Sciences; 2013.
- 7. Jastrzab, Renata. Studies of new phosphothreonine complexes formed in binary and ternary systems including biogenic amines and copper (II). J. Coord. Chem. 2013;66:98-113.
- Sumathi T, Sundaram S, Chandramohan G. A kinetic and mechanistic study on the silver (I)-catalyzed oxidation of L-alanine by

cerium (IV) in sulfuric acid medium. Arab. J. Chem.2011;4:427-435.

- Jaiswal BS, Conti M. Identification and functional analysis of splice variants of the germ cell soluble adenylyl cyclase. J Biol Chem. 2001;276:698-708.
- 10. Datt N, Nagorri RR, Mehrotra RN, Kinetic and mechanism of oxidation of metal ions part VI. Oxidation of α - hydroxyl acids by cerium (IV) in aqueous nitric acid. Can. j. Chem. 1986;64:19-23.
- Malviya LN, Siriah VK, Badole MK. Kinetics and mechanism of oxidation of glycine by N- bromoanisamide. Int. J. Inn. Sci. Eng. Tech. 2015;2:565 – 570.
- Prabhakar K, Hussain K. Kinetics of oxidation and mechanism of glycine by tributylammonium chlorochromate in acid medium. Int. J. Phar. Phceu. Rea. 2017; 10:224-234.
- Kishore KK, Vani P. Kinetic and mechanism of oxidation of glycine by iron (III) Phenantroline complex in perchloric acid medium. J. Chem. Sci. 2005;117:329 -332.
- Kishore KK, Vani P. Kinetic and mechanism of oxidation of glycine by iron (III) Phenantroline complex in perchloric acid medium. J. Chem. Sci. 2005;117:329 -332.
- 15. Grover N, kambo N, Upadhayay SK. Kinetics and mechanism of palladium (II) catalysed oxidation of some α amino acids by chloromine-T in perchloric acid Indian. J. Chem. 2002;41:2482 -2488.
- Joshi SK, Kandpal ND. Kinetics of Ruthenium (III) catalysed oxidation of amino acids by vanadium (V) in aqueous perchloric acid. Phy. Chem .Indian. J. 2006;1:106–111.
- Chimatadar SA, Basavaraj T, Nandibewoor ST. Mechanistic Study of Oxidation of Palladium (II) by Cerium (IV) in Aqueous Acid. Trans. Met. Chem. 2006;31:186–193.
- Rodic P, Milosev I. The influence of additional salts on corrosion inhibition by cerium (III) acetate in the protection of AA7075-T6 in chloride solution. Corros. Sci. 2019; 149:108-122.
- Fawzy A. Kinetic and Mechanistic Aspects of Oxidation of Aminotriazole Formamidine by Cerium (IV) in Aqueous Perchloric and Sulfuric Acid Solutions: A Comparative Study. J. Soln. Chem. 2016; 45:246-264.
- 20. Jarange AB, Patil SV, Malkhede DD, Deodhar SM, Nandre VS, Athare SV, Kodam KM, Gejji SP. p-

Sulfonatocalixarene versus pthiasulfonatocalixarene: encapsulation of tenofovir disoproxil fumarate and implications to ESI-MS, HPLC, NMR, DFT and anti-MRSA activities. J. Incl. Phenom. Macrocycl. Chem. 2021;99:43-59.

- 21. Hosahalli RV, savanur AP, Nandibewoor ST, Chimatadar SA. Int. J. Chem. Kinetic. 2010;42:440.
- Savanur AP, Nandibewoor ST, Chimatadar SA, Manganese (II) catalysed oxidation of glycerol by cerium (IV) in aqueous sulphuric acid medium: a kinetic and mechanistic study. Trans. Met. Chem. 2009;34:711-718.
- 23. Jeffery GH, Bascett J, Vegal RS. Text book of Quantitative Chemical Analysis fifth ed. ELBS,Longmon, Essox,England; 1996.
- 24. Sahu G, Gosh S. uncatatytic oxidation of L- histidine by cerium (IV) in acidic medium

by spectrophotometry method. J. Gis. Sci. 2021;8:1534-1547.

- Singh R, Tamta DK, Joshi SK, Chandra N, Kandpal ND. Oxidation of amino acids by manganese (III) in aqueous sulphuric acid. J. Chem. Pharm. Res. 2011;3:529 – 535.
- Singh AK, Singh RK, Srivastava J, Rahmani S, yadav S, Kinetic and mechanistic studies of oxidation of glycine and valine by N – bromosuccinimide using chloro complex of Rh(III) in its nano – concentration range as homogeneous catalyst. Indian. J. Chem. 2012;51:681-689.
- Sumathi T, Sundaram S, Chandramohan G, A kinetic and mechanistic study on the silver (I)-catalyzed oxidation of L-alanine by cerium (IV) in sulfuric acid medium. Arabi. J. Chem. 2011;4:427-435.

© 2021 Sahu and Ghosh; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

> Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: http://www.sdiarticle4.com/review-history/69689